


The zero-dimensional physical theory (II): causality, locality, and indeterminacy

Stephen H. Jarvis 

Xemdir, web: www.xemdir.com

email: stephen.jarvis@xemdir.com

Abstract: Here the zero-dimensional physical theory addresses the ideas of causality, locality, and indeterminacy. Although such are terms synonymous with current problems and paradoxes in physics theory, especially regarding the known discrepancies between Einstein's physical theories and the known features of the same quantum mechanically described phenomena, here it will be demonstrated how those terms and associated ideas are precise zero-dimensional physical theory-based derivations for the attributes of physical phenomena. By such, the key flaw in both Einstein's physical theory formulation and that of quantum mechanics becomes apparent, namely the priority of mass and momentum as the axiom of choice. Here, the axiom of choice is once again presented as the zero-dimensional basis for time and space, resolving the current ideas of causality, locality, and indeterminacy.

Keywords: zero-dimensional; timespace; causality; locality; determinism; logistic map equation; chaos; perihelion precession of Mercury; gravitational waves

1. Introduction

In following on from the previous stream of papers of Temporal Mechanics¹, specifically the zero-dimensional physical theory [50], presented here shall be an overarching description of the ideas

¹[1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20][21][22][23][24][25][26][27][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38][39][40][41][42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50].

of causality, locality, and indeterminacy as derived and thence determined by the Temporal Mechanics zero-dimensional physical theory. Here, the ideas of causality, locality, and indeterminacy will be derived from the zero-dimensional physical theory. Those ideas will not be discussed in either an Einsteinian physical theory context or quantum mechanical physical theory context, as those understandings and associated concepts are considered as commonly understood albeit disputed and unresolved features of Einsteinian physical theory regarding quantum mechanics and vice versa. Presented here shall be a new zero-dimensional physical theory basis for the ideas of causality, locality, and indeterminacy, what type of phenomena these ideas feature with, and why.

As such, this paper is sectioned as follows:

1. Introduction
2. The zero-dimensional physical theory
3. Causality, locality, and indeterminacy
4. Determinism, and the logistic map equation
5. Conclusion

Specifically, this paper shall describe the ideas of causality regarding time, together with providing a description for both locality and indeterminacy for mass and gravity. To achieve this, the zero-dimensional physical theory needs a brief introduction and review.

2. The zero-dimensional physical theory

Mathematics in its most basic form is the idea of counting physical objects, principally of relating objects numerically. One should then readily assume physical phenomena should be just as easily calculated using advanced counting processes. Of course, reality cannot be counted *entire* given its scale and our relative size, and so mathematical equations are used to formulate and predict the behaviour of a variety of physical phenomena. Those formulae and equations are then matched with one another across the physical phenomena spectrum to then explain physical phenomena on a grand scale. Not only on the grand scale, yet those things in physical phenomena that cannot be directly seen, like the field forces and the dimensions of time and space and their relationship to the field forces, such in bearing reference to physical phenomena as *mass* and the *motion of mass*.

Fundamentally, mathematics *in physics* is central to the utility of *mathematical objects* as *virtual constructs* usually modelled from axioms in their abstract application to physical phenomena.

The problem there is automatically thinking numbers are a part of a physical and measurable reality. How so?

In its most basic sense, a number is a mathematical object used to count, measure, and label. In mathematics, a real number is a value of a continuous quantity that can represent a distance along a line, and/or a quantity that can be represented as an infinite decimal expansion. René Descartes [51] introduced the notion of a *real* number with his proposed dimensional analysis of physical

phenomena, such in considering the dimensions as *real* in being represented by *real* numbers, which thence implied a type of preordination for numbers being integral to physical reality.

Subsequently, real numbers have been used to measure as best as possible (as approximations) physical observables such as time, space, mass, and energy. Yet, although real numbers can be represented along a line (to then map physical objects with physical features such as mass, velocity, and thence momentum), any of those numbers labelling physical traits are still abstractions, namely *placements/applications* of numerical values.

In short, numbers can be described in any manner of ways, yet when being applied to reality, to what are proposed to be the *real dimensions*, those numbers are still abstractions, namely that a real number does not automatically *confer* reality, even if that line is proposed to be a spatial or temporal *real dimension* localizing a real property of physical reality.

Albert Einstein in his lecture “Geometry and Experience” at the Prussian Academy of Science in Berlin on 27 January 1921 [52] described this problem of numbers applied to physical reality in the following manner:

One reason why mathematics enjoys special esteem, above all other sciences, is that its laws are absolutely certain and indisputable, while those of all other sciences are to some extent debatable and in constant danger of being overthrown by newly discovered facts.

In spite of this, the investigator in another department of science would not need to envy the mathematician if the laws of mathematics referred to objects of our mere imagination, and not to objects of reality. For it cannot occasion surprise that different persons should arrive at the same logical conclusions when they have already agreed upon the fundamental laws (axioms), as well as the methods by which other laws are to be deduced therefrom. But there is another reason for the high repute of mathematics, in that it is mathematics which affords the exact natural sciences a certain measure of security, to which without mathematics they could not attain.

At this point an enigma presents itself which in all ages has agitated inquiring minds. How can it be that mathematics, being after all a product of human thought which is independent of experience, is so admirably appropriate to the objects of reality? Is human reason, then, without experience, merely by taking thought, able to fathom the properties of real things.

In my opinion the answer to this question is, briefly, this: - As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not certain; and as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality.

Albert Einstein

Address to Prussian Academy of Sciences (1921)

Clearly there exists a puzzle in applying numbers to physical phenomena, which then Einstein related to his explanation [52] for indeterminacy [53]. The proposed solution to this puzzle is to not

focus on the real dimensions of physical reality in using numbers, to not describe indeterminacy in such a manner, namely by criticizing how numbers are used to explain physical phenomena, yet to instead focus on the zero-dimensional aspects of physical reality [50] and then then give a precise mathematical description for the ideas of causality, locality, and indeterminacy.

The initial complicated task of the zero-dimensional number theory is how indeed should one scale the size of a point, of zero-dimensional space, which was thence termed the zero-infinity (\odot_0^{∞}) paradox ([50]: p6). In papers 43 [43] and 48 [48] the zero-infinity scaling paradox laid a foundation for how to approach the idea of spatial infinity, and how that can be coupled with relating one zero-dimensional point with another zero-dimensional point using the idea of time.

There, the zero-dimensional number theory describes how the numbers 1 and 0 tagged to zero-dimensional time and space respectively result in two equations, the Fibonacci equation for the *temporal* feature of *timespace* as $t_B + 1 = t_A$ (where $t_B^2 = t_A$), and Euler's equation for the *spatial* feature of *timespace* as $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$. These equations were considered as the axioms for the subsequent number theory of time relating with space [49] resulting in 1d, 2d, and 3d *timespace*. There, one axiom as the time equation prescribes a spiral (Fibonacci) and the other as Euler's equation for space prescribes a circle. The challenge there is understanding how both equations can find parity as *time=space* outside the time-domain of *time-now*, thence requiring the use of *time-before* and *time-after*. This process results in an ecosystem of equations performing this role, all logically consistent with the axioms.

There though it was found that the task of joining those two equations is potentially incomplete thence resulting in an endless process of time relating with space with those equations. Nonetheless, in having sufficiently accommodated for the zero-infinity paradox (\odot_0^{∞}), the limitation of the *time=space* equation process was found to be the calculation of the equations for minimum mass and maximum mass, *namely the "maximum mass" equation which limits the scaling system in the zero \rightarrow infinity zone, and of course "minimum mass" equation which limits the scaling in the 0 zone.*

When this number theory process is tagged with the charge of the electron e_c and the speed of light c , it was found that the number theory ecosystem of equations matches the known equations for physical phenomena, except for the equations for light which it proposes as a temporal wave function, and the equations for gravity which it proposes to be most fundamentally and logically based on the mass of the neutrino m_{ex} ([50]: p9-10).

Fundamentally, the Temporal Mechanics zero-dimensional philosophy, number theory, and associated physical theory is **not about** applying numbers to what is "real" (such as the real dimensions, mass, and so on) in thence being an abstraction of numbers, yet about applying numbers to what is *zero-dimensional* and thus being a pure number theory. Then, that number theory and associated virtual reality of equations is applied to physical phenomena using the charge of the electron e_c and speed of light c as scales for that number theory. This was explained throughout paper 50 [50] as the zero-dimensional physical theory.

In many aspects, the zero-dimensional physical theory is a mathematical description of zero-dimensional time and zero-dimensional space that together can construct a virtual reality in a way:

- (i) According to a specific axiom protocol for zero-dimensional time and space without wavering from such.
- (ii) Replacing how physics uses abstraction with numbers to describe actual physical phenomena.
- (iii) Mimicking what is observed of physical phenomena.

In many ways, the zero-dimensional philosophy, number theory, and thence physical theory process can register physical reality according to a description consistent with our own sentient awareness. Along this train of thought therefore, perhaps one of the most fundamental concepts in philosophy is the idea of causality and determinism. What therefore does the zero-dimensional philosophy, number theory, and physical theory say about the idea of causality, of cause and effect, and ultimately determinism, and how are such proposed to manifest with the workings of physical phenomena? Further to such, what does this zero-dimensional physical theory derive for the ideas of *locality* and *indeterminacy*?

3. Causality, locality, and indeterminacy

Causality [54] as an idea (cause and effect) is perhaps one of the greatest mysteries in physics, namely the reason for physical phenomena and how such plays out as the effect itself of the behaviour of physical phenomena and that variety of traits.

On a most basic level *causality* prescribes the following ideas and processes:

- (iv) The relationship between causes and effects.
- (v) A process of time whereby the cause and effect are each best conceived of as temporally transient.
- (vi) Cause is partly responsible for the effect.
- (vii) Effect is partly dependent on the cause.
- (viii) An effect cannot occur *before* its cause.
- (ix) A cause cannot have an effect outside its future.
- (x) The cause and effect must be mediated across space and time (in upholding the idea of contiguity).
- (xi) Effects follow causes in a predictable, linear manner.
- (xii) The causes of an event must ultimately be reducible to fundamental interactions.

In greater detail, causality describes how one event, process, state, or object (*a cause*) contributes to the production of another event, process, state, or object (*an effect*) where:

- (xiii) The cause for something to happen is embedded in the effect to some extent on a fundamental time and space level.

- (xiv) A process can have many causes as *causal factors*, and all lie in its past.
- (xv) An effect can in turn be a cause of, or causal factor for, many other effects, which all lie in its future.

Here with the zero-dimensional logic, causality requires:

- (xvi) The basis of zero-dimensional space as a point and time as a moment as the fundamental interaction basis.
- (xvii) The accompanying feature of a past-event (*time-before*) leading to a future event (*time-after*) via the known local temporal paradigm of *time-now*.

By its basis and ensuing description, Temporal Mechanics and associated zero-dimensional theory makes the description of causality a formality; paper 50 ([50]: p6-20) summarizes what was achieved in zero-dimensional number theory in that regard, primarily the two equations for time space, namely $t_B + 1 = t_A$ for the primary temporal component and $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ for the primary spatial component.

By the proposed association of those two equations there results an ecosystem of equations as presented in paper 50 ([50]: p6-20). Each of those two equations therefore must describe features of the derived ecosystem of equations for each of those equations in that ecosystem of equations.

On a basic level, it was found that the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ equation forms the basis for *EM*, and the $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ equation forms the basis for gravity. In between these two equations is the derived concept of mass. This was described throughout paper 42 [42], and thence papers 43-50 [43-50].

The process of derivation of the equations and associated descriptors for *EM*, gravity, and mass, revolve primarily around the basic *EM* temporal wave function equation and how that equation adapts to the space equation of $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$. There, it was found that when the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ equation undergoes complete destructive interference resonance (EM_X^{DIR}) it equates to a 0 t_A result, and thus complies completely with the $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ equation, thence becoming a gravitational field effect². A partial destructive interference resonance (EM^{DIR}) effect though was derived to result in mass, specifically particle pair production [38]. These processes were presented throughout paper 42 [42].

For simplicity, consider the *EM* field as the θ field, the EM^{DIR} field as the θ_φ field, and the EM_X^{DIR} field as the θ_Φ field.

The real issue therefore is how mass (θ_φ) and all its associated derived equations are affected by *EM* (θ) and gravity (θ_Φ). Consider figure 1 describing the three timespace field effects, namely *EM* (θ), mass (θ_φ), and gravity (θ_Φ) as derived from the two equations for *timespace*.

² ([35]: p29, eq3), ([39]: p44, eq19-20), ([40]: p20, eq4-10), ([42]: p14, eq14, eq21) ([42]: p7-16).




Figure 1: Schematic of the zero-dimensional number theory and two basic equations, and their physical phenomenal relationships as *EM* (blue arrow), mass, and gravity (red arrow).

The very nature of the two fundamental equations for time and space thence describe how mass (EM^{DIR}, Θ_φ) can be regarded from two aspects, from the $EM(\Theta)$ aspect as the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ aspect, and from the gravity (EM_X^{DIR}, Θ_Φ) aspect as the $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ aspect. In other words, mass (EM^{DIR}, Θ_φ) is proposed to have two field effects associated to it, an intrinsic $EM(\Theta)$ field effect as $t_B + 1 = t_A$, and an all-pervading gravitational effect as $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ (EM_X^{DIR}, Θ_Φ).

Therefore, the primary locality (immediate surrounding) influence on mass (EM^{DIR}, Θ_φ) has two components, $EM(t_B + 1 = t_A)$ and EM_X^{DIR} as gravity ($e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$).

On the surface, the standard time equation of $t_B + 1 = t_A$ appears compatible with the idea of causality, namely having a cause t_B that leads to an effect as t_A . Yet it must be noted that t_A was defined as an unknown and thence required the idea of $t_B^2 = t_A$ to accommodate for such³. There, one could say there is a certain lack of certainty in the future, an unknowability, already wired into the time

³ ([43]: p6-7, eq1-7), ([44]: p8-10, eq1-6).

equation. To also note there was that the time equation operates according to a value of c , as a rate of expression⁴.

The standard space equation of $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$, written as such as a temporal analogue to form the basis of the resulting *timespace* equations, is to have its t_B component represent $e^{i\pi}_{t_B}$, and its t_A component represent the value of 0. There, one could say there is a null future result, and thus presumably an “immediate” field effect in play for gravity. One could therefore say that the idea of gravity is one of being an immediate field force effect not constrained by c , as derived here, and that by such gravity as a field effect goes beyond the normal local field constraints of c as faster than light field travel. Consider figure 2.




Figure 2: A more detailed schematic of the relationship between EM (θ , blue arrows), mass (θ_ϕ), and gravity (θ_ϕ , red arrows), noting that here only three chosen locations for a gravitational field reference are used with three chosen locations for mass.

When the idea of mass is then brought into the picture of $t_B + 1 = t_A$ and $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$, it must be noted that intrinsic to mass is the idea of a partial *DIR* (destructive interference resonance) EM (θ) field effect (EM^{DIR}, θ_ϕ). There also to note is that gravity as $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ is a full destructive interference resonance (EM_X^{DIR}, θ_ϕ) of the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ equation. Therefore, in an overall gravitational field backdrop, the EM feature yields to the gravitational feature, or quite simply, the idea of EM (θ) that is fundamental/intrinsic to mass, intrinsic to θ_ϕ , is prescribed to approach a spatial value of 0 for θ_ϕ (mass) locale relative locations. Quite simply, mass is demonstrated here to be self-attractive. Such self-attraction is derived to happen in alliance with a repulsive effect of the θ_ϕ field on EM (θ) and mass

⁴ paper 2 [2] and 45 [45].

(θ_φ), as derived and described in paper 47 ([47]: p15-19). Thus, figure 2 can be more efficiently drawn as figure 3 highlighting the self-attractive resultant nature of mass.




Figure 3: a resultant schematic for the self-attractive nature of mass (θ_φ) by the overall resultant effect of gravity (θ_Φ).

Simply, the “attractive” feature of the mass-mass association owes itself to the requirement of the EM^{DIR} field to abide by the $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ equation. Although, mass would be influenced locally by $t_B + 1 = t_A$ and thus have certain local influences constrained by the same constraints of $t_B + 1 = t_A$, namely c , mass would be fundamentally constrained by $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ and thus constrained non-locally as an attractive force effect.

As highlighted in figure 2, a θ_φ (mass) locale is influenced directly by its immediate EM (θ) surroundings (blue arrows) **and** via an immediate gravitational (θ_Φ) “action at a distance” process (red arrows). The question now is understanding how the two relate, and what that phenomenon for a mass object is derived to be.

If it can be granted that to measure something requires EM , thence naturally physics would consider that the process of measurement is constrained by c . Here though Temporal Mechanics and the zero-dimensional theory presents a confounding ingredient as per the gravity equation of $e^{i\pi}_{t_B} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$, namely that gravity on a most basic zero-point level operates immediately through space by its very nature with the derived fabric itself of *timespace*. The effect this would have would be two-fold as mediated by its t_A feature of 0, namely its effect on mass and its effect on EM :

- (xviii) The effect of the Θ_Φ field (gravity) on the Θ_φ field (mass) would be immediate, a field force described with properties as derived⁵, as a mass-particle Θ_φ *immediate* entanglement realm in space:
 - a. This would then make identifying the exact location of a particle using *EM* (constrained by *c*) impossible, and thus the notion of *indeterminacy* for particle location.
- (xix) Underlying mass-particles Θ_φ in *immediate* entanglement would be an underlying intrinsic Θ (*EM*) immediate entanglement for all those mass-particles:
 - a. The effect of the Θ_Φ field on the *EM* (Θ) field would be to make its status as a wave function also uncertain upon the “immediate” basis of the Θ_Φ field, a feature known to physics requiring the idea of “wave function collapse” ([48]: p10) to describe how a wave function can be identified, and thus *indeterminacy* for wave function status.

By (xix), the quantum state (Θ) of a particle (Θ_φ) therefore, namely the Θ feature intrinsic to Θ_φ , prescribes a phenomenon for particles sharing spatial proximity (through generation or interaction) such that the quantum state (Θ) of each particle (Θ_φ) of a group of particles cannot be described independently of the quantum state (Θ) of the other particles (Θ_φ), even if the particles are separated by a large distance [55]. This was also described from the aspect of the time equation and associated absolute *time-before* time-points in paper 29 [29], “*Time and Non-Locality: Resolving Bell’s Theorem*”⁶.

In short, the derived Θ_φ field mandates that it is not possible to predict the value of a quantity with arbitrary certainty, even if all initial conditions⁷ are specified. Further to such, the Θ_φ field also was demonstrated by derivation to mandate Fermat’s principle, the stationary action principle, and the principle of inertia, as per paper 47 [47].

Thus, there are four features to mass in space as per the gravity Θ_Φ field:

- (xx) Indeterminacy.
- (xxi) Fermat’s principle
- (xxii) Stationary action principle.
- (xxiii) Principle of inertia.

There is a 5th principle to consider nonetheless, and that is the temporal component of the Θ_φ field and how that such a field effect is constrained by *c* yet nonetheless a part of the 1d, 2d, and 3d *timespace* realms. Quite simply, here would be a different type of gravity effect, as not the zero-point “action at a distance” Θ_Φ gravitational field effect, yet an observed and *c*-limited mass-field effect. This was proposed/derived in paper 22 ([22]: p13-26), namely the two types of gravity, gravity A and gravity

⁵ ([35]: p29, eq3), ([39]: p44, eq19-20), ([40]: p20, eq4-10), ([42]: p14, eq14, eq21).

⁶ See section 4.

⁷ See section 4

B. This mass-field effect, namely the Θ_ϕ field effect, is what is understood of gravitational waves (incorrectly named as gravitational waves), constrained by c , and not the “action at a distance” (immediate) zero-point energy Θ_ϕ gravitational field. Thus, the fifth feature for the phenomena of mass is:

(xxiv) Mass-field waves, as Θ_ϕ waves.

A feature to note there for the phenomena of mass is the effect of the Θ_ϕ field on the Θ_ϕ (mass) field in an immediate manner. Here, in the Θ_ϕ field causing an uncertain location for mass objects, there is derived to be the basic idea of particle spin and particle vibration (on a most fundamental level)⁸.

In short, the effect of one mass with another, each having intrinsic spin and thence rotation with each other is to produce a Θ_ϕ field wave effect that is bound by the value of c , a mass-field wave effect that in all appearance is attractive by the effect of the Θ_ϕ field⁹, yet a mass field effect that that is bound by EM as a partial destructive interference resonance of an EM field (EM^{DIR}, Θ_ϕ). Such is the zero-dimensional basis description for gravitational waves¹⁰, yet more precisely Θ_ϕ field waves.

To note is that the alignment of these Θ_ϕ field waves and their required phenomena for that Θ_ϕ field wave effect to occur is that the mass motion phenomena of this Θ_ϕ field effect (and thus motion of mass) would need to be *orthogonal* to the gravitational action-at-a-distance Θ_ϕ field effect, orthogonal so as not to be the Θ_ϕ gravitational field effect.

In therefore calculating the motion of the planets of this solar system, one would first calculate the “action at a distance” classical Newtonian mechanical values for gravity, and then appropriate to such a required Θ_ϕ *orthogonal* wave field effect (and thus mass-motion effect as this wave field effect) perpendicular to the direction of planetary motion, namely in two directions perpendicular to the direction of rotation of a planet around the sun, one as a *precession* value (one orthogonal direction) and the other as a *perihelion* value (another orthogonal value) while also accounting for the conservation of energy requirement.

The perihelion value of Mercury can thence be precisely calculated by such a process, namely calculating the feature of EM (Θ) accommodated for by mass in the context of the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation seeking to perfect the value of π (namely, in finding parity with the space equation of $e^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$), from an atomic scale to a proposed macroscopic scale. Such a calculation was achieved in paper 14 ([14]: p26-30) in taking into consideration the entire energy requirements for this proposed conservation of energy process, namely from the microscopic scale (Lamb shift) to the macroscopic scale (CMBR). Here though the requirement for the precession and perihelion is derived without merely being labelled by bending/stretching flat spacetime.

⁸ ([22]: p17-23), ([23]: p12-28).

⁹ ([35]: p29, eq3), ([39]: p44, eq19-20), ([40]: p20, eq4-10), ([42]: p14, eq14, eq21).

¹⁰ Not a precise description of the phenomena as a label.

4. Determinism, and the logistic map equation

It is important to note that the idea of determinism here would prescribe the maintenance of the *principle of relativity* [56], namely the status quo of the *timespace* relations of equations and associated physical attributes. Here, *determinism* is the basis of the time equation and how it relates with space. Yet such is not to say the future can be predicted, yet that there always exists a fundamental unknowability in the future especially regarding the location of an object if indeed gravity operates according to $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ where $t_A = 0$. Simply, the proposed system of *timespace* here although having temporal intentionality as $t_B + 1 = t_A$ has nonetheless a basic zero-point energy basis of unknowability as intentionality as per $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$.

Imagine every object in motion yet the fundamental effect of gravity being immediate for such to work. In that process therefore, there is and must always be an uncertainty in how one object relates with another gravitationally in that immediate temporal context as the Θ_Φ component when juggled with the Θ_φ c -limited component. The question is how that uncertainty is wired into an immediate effect of gravity. It is so care of the fundamental time equation logistics with space on the zero-dimensional level for time and space. Simply, Θ_φ uncertainty is always already wired into Θ_Φ gravitational positions.

In mathematical demonstration of this, if a “supplementary” equation for *timespace* were to be now considered, one that acknowledges the “action at a distance” idea of the $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ equation and the standard $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation, and then combining the two according to a certain manner of regard, one such manner of combination can be most basically considered as $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} = -1_{t_A}$. Here, the $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ space equation as the “action at a distance” equation is proposed to by-pass a flow of time per-se in relieving that duty to the basic $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation. By such, the following equation can apply for the standard time equation in replacing t_A with $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}}$ as -1 :

$$t_B + 1 = -1. \quad (1)$$

This can be expanded to $t_B + 1 = t_B - t_A$, if indeed $t_B - t_A = -1$.

Thus, we get the following in noting $t_B^2 = t_A$:

$$t_B + 1 = t_B(1 - t_B). \quad (2)$$

Now let us add in a temporospatial component “ x ”:

$$x_{(t_B+1)} = x_{t_B}(1 - x_{t_B}) \quad (3)$$

The scale of “ x ” needs to be considered, and so we must add a new constant k ; thus repairing eq. 2 we now have:

$$x_{(t_B+1)} = k \cdot x_{t_B}(1 - x_{t_B}) \quad (4)$$

This constant k would represent a feature that highlights a *sensitivity* to the underlying temporal wave function processes at play, as what can be termed the “*initial conditions*” for “ x ”.

This equation would represent how any condition for “ x ” would evolve in time, would propagate through time, having an underlying structure in being the feature of the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation adapting to the $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ space equation.

This is not the first-time mathematics has conceptualized this equation, as it represents the “logistic map equation” [57], used in chaos theory, defining the idea of chaos with an underlying sensitivity to initial conditions [58], promoting fractal lattices [59], an equation that has been used to successfully study sentient population growth.

Here, we can propose that the “ x ” paradigm would be sensitive to the underlying initial conditions of the zero-dimensional basis, and that the value “ k ” can be adjusted to accommodate for the proposed directive of the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation with the $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ space equation, and thus as per a fractal Fibonacci sequence [60] process of mass-mass association, given that the Fibonacci sequence is a golden ratio algorithm [61].

In now considering how in paper 29 [29] absolute *time-before* time-points were considered as the cause for the concept of non-locality, here that absolute *time-before* time-point reference is better described with $e_{t_B}^{i\pi}$ as per $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} = -1_{t_A}$ thence leading to the known phenomenal effects of mass as per the logistic map equation $x_{(t_B+1)} = k \cdot x_{t_B}(1 - x_{t_B})$.

The overall structure of reality is therefore proposed to play out as vast conglomerations of temporal wave function interactions and resonances in a context of (in all appearance) the proposed logistic map equation as an amalgamation of the $t_B + 1 = t_A$ time equation and $e_{t_B}^{i\pi} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ space equation instructing physical phenomena (mass) in the manner of $x_{(t_B+1)} = k \cdot x_{t_B}(1 - x_{t_B})$.

Thus, from an exact use of numbers (0 and 1) for zero-dimensional time and zero-dimensional space can be derived a zero-dimensional number theory that when scaled with the values of e_c and c results in a description of physical phenomena that clearly states that labelling numbers otherwise directly to physical phenomena will **ALWAYS** result in errors due to the derived fundamental issues of locality and indeterminacy.

Thus, figure 1 can be more accurately be presented as figure 4.




Figure 4: An overall schematic of the relationship between the proposed zero-dimensional number theory and physical phenomena.

In short, determinism is proposed to be executed by:

- (xxv) The two zero-dimensional equations, namely $t_B + 1 = t_A$ and $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ for time and space respectively.
- (xxvi) Cause and effect being embedded in each of the zero-dimensional equations for time and space.
- (xxvii) How ultimately the cause-and-effect nature of the two equations has each other determined as a cause and effect for each other.

For *timespace*, such prescribes a *temporal* direction of random events towards an equilibrium weighed as a value of 0, or rather gravitational equilibrium with the process of energy being executed *into* equilibrium *in approaching* a value of 0, and thus the basis for entropy with time's arrow.

The fundamental consideration is that all of such, in abiding ultimately by Euler's equation for space, is a process of having the basic microscopic time equation driven process adapt to an overall pan-gravity zero-point energy field effect, and thus a system in cycles of time, prescribing no actual beginning or end to time itself, as the time equation $t_B + 1 = t_A$ proposes, only beginnings and ends to mass-based events in *timespace* as Euler's equation $e^{\frac{i\pi}{t_B}} + 1_{t_N} = 0_{t_A}$ proposes.

5. Conclusion

The utility of the Temporal Mechanics zero-dimensional description for time and space certainly now becomes apparent in its description of causality, locality, and indeterminacy. Here, known problems and paradoxes in physics theory are resolved through this more fundamental (zero-dimensional) account of the dimensions of time and space, namely not making mass and momentum the axiom of choice for physical theory, yet the dimensions of time and space on a zero-point level. The next step for this series of papers is to add more description and analysis to this new idea of gravity (θ_ϕ) as zero-point energy and those relevant dynamics with $EM(\theta)$ and mass (θ_φ).

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest; this has been an entirely self-funded independent project.

References

1. Jarvis S. H. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.35132.28804/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738261_Gravity's_Emergence_from_Electrodynamics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1704.0169>
2. Jarvis S. H. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.30099.12327/4>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738109_Golden_Ratio_Axioms_of_Time_and_Space,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1706.0488>
3. Jarvis S. H. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.23388.23683/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738518_The_Emergence_of_Consciousness_from_Chaos,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1707.0044>
4. Jarvis S. H. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.10045.10726/5>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738422_Phi-Quantum_Wave-Function_Crystal_Dynamics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1707.0352>
5. Jarvis S. H. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.23466.88009/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738526_Time_as_Energy <https://vixra.org/abs/1711.0419>
6. Jarvis S. H. (2018), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.13400.55044/4>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328738389_The_Relativity_of_Time
<https://vixra.org/abs/1801.0083>
7. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.27741.26089/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332672475_Golden_Ratio_Entropic_Gravity_Gravitational_Singularity_Field_Testing, <https://vixra.org/abs/1904.0485>
8. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.35399.14246/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332879052_The_Golden_Ratio_Time_Algorithm,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1905.0081>
9. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.28499.02084/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333668324_The_Physics_Chimera,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1906.0127>
10. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.10258.71363/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333972239_The_Conception_of_Time,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1906.0441>
11. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.15833.67689/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335232726_Space_and_the_Propagation_of_Light
<https://vixra.org/abs/1908.0388>
12. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.17320.93443>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336130560_Space_and_the_Nature_of_Gravity,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1909.0656>
13. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.14287.43683/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337019159_Space_and_the_Redshift_Effect,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1911.0064>
14. Jarvis S. H. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.25730.63686/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338159068_Solving_the_Cosmological_Constant_Problem,
<https://vixra.org/abs/1912.0451>

15. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.13078.91205/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338548474_Hybrid_Time_Theory_Euler's_Formula_and_the_Phi-Algorithm, <https://vixra.org/abs/2001.0233>
16. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.27053.64487/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338689227_The_Hybrid_Time_Clock_as_a_Function_of_Gravity,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2001.0401>
17. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.20045.79847/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340270768_Hybrid_Time_Theory_Cosmology_and_Quantum_Gravity_I, <https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0659>
18. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.16207.84648/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340574420_Scientific_Principles_of_Space_Time_and_Perception, <https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0260>
19. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.23972.22405/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341117279_Hybrid_Time_Theory_Cosmology_and_Quantum_Gravity_II, <https://vixra.org/abs/2005.0053>
20. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.34441.67683/6>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341440377_Mathematical_Principles_of_Time_and_Energy,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2005.0179>
21. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.21001.88169/1>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341782076_Dimensional_Mechanics_of_Time_and_Space,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2005.0286>
22. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.29715.71202/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342343657_Dimensional_Thermodynamics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2006.0194>
23. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.10565.68320/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343281767_Time-Space_Wave-Mechanics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2007.0223>
24. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.15362.09929/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343678982_Temporal_Calculus_The_Calculus_of_Time-Points_in_Space, <https://vixra.org/abs/2008.0111>
25. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.33774.43843/3>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343987838_Temporal_Calculus_solving_the_Yang-Mills_Existence_and_Mass_Gap_problem, <https://vixra.org/abs/2008.0226>
26. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.28539.75043/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344221574_Temporal_Calculus_Time_Scaling_Space,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2009.0091>
27. Jarvis S. H. (2020),
<https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.20191.07844>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344387016_Temporal_Calculus_Resolving_Elementary_Particle_Formation_and_Confinement,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2009.0177>
28. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.12474.21447/2>,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344457690_Temporal_Calculus_Resolving_Einstein's_Theory_of_Relativity_Special_and_General, <https://vixra.org/abs/2010.0017>

29. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.12651.98086/1>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345127477> Time and Non-Locality Resolving Bell's Theorem,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2011.0002>
30. Jarvis S. H. (2020), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.11032.83206/4>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346672010> Non-Local Time-
Point Theory Magnetic Quantum Shell MQS Modelling, <https://vixra.org/abs/2012.0040>
31. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.28879.10407/1>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348184426> Temporal Mechanics A Time-Space Circuits,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2101.0022>
32. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.31751.21925/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348729069> Temporal Mechanics B Time-Space Constants,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2101.0148>
33. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.30214.70720/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349108810> Temporal Mechanics C Time-Space Manifolds,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2102.0047>
34. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.17868.54403/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350090036> Temporal Mechanics D Time-Space Metrics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2103.0102>
35. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.28496.84483/7>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350512305> Temporal Mechanics E Time-Space Logistics,
<https://vixra.org/abs/2103.0201>
36. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.34363.34082/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353224312> Quantum Gravity and the calculation of maximum
SOL mass, <https://vixra.org/abs/2107.0086>
37. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.20022.42563/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353403592> An Axiom of Time resolving Entropy CP violation
and the isotropic CMBR, <https://vixra.org/abs/2107.0146>
38. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.28179.20007/6>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354794575> Temporal Mechanics and EM-
DIR particle pair production, <https://vixra.org/abs/2109.0167>
39. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.15658.13764/4>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356039421> Temporal Mechanics and the derivation of an electron
degeneracy neutrino Gravity constant G fine structure constant α Planck constant h and the
phenomenal values of Sol, <https://vixra.org/abs/2111.0045>
40. Jarvis S. H. (2021), <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19674.67529/6>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356508902> Time-
domains as the foundation for classical mechanics the relativity of moving objects and the known
field forces presenting the case for a unified field theory, <https://vixra.org/abs/2111.0131>
41. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rq.2.2.17398.93763/5>,
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357690054> A time
equation thought experiment deriving 3D space as timespace in forming the basis for particle and
field phenomena, <https://vixra.org/abs/2201.0043>
42. Jarvis S. H. (2022), [10.13140/RG.2.2.23272.75521/5](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.23272.75521/5),
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358974090> The Temporal Mechanics XEMDIR field resolving

- [zero-point energy negative energy anti-EM anti-gravity symmetry breaking and Baryon asymmetry](https://vixra.org/abs/2203.0018), <https://vixra.org/abs/2203.0018>
43. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.23915.00808/4>, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359052099> The mathematics of zero-dimensional space, <https://vixra.org/abs/2203.0030>
 44. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.33528.32000/6>, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359577367> A mathematical analysis of zero-dimensionality in deriving the natural numbers offering a solution to Goldbach's conjecture and the Riemann hypothesis, <https://vixra.org/abs/2203.0181>
 45. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24087.85921/3>, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360065326> A foundational zero-dimensional scaling system mandating the principle of relativity and the associated constancy of the speed of light in a vacuum, <https://vixra.org/abs/2204.0127>
 46. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11064.21768/2>, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360270193> The flaw of applying mathematics directly to physical phenomena in addressing the Entscheidungsproblem and Godel's theorems as compared to the mathematics of zero-dimensionality, <https://vixra.org/abs/2204.0177>
 47. Jarvis S. H. (2022), [10.13140/RG.2.2.23165.44001/3](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.23165.44001/3), <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360588347> Zero-dimensional mathematics and the associated timespace Xemdir field geodesic in deriving Fermat's principle the stationary-action principle and the principle of inertia, <https://vixra.org/abs/2205.0079>
 48. Jarvis S. H. (2022), [10.13140/RG.2.2.29238.57929/5](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.29238.57929/5), <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361556863> Zero-dimensional philosophy, <https://vixra.org/abs/2207.0135>.
 49. Jarvis S. H. (2022), <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361864787> Zero-dimensional number theory, [10.13140/RG.2.2.22499.84008/4](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22499.84008/4).
 50. Jarvis S. H. (2022), [10.13140/RG.2.2.14200.52480/1](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14200.52480/1), <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363474597> The zero-dimensional physical theory I solving reality's puzzle, <https://vixra.org/abs/2209.0125>.
 51. <https://gutenberg.org/ebooks/59>, webpage accessed 14th July 2022.
 52. https://todayinsci.com/E/Einstein_Albert/EinsteinAlbert-MathematicsAndReality.htm, webpage accessed 24th September 2022.
 53. V. Braginski and F. Khalili, Quantum Measurements, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
 54. Bunge, Mario (1959). Causality: the place of the causal principle in modern science. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
 55. Schrödinger E (1935). "Discussion of probability relations between separated systems". Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society. 31(4): 555–563.
 56. Maurice A. Finocchiaro: [Retrying Galileo, 1633-1992](#), University of California Press, 2007 [ISBN 0-520-25387-6](#), [ISBN 978-0-520-25387-2](#)
 57. May, Robert M. 1976. "Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics." Nature 261(5560):459-467.
 58. Baumol, William J., Economic Dynamics, Macmillan Co., 3rd edition, 1970, p160
 59. Mandelbrot, B. (1982). The Fractal Geometry of Nature. New York: Macmillan. [ISBN 0716711869](#).

60. Singh, Parmanand (1985), "The So-called Fibonacci numbers in ancient and medieval India", *Historia Mathematica*, 12 (3): 229–44, [doi:10.1016/0315-0860\(85\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0315-0860(85)90021-7)
61. Livio, Mario (2002). *The Golden Ratio: The Story of Phi, The World's Most Astonishing Number*. New York: Broadway Books. [ISBN 0-7679-0815-5](https://www.broadwaybooks.com/9780767908155).