

# PARADIGM FOR A CONTINUOUS-STATE HOLOGRAPHIC CONSCIOUS MULTIVERSE

RICHARD L. AMOROSO  
Noetic Advanced Studies Institute - Physics Lab  
608 Jean St., Oakland, CA 94610-1422 USA  
Cerebroscopic@mindspring.com

*“It is sensible and prudent...to think about alternatives to the standard model, because the evidence is not all that abundant...and we do know that the standard cosmological model is pointing to another surprise...because (it) traces back to a singularity.” P.J.E Peebles (1993)*

**Abstract.** Although popular, Bigbang cosmology still contains critical untested assumptions and unresolved logical conflicts. Recent observational and theoretical insights suggest it has become feasible to consider developing a new standard model of cosmology. Parameters for developing such a Continuous State Holographic Conscious Multiverse (HCM) are introduced in a preliminary form. The fundamental least unit of the new 11(12)D superspace makes correspondence with MTheory, introduces the origin of complexity in self-organized living systems and refines the role of the observer in physical theory.

## 1. Introduction

We have recently entered one of the periodic transitional phases in the evolution of fundamental theories of physics, giving sufficient pause to reinterpret the general body of empirical data. Recent refinements in observation of cosmic blackbody radiation [43] and various programs of theoretical modeling [4,12] suggest it might be reasonable to explore replacing the naturalistic Bigbang cosmology (BBC). A Continuous State Holographic Conscious Multiverse (HCM) based on alternative interpretations of the observational data is introduced in preliminary form. We begin reexamining pillars of BBC, briefly review alternate interpretations, then introduce general parameters for HCM cosmology.

Reviewing the historical development of physical theory [44] illustrates the fact that two general models, one unitary and the other dualistic, have evolved simultaneously in the scientific literature:

- Unitary Model. Naturalistic, Darwinian, Newtonian; a classically oriented model aligned with current interpretations of the standard models - i.e. Bigbang Cosmology, Bohr’s phenomenological interpretation of Quantum Theory, standard Maxwellian electromagnetism and Einstein’s General theory of Relativity. Many unanswered questions like the breakdown of Maxwell’s equations at singularities remain.
- Dualistic Model. Includes all conventional wisdom plus extended theory; Bohm, de Broglie, Vigier, & Proca implying a polarizable Dirac vacuum with additional parameters and interactions. Best evidence is the Casimir effect. Offers plausible explanation for many unanswered questions, for example the Proca equations satisfy problems in electromagnetic theory. Also allows room for teleological causalities.

Only in the context of dualistic parallels of *extended theory* can a HCM cosmology be viably presented. The concept of a polarizable Dirac vacuum introduces an additional causal order not deemed acceptable in physical theory because it was considered unreasonable that spacetime could contain an ordered periodicity or significant additional symmetry. As discussed below a dual causality and additional vacuum symmetry invites extension of the Wheeler/Feynman [15] radiation law to dynamics of spacetime topology itself where the *present state* is comprised of a continuous *future-past* standing wave [47].

The HCM is intended as the next evolutionary step in the progression of modern cosmological modeling stemming from Einstein's 1917 proposal of a Static Universe (ESU) and the banner 1948 development of both the Steady-State Universe (SSU) of Bondi, Gold & Hoyle and the BBC by Gamow, Alpher and Bethe. Although the HCM could be considered a form of ESU or SSU modeling, it is sufficiently different to require a proliferation of nomenclature. For example the HCM has neither inflation or expansion; and the HCM is not confined to the limits of the  $3(4)D + N_c$  Einstein/Minkowski/ Riemann/Hubble sphere of the current standard BBC and SSU models.

The HCM introduces a revolutionary structural change in the universe. The Hubble sphere represents only an observational limit. Fundamental HCM space is an absolute holographic-like space projecting a Multiverse of a potentially infinite number of nested *relational* Hubble-type domains, each with different laws of physics and complete causal separation from our  $M_4$  realm [16]. The additional subspace dimensions  $N_c$  [17] hypothesized as compactified in the initial BBC event are not a subspace in the HCM; instead ‘our’ whole *relational* Hubble sphere is a subspace of an absolute hyperspace without dimensionality as now defined. Additional dimensions are not compact, but ‘open’, undergoing a process of continuous compactification and dimensional reduction as the ‘standing wave’ of the present is continuously created and recreated.

**2. Parallel Interpretations Of Cosmological Data**

	<b>BIGBANG</b>	<b>HCM</b>
RED SHIFT	Doppler recession of an inflationary expanding universe. ( $m_g = 0$ )	‘Tired light’ phenomena, non-zero mass photon ( $m_g \neq 0$ ) couples to vacuum dissipating energy.
CMBR	$2.75^\circ k$ blackbody remnant of initial hot cataclysmic explosion ~ 20 billion years ago.	Result of continuous state blackbody emission by spacetime cavity QED electrodynamics inherent in a continuous compactification D reduction process.
OLBER’S PARADOX	Expansion of the universe accounts for dissipation of luminosity.	Lifetime of stars insufficient to illuminate heavens; absorption by vacuum coupling and dispersion by interstellar media.
MATTER	Matter creation at initial Bigbang. Missing dark matter required to explain galactic rotation etc.	Dark energy - balances the gravitational potential by matter in the Multiverse. Results in flat spacetime. Spontaneous creation of matter; black hole evaporation removes evolved material.

**3. Awareness: Physical Cosmology of the Fundamental Least Unit**

"Time and space are modes by which we think and not conditions in which we live." -- Albert Einstein, 1941

Awareness is introduced as a fundamental physical quantity. The context for defining awareness is an advanced form of Einstein’s model of a static universe, called the Continuous State Conscious Universe (HCM). The new cosmology is based on principles of the Wheeler-Feynman absorber theory of radiation extended to the topology of a periodic 12D spacetime. The fundamental *least unit of awareness* is shown to be a scale invariant complex cosmological system. Time arises naturally as a ‘beat frequency’ in the translating boundary conditions of a spin exchange ‘continuous state’ dimensional reduction compactification process. A new set of Noetic transformations beyond the Galilean and Poincare-Lorentz are called for to show how the macroscopic nature of awareness arises from microscopic action principles inherent in the Dirac polarized vacuum. The inherent topology of the Noetic transformations are derived by coupling superluminal Lorentz boosts with noncompactified Kaluza-Klein theory in the context of an energy dependent spacetime metric.

The standard model for a living system, biological mechanism, presumes that life can be completely described by parameters of chemistry and physics. In general this biological naturalism is described by quantum theory which deals with the mechanics of atomic and related systems. Quantum theory is described formally by the Schrodinger equation which takes myriad forms, but simply equation (1)

$$ih(\partial \mathbf{y} / \partial t) = H \mathbf{y} \tag{1}$$

describes the action of a particle on a manifold. But the founding fathers of quantum theory said the standardized Copenhagen interpretation was incapable of describing biological systems. Therefore the bulk of this paper is devoted to developing the proper cosmological framework for introducing a fundamental definition of awareness.

### 3.1 EUCLIDIAN / MINKOWSKI GEOMETRY AS THE BASIS FOR REALITY

The Euclidian line is assumed to be the real line [1] because it is what is observed. Logical reasons from supersymmetry and supergravity suggest there are a number of additional unobserved dimensions [2] leaving the issue of dimensionality as an open question. Euclidian space in classical Newtonian terms is a continuous 3D absolute space with time an independent parameter.

Einstein's theories of relativity provided a discrete 3(4)D transmutable relational spacetime manifold. The debate between absolute space or substantivism and relational space still continues. Utilizing the standard definition of a straight line as the intersection of two rigid planes, measurements could be taken to observe whether the angles of a triangle add up to  $180^\circ$ ; but settling the question definitively would require astronomical scale measurements where it appears physically impossible to apply the concept of a rigid body or to define a straight line in terms of a light ray by stellar parallax because of the effects of general relativity. Therefore all physics knows with certainty at the present time is that observed space is approximately Euclidian as is Minkowski space [1, 36].

According to the proof of Schoenflies theorem [10] there can be no topological knots in a plane. Therefore there can be no torsion in a 2D reality; thus the real line must be at least 3D Euclidian where the standard Pythagorean line element is

$$ds^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \quad (2)$$

This assumption that the Euclidian line is the real line is intuitive. Currently there is no known method of empirical proof; and since the Euclidian line is what the Human mind apprehends it remains the formal basis for all scientific fact [1, 23]. But this assumption remains profoundly problematical with issues stemming from both the foundations of mathematics and the nature of physical theory itself concerning the fundamental basis for sets, discreteness versus continuity, geometry and topology, and the relationship of real numbers to rational numbers for example [1].

In general, the class of theories unifying gauge and gravitational fields by utilizing extra dimensions is called Kaluza-Klein theories. In these theories spontaneous symmetry breaking by coordinate transformation in five dimensions is a product of the standard four-dimensional transformation and a local U(1) gauge group arising in basic form in a general relativistic framework of five dimensions described according to the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$A = \int d^5x \sqrt{g} R. \quad (3)$$

Where instead of postulating a five-dimensional Minkowski space  $M^5$  as the ground state, the ground state is taken to be the product  $M^4 \times S^1$  where the circle  $S^1$  is a U(1) group of rotations [2]. In conventional supersymmetry models the radius of circle  $S^1$  is considered to be microscopically small on the order of the Planck scale ( $10^{-33} \text{ cm}$ ,  $10^{-43} \text{ s}$ ), very short and very fast, explaining why these extra dimensions are not observed. This will be discussed in more detail below where Planck's constant is recalculated utilizing the Larmor radius as it relates to non-compactified Kaluza-Klein theory.

An  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  gauge symmetry group can be used to describe all known particle interactions. Following Witten, [2] the minimum number of dimensions of a manifold with this symmetry is seven. In this  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  symmetry group gauge fields arise in the gravitational field as components of more than four dimensions. This yields a dimensionality for our reality of at least four non-compact and seven compact spacetime dimensions,  $M^4 \times S^7 = 11D$ , which Witten [2] calls a remarkable numerical coincidence since this eleven dimensional maximum for supergravity is the minimum for  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  symmetry which also for symmetry reasons observed in nature is in practicality the largest group one could obtain from Kaluza-Klein theories in seven additional dimensions.

This gauge group for gravitational field components is insufficient to describe nature; for a complete theory quarks and leptons plus a Higgs type mechanism triggering symmetry breaking must be added to the Kaluza-Klein framework. In attempting to complete the theory, the gauge coupling constants are determined by calculating the

Einstein action over the compact dimensions. This scales at a high power of  $1/(M_p R)$ , where  $M_p$  is the Planck length and  $R$  is the radius of the extra dimensions showing that  $R$  must actually be in the  $10^{-33}$  cm range for these standard model gauge theories. If one adds the Lagrangian of a cosmological constant Witten finds one can form a reasonable theory [2].

Although only introduced in a preliminary form here, a different view is required by noetic theory because the Einstein gauge is both classical and incomplete. Noetic cosmology like any new theory must however bear correspondence to the established Einstein gauge. The existing derivation of Planck's constant represents classical mathematical limits only and are not actual physical limits in HCM cosmology. Since the Higg's mechanism also arises from the Einstein gauge it must also be called into question and be replaced by another mechanism when the noncompactified form of Kaluza-Klein theory is utilized.

### 3.2 PHILOSOPHY OF SPACE IN HCM COSMOLOGY - ORIGIN OF STRUCTURE

Although the concept of Absolute Space (AS) as defined by Newton is discarded in contemporary physics, a deeper more fundamental form of AS nevertheless seems to exist and is a required foundation for HCM Cosmology. The HCM reintroduces a complementary AS that is non Newtonian because Newtonian AS, once considered the basis of 'our space', first of all is only a form of Euclidian space without sufficient degrees of freedom to incorporate Quantum or Relativity theory. HCM AS is different, but similar enough that Newton deserves credit for realizing the importance of AS. Secondly the relational space of the Einstein universe contains insufficient symmetry parameters to describe the additional causal properties of a supralocal Multiverse. The AS proposed by the HCM) (defined in postulate 1) represents the ground of all existence and 'resides' beyond the observed Hubble universe or even the infinite number of other possible supralocal nested Hubble-type spheres (with varied laws of physics) [16]. The ultimate nature of HCM AS remains ineffable at the moment, but empirical tests are being prepared [45-46]. In the meantime we can deduce some AS properties to steer empirical investigations to higher order properties these deductions suggest.

***Postulate 1:*** *Space is the most fundamental 'form or substance' of existence; and the origin of all structure. The demarcation and translation of which constitutes the basis of all energy or phenomenology. Space takes two forms in HCM cosmology, Absolute Space and the temporal relational subspaces that arise from it. A basis for energy (space geometry) is a fundamental form of information which signifies the cosmological foundation of causality. This postulate also connotes the most rudimentary basis of structural-phenomenology.*

The complementarity between the new concept of AS in HCM Cosmology and the contemporary relational space suggested by Einstein's theories of relativity can be simplistically represented as a 'virtual reality' by interpreting HCM AS as a fundamental background space of the related space fields referred to by Einstein's quote below.

Time is a complex process only just beginning to be addressed by physicists [47]. One can say that all forms of time [6,47] represent various types of motion and in that sense time can be discounted as a concept (i.e. - not absolutely fundamental). Then geometric translation or field propagation becomes more fundamental. Thus space (whatever it is) is the most fundamental concept of the universe. Space with boundary conditions or energy is fundamental to all forms of matter.

### 3.3 SPACE: RELATIONAL VS ABSOLUTE

The conceptual disparity regarding the fundamental nature of space arises in terms of correspondence between the Newtonian worldview of a continuous Absolute Space (AS) that is in opposition to the current Einsteinian view of discreteness of the spacetime manifold. This debate about the nature of space has continued at least since Aristotle. Einstein in his last published statement regarding the nature of space and time said:

"The victory over the concept of absolute space or over that of the inertial system became possible only because the concept of the material object was gradually replaced as the fundamental concept of physics by that of the field...The whole of physical reality could perhaps be represented as a field whose components depend on four space-time parameters. If the laws of this field are in general covariant, then the introduction of an independent

(absolute) space is no longer necessary. That which constitutes the spatial character of reality is then simply the four-dimensionality of the field. There is then no ‘empty space’, that is, there is no space without a field.” [18].

Einstein’s view is a form of the *relational theory* of space introduced initially by Leibniz and Huygens [31,32]. Relationalism is in opposition to *substantialism* which gives space the ontological status of an independent reality as a kind of *substance* [31]; the Newtonian concept of absolute space being the prime example.

Finding the founding fathers of quantum theory credible in their declaration that the standard model is incapable of describing biological systems; means awareness can only be defined adequately by extending all the standard models since they are so intertwined. This means that:

- The standard cosmological model - the Bigbang is insufficient.
- The standard mechanistic model of biological naturalism is inadequate.
- The standard Turing model of computation is inadequate.
- The standard model of gravitation is insufficient.
- The standard Copenhagen phenomenological model of quantum theory is inadequate.
- The standard model of electromagnetism is inadequate.
- The standard cognitive model of neuroscience is also insufficient.

This criticism does not mean these seven models are wrong; only that they go part way. The focus here is primarily on the cosmological model as it is the core of the problem. The required parameters of the post Bigbang universe will be stated axiomatically for simplicity. The domain of the Bigbang is defined in terms of the Hubble radius for the large-scale structure of the universe and the Planck scale for the microscopic. The large-scale observational limit according to Bigbang philosophy is caused by the Doppler effect on light propagation due to the recessional velocity of expansion of the universe. This observational limit occurs where light becomes attenuated by the redshift.

The Hubble radius remains an observational limit in Continuous State Conscious Universe (HCM) cosmology also but is not caused by the Doppler effect. It is due to a minute non-zero rest mass for the photon [4,5]. As a photon propagates it couples to the polarized Dirac vacuum and loses energy also attenuating to zero observability; but if one were able to travel to the Hubble limit observation would extend for another Hubble radius ad infinitum. Thus a critical difference in interpretation of redshift – a physical limit for the Bigbang and an observational illusion in HCM cosmology.

Einstein by the introduction of special and general relativity replaced the absolute 3D Newtonian continuum with a discrete 3(4)D relational spacetime manifold. This space can still be interpreted as a potential Bigbang space terminating at the impenetrable Planck backcloth of stochastic foam. Noetic cosmology changes the interpretation of this limit. The Planck barrier is a virtual mathematical barrier to Fermions as the present recedes into the past.

The HCM [5] is a Multiverse with the potential for an infinite number of nested Hubble spheres in causal separation and thus with their own laws of physics [16]. In the Bigbang the extra dimensions laid down at the beginning of time are curled up at the Planck scale as a compactified subspace. In the Noetic HCM cosmology the opposite is true. A new form of HD Absolute Space (AS) projects a periodic 11(12)D space. The standard observed relational Einstein reality, 3(4)D  $M^4$ , is a subspace of the 11(12)D space projected from this new AS. An extension of the Wheeler-Feynman absorber theory of radiation [20] is utilized to define an eternal *present* as a standing wave of the *future-past* that is ‘covered’ at each level of scale by a HD Wheeler Geon (Wheeler, 1955) or ball of light. This HD Noetic light field filling the immensity of subspace is the unified field that acts as gravitation, the vital force, and light of the mind. As will be derived below this action principle can be described by a simple fundamental Noetic equation  $F_{(N)} = E / R$  [5,21,28]. This complex least unit explains the utility of the 12D space. All this will be discussed in detail in ensuing sections.

The world lines of relational space are virtual extensions created and recreated harmonically by the torsion of the continuous compactification process. Therefore instead of a rigid impenetrable Planck barrier covered by a stochastic foam of particle creation and annihilation, HCM cosmology has an ordered / open spacetime with a complex hyperstructure that is closed and finite in time for fermions, but open and infinite atemporally for bosons. In the HCM, stochasticity, i.e. string or brane dynamics, arises in the wake of unitary graviton propagation guiding the dynamics of the continuous state. The Noetic graviton, is a quadrupole photon complex confined to the spacetime metric like quarkonium and described elsewhere [12]. The Planck singularity ( $10^{-33} \text{ cm}$ ),  $10^{-43} \text{ s}$  is *virtual*, a geometric orientation that arises as the present recedes into the past [5].

#### 4. Overview of the Formalism for Noetic Cosmology


Noetic Cosmology is cast in a 12D harmonic superspace  $S_N = S_0 + S_1 + S_2$  in the context of an extended Wheeler/Feynman absorber theory [15] where standard Minkowski space  $M_4$  is a ‘standing wave’ of the future-past. This takes the general form

$$R_{symM_4}^{S_{N0}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ R_{retC_4}^{S_{N1}} + R_{advC_4}^{S_{N2}} \right] \tag{4}$$

or simplistically stated the 12D noetic superspace  $S_N$  represents a complex Minkowski metric  $M_4 + C_8$  (or  $\pm C_4$ ).  $S_N$  thus combines the standard  $M_4$  four *real* dimensions (D) plus 8 imaginary D representing a *retarded* and *advanced* complex hyperspace topology which adapts the complex  $(M_4 + C_8)$  Minkowski metric from the standard stationary form to a periodic form.  $S_0 = M_4$  represents the noetic 3(4)D ‘standing wave’ Minkowski ‘present’ spacetime;  $S_1 = -C_{4(ret)}$  represents the past component and  $S_2 = +C_{4(adv)}$  represents the future for complex correspondence to the standard 4 real dimensions utilizing 8 imaginary dimensions. The 8 imaginary dimensions, while not manifest generally (locally) on the Euclidean real line, are nevertheless ‘physical’ in the HCM and can be represented by complex coordinates

$$X = \pm(x + i\mathbf{x}), Y = \pm(y + i\mathbf{h}), Z = \pm(z + i\mathbf{z}) \text{ and } t = \pm(t + i\mathbf{t}) \tag{5}$$

designating correspondence to real and retarded/advanced continuous spacetime transformations. For symmetry reasons the standard Minkowski line element metric  $ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$  is expanded into periodic *retarded* and *advanced* topological elements fundamental to relational space ‘extension’ giving Noetic Superspace  $S_N$  its continuous state dimensional reduction standing wave periodicity. This is illustrated conceptually in Fig. 1 below.




**Figure 1.** Basic topological premises of Noetic Cosmology shown by three different conceptual views representing the least cosmological unit: a) The baby and old man represent the *relational* periodic basis of spacetime by applying extended Wheeler/Feynman absorber theory where the present is a standing wave of the future/past. b) The 11(12)D harmonic superspace translates in a continuous state dimensional reduction compactification process. A 12D HCU provides enough degrees of freedom so that two complex imaginary  $\pm 3(4)D$  spacetime packages can topologically transform into a “standing wave” present, i.e. the present has a future-past basis by extending Wheeler-Feynman radiation law to include the continuous state transformation of the topology of spacetime dynamics itself. c) A 3-torus illustrating a virtual standing wave ‘creation’ of a discrete virtual Euclidian point; a different conceptual view of figure 1a and 1b. The three 3(4)D ( $S_0 = M_4$ ,  $S_1 = -C_{4(ret)}$  and  $S_2 = +C_{4(adv)}$ ) spacetime packages surround a virtual Planck scale singularity, (in the form of a 3-torus  $[\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)} - R]^2 + z^2 = r^2$ ) the continuous

propagation of which ‘create and recreate’ periodically the ‘standing wave’ Euclidean real line illustrating the virtual basis of relational Einsteinian reality as a subspace of absolute HD HCM space.

This Noetic ‘least unit’ represents a Wheeler/Feynman future/past periodicity and a continuous cycling of *classical*  $\rightarrow$  *quantum stochasticity*  $\rightarrow$  *fundamental unitary* ( $R_C \rightarrow R_Q \rightarrow R_U$ ) in the D reduction compactification  $D_S \rightarrow D_t \rightarrow D_E$  transformation process [5].

The Kaluza-Klein model utilized is set in a noncompactified  $D = 12$  harmonic Noetic Superspace  $S_N$  since it is the foundation of a conscious universe. For symmetry reasons shown in the text this superspace is comprised of an 11D hypersurface in a 12D universe, giving it theoretical correspondence to 10D superstring theory and 11D supergravity and providing a context to solve the disparity between them. The general appeal of the Kaluza-Klein model is that physics seems simplified in HD, especially integration of the electromagnetic (EM) and gravitational field. Kaluza’s initial demonstration of gravity in 5D,  ${}^5G_{AB} = 0$  with  $AB$  running from 0 to 4 contained 4D General Relativity with an EM field  ${}^4G_{ab} = {}^4T_{ab}^{EM}$ , with  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  running from 0 to 3 [3]. The currently less common non-compactified Kaluza-Klein model is utilized by Noetic Cosmology where also dependence on the extra D is required; this yields the same result for Einstein’s equations  ${}^5R_{AB} = 0$  except that the *EM* energy momentum tensor  ${}^4T_{ab}^{EM}$  is replaced by a general one  ${}^4T_{ab}$  instead [3].



**Figure 2.** Two additional conceptual views of Fig. 1. a) represents a snapshot in time. The central hypersphere represents the atemporal hidden HD covering the standing wave present. The larger peripheral tubes represent open orientation toward the future; and the narrower coupled tube forming a square represents a phase of recessional compactification toward the past, the final phase of which would end up like that of Fig. 1c – a virtual Planck scale singularity. This figure hints at why the Planck constant needs to be recalculated. Related to the past – the resultant of measurement, the Planck constant applies as usual. In the *eternal now*, the Planck constant takes the form of the Larmor atomic radius and is an unbounded component of the unitary field in the future orientation. Fig. 2. b) conceptualizes the relational nature of Minkowski space emerging from the polarized vacuum.

Periodic Noetic superspace  $S_N$  entails a continuous state of dimensional reduction that operates under transformations beyond the Poincaré / Lorentz where spatial dimensions  $D_S$  through superluminal boosts are transformed into temporal dimensions  $D_t$  and further in terms of a noncompactified Kaluza-Klein model [2,3] into energy dimensions  $D_E$  by  $D_S \rightarrow D_t \rightarrow D_E$ . This requires the properties of an energy dependent spacetime metric first developed by Einstein where standard Minkowski space  $M_4$  is a topologically invariant homeomorphic manifold of an energy dependent spacetime metric  $\hat{M}_4$

$$f: M_4 \rightarrow \hat{M}_4 \quad (6)$$

According to the principle of relativity a spacetime region which is a ‘perfect vacuum’ (no matter and no fields) must be isotropic and covariant in the Lorentz sense [15]. The deformed region  $\hat{M}_4$  of  $S_N$  and the symmetry of  $S_N$  itself reduces to the Einstein relativistic metric and is assumed compatible with a polarized Dirac vacuum.

#### 4.1 TRANSFORMATION OF SPACE INTO TIME

It is well known that Superluminal Lorentz Transformations (SLT) change real quantities into imaginary ones. Following Cole [22] and Rauscher [17] we illustrate the transformation of complex spatial dimensions into temporal dimensions by orthogonal superluminal boosts (SLB). For example an SLB in the  $x$  direction with velocity  $v_x \pm \infty$  the SLT is  $x' = \pm t$ ,  $y' = -iy$ ,  $z' = -iz$ ,  $t' = x$ . In complex Minkowski space the coordinates are  $z^u = x_{\text{Re}}^u + ix_{\text{Im}}^u$  where  $z$  is complex and  $x_{\text{Re}}$  and  $x_{\text{Im}}$  are real and the index  $u$  runs over 0,1,2,3. Using classical notation for simplicity

$$t = t_{\text{Re}} + it_{\text{Im}}, \quad x = x_{\text{Re}} + ix_{\text{Im}}, \quad y = y_{\text{Re}} + iy_{\text{Im}}, \quad z = z_{\text{Re}} + iz_{\text{Im}}. \quad (7)$$

To clarify the meaning of imaginary quantities in an SLT it is helpful to represent time as a 3D vector  $t_x, t_y, t_z$ ; therefore time is defined as  $t = t_x \hat{x} + t_y \hat{y} + t_z \hat{z}$  where

$$t_x = t_{x\text{Re}} + it_{x\text{Im}}, \quad t_y = t_{y\text{Re}} + it_{y\text{Im}}, \quad t_z = t_{z\text{Re}} + it_{z\text{Im}} \quad (8)$$

Finally for the SLB for velocity  $v_x \pm \infty$  along  $x$  the transformations are

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{\text{Re}} + ix'_{\text{Im}} &= t_{x\text{Re}} + it_{x\text{Im}}, & y'_{\text{Re}} + iy'_{\text{Im}} &= y_{\text{Im}} - iy_{\text{Re}}, & z'_{\text{Re}} + iz'_{\text{Im}} &= z_{\text{Im}} - iz_{\text{Re}} \\ t'_{x\text{Re}} + it'_{x\text{Im}} &= x_{\text{Re}} + ix_{\text{Im}}, & t'_{y\text{Re}} + it'_{y\text{Im}} &= t_{y\text{Im}} - it_{y\text{Re}}, & t'_{z\text{Re}} + it'_{z\text{Im}} &= t_{z\text{Im}} - it_{z\text{Re}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the SLT in  $x$  of  $M_4$  spacetime transforms real components into imaginary and imaginary complex quantities into real quantities as one major property of the periodic nature of Noetic HCM spacetime [17, 22].

#### 4.2 ENERGY DEPENDENT SPACETIME METRIC

Einstein originated the concept of an energy dependent spacetime for explaining temporal rate change in the presence of a gravitational field by generalizing the special relativistic line element (compare equation 2)

$$ds^2 = (1 + 2\mathbf{f}/c^2)c^2 dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \quad (10)$$

with the introduction of time curvature [14] where  $\mathbf{f}$  is the Newtonian gravitational potential. This utilizes the deformed Minkowski metric  $\hat{M}_4$  (introduced above by eq. 5) which is imbedded in the periodic HD Noetic space chosen axiomatically for HCM cosmology to take the form of a noncompactified Kaluza-Klein theory [2,3].

Kaluza’s initial demonstration of gravity in 5D,  ${}^5G_{AB} = 0$  with  $AB$  running 0,1,2,3,4 contained 4D General Relativity with an EM field  ${}^4G_{ab} = {}^4T_{ab}^{EM}$ , with  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  running 0,1,2,3 [17]. The currently less common noncompactified Kaluza-Klein model is utilized by Noetic Cosmology where also dependence on the extra D is required; this yields the same result for Einstein’s equations  ${}^5R_{AB} = 0$  except that the  $EM$  energy momentum tensor  ${}^4T_{ab}^{EM}$



is replaced by a general one  ${}^4T_{ab}$  instead [17]. Sections 1.6 & 1.7 demonstrate the feasibility of an energy domain pervading HD spacetime with properties similar to Wheeler's Geon proposal discussed in section 1.6 below. In a generalized deformed spacetime metric  $\hat{M}_4$ , spacetime is fixed by the energy and has the metric

$$\mathbf{h}(E) = \text{diag.}(a(E), -b(E), -c(E), -d(E)). \quad (11)$$

#### 4.3 THE WHEELER GEON CONCEPT

Wheeler [20] postulated a photonic mass of sufficient size to self cohere into a spherical ball of light. In Wheeler's notation the Geon is described by three equations. The first (11) is the wave equation, followed by two field equations the first (12) of which gives a mass distance relationship and the second (13) variation of the factor  $Q$ :

$$d^2 f / d\mathbf{r}^{*2} + [1 - (l^* Q / \mathbf{r})^2 (1 - 2L / \mathbf{r})] f = 0 \quad (12)$$

with circular frequency  $c\Omega$  related to the dimensionless radial coordinate  $\mathbf{r} = \Omega r$

such that  $d\mathbf{r}^*$  is the abbreviation for  $d\mathbf{r}^* = Q^{-1}(1 - 2L / \mathbf{r})^{-1} d\mathbf{r}$

$$dL / d\mathbf{r}^* = (1/2Q)[f^2 + (df / d\mathbf{r}^*)^2 + (l^* Q f / \mathbf{r})^2 (1 - 2L / \mathbf{r})] \quad (13)$$

$$dQ / d\mathbf{r}^2 = (\mathbf{r} - 2L)^{-1} [f^2 + (df / d\mathbf{r}^*)^2] \quad (14)$$

$L$  and  $f$  are mass and field factors respectively;  $Q$  is a scale correction factor. The factor  $l$  relates to a family of modes with distinct frequencies associated with the well known completeness theorem of spherical harmonics. HD extended modes of  $l$  are key elements in propagation of the noetic field; discussed in future works but alluded to in [5, 6]. Wheeler states that these equations permit change of distance scale without change of form [20] which is compatible with the Noetic action principle  $F_N = E/R$  derived in section 6 [12,28, 38].

**Postulate 2:** *The Supralocal Hyper-Geon is the most fundamental energy or phenomenology of existence. This Energy arises from the ordering and translation of AS 'space' (i.e. information or change of entropy). This fundamental Geon energy, is the unified field, the primary quantum of action of all temporal existence; filling the immensity of space (nonlocally) controls the evolution of the large scale structure of the universe, the origin of life ('élan vital') of classical philosophy and finally is the root and 'light of consciousness'.*

#### 4.4 THE HYPER-GEON DOMAIN OF HCM FIELD THEORY

As summarized in 1.6 above Wheeler defined the Geon as theoretical classical spacetime construct not yet observed in nature. A complex Hyperdimensional Geon is postulated to cover our observed 3(4)D relational spacetime. This is described by a new set of Noetic transformations for HCM cosmology [28,38]; acting on all levels of scale from the Einstein/Hubble radius to the Planck scale. Because of its contact with the Multiverse it relates also to the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  and is the dark energy responsible for the missing dark matter effecting galaxy rotation [5]. It also forms the lower energy boundary of a projected 12D space making it synonymous with the unified field. This unitary Noetic field is the origin of the teleological action principle [5,28]. This coalesced region of nonlocal photon-gravitons – The hyper-geon cover acts as:

- Gravitation (The graviton in HCM cosmology is a confined quadrupole photon  $\hat{M}_4$  complex; thus teleological action of the unified field orders the large scale structure of the universe – which is a non-Darwinian guided evolution)
- Causal action of the quantum potential or pilot wave (An additional causal action principle pertinent extended quantum theory)
- *Élan vital* or life force (The long sought vital principle required to legitimize dualism / interactionism)

- ‘light’ of the mind (Bosonization of the Eccles psychon as it couples to dendrons etc to become qualia)

## 5. The Complementarity of Physical Time and Conscious Time

Now that some cosmological properties are worked out it is easier to show the relationship of physical time to conscious time. All arrows of time reduce to the spacetime topology of the polarized vacuum [6]. From within the microscopic action of the complex hierarchical cosmology of the least unit of awareness, macrophysical phenomena, which include thermodynamic processes, appear asymmetric because of a complementarity of boundary conditions related to human awareness and other physical conditions. There is no preferred temporal direction in the microphysical laws of physics. When this atemporality is reduced to the temporal domain (when it becomes a subspace) many parameters are subtracted out through the symmetry breaking of the spin exchange compactification process occurring at the speed of light. But this microscopic annihilation governed by teleological causality produces an orthogonal summation creating the macroscopia of perception. The velocity  $c$  of the reduction / compactification receding from the present has a discrete microscopic beat frequency which we perceive macroscopically as continuous.

First we will clarify the conceptual suggestion by Franck [24] that an eternal now occupies the center of awareness. Similar to physical concepts like ‘charge’ we assume that awareness is a fundamental physical principle [28,30] which is associated with the concept of the ‘least unit’ in HCM cosmology developed in section 1. The Noetic *least unit* is a microcosm of the whole universe where the Noetic Transform is in continuous operation. Information passes from  $M_4$  through SLT-Kaluza-Klein boosting into the 12D Hyper-Geon domain in both directions in the context of the extended Wheeler-Feynman future/past.

If we utilize the metaphor of a movie theater to describe the structural phenomenology of the mind / body and apply Huygen’s principle of wave train addition in a manner similar to how sunlight shines through discrete raindrops summing into the smooth image of a rainbow, we can begin to understand the human psychosphere [37,39]. The psychosphere is the standing wave light cone surface of human awareness impinged by qualia. It is not confined to the brain; but occupies the total boundary conditions of the human mind-body that extends from the Euclidian brain occupying  $M_4$  to the limits of the HD Noetic Geon. There is a complementarity between these two domains of the human psychosphere. Fermi-Dirac statistic describe the temporal dynamics in the  $M_4$  brain / body region and Einstein-Bose statistics describe the atemporal HD domain applicable to the Noetic hyper-geon. This is the HCM view of Franck’s ‘eternal now’. The two domains are mediated by the noeon of the unitary noetic field.

### 5.1 RASTER OF CONSCIOUSNESS – A JACOB’S LADDER MOVIE THEATER


The  $M_4$  domain is described by the phenomenological Copenhagen interpretation of quantum theory where collapse of the wave function applies. However the HD region is governed by an ontological view of quantum theory where noncomputable noncollapse processes occur. The electromagnetic arrow of time originates at the juncture of the  $M_4$  and the HD regions and is a ‘beat frequency’ inherent in the translation of their complementary relationship bounded as a least unit as described above. This is the origin of the EM and thermodynamic arrow.

The exchange particle of the Noetic unified field, the noeon, follows preferred paths within the continuous spin exchange dimensional reduction compactification process. It is reminiscent of a traveling arc or *Jacob’s ladder* where the ‘charge’ enters with a harmonic *holophote action* at the bottom (Planck scale) and travels to the HD region where it is released or reabsorbed cyclically as the *eternal present* remains a continuous state of the future-past topology. This is the movie theater metaphor where discrete frames of film pass over the projector bulb (Planck scale holophote noeon emission into every point in spacetime and atom) propagating *up* the Jacob’s ladder (psychosphere light cone surface) to the *screen* (smooth continuous raster of awareness) as qualia.

### 5.2 PERIPHERAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Twelve dimensions is the minimum number required to describe eternity. By eternity we mean a continuous state topological manifold able to completely transform out of contact and independent of time. This is a property of observed Euclidean / Minkowski space being a standing wave subspace of the 12D HCM Absolute space. The

rigorous description of this property requires a new set of transformations beyond the standard Lawrentz / Poincaire transformations. Plank’s constant reformulated allowing quantum theory to be completed.



**Figure 3.** Movie theater views of the psychosphere light cone boundary. All  $D$  suppressed except one extended spatial element  $B' - A'$  or  $r$ . a) Classical view: Noeons (exchange particles of the Noetic Unified Field) propagate within the discrete Planck scale backcloth of the polarized Dirac vacuum, not in free space, but confined to the metric of the HD fabric like quarks. Noeons represent both the *elan vital* and *light of consciousness*. They propagate with an inherent *beat frequency* along preferred paths of the *Jacob’s ladder* holophote by the Noetic transform (SLT-Kaluza-Klein to Geon light boosts). The *smoothness of awareness* is the oscillating leading edge of the lightcone kept in phase by a Huygen’s like principle of wave train addition. b) More sophisticated Quantum view of the same process. Several imbedded actions: 1. Quantum foam background. 2. Unitary Noeons evanesce into temporality by quasiparticle transitions [39] into neural networks and other elements of the dendritic microprocess as qualia are produced [34,35,39].  $r$  represents a relational unit of standing wave spacetime extension maintained by the energy of the discrete  $X_N$  fundamental units according to the noetic action formalism  $F_N = E / R$  as derived in section 4 below.

**6. The Vacuum Origin of Thermodynamics and Entropy**

Temporal asymmetry is a fundamental problem because the microscopic laws of physics are time reversible. The macroscopic arrow of time arises from translation of the complex boundary conditions of consciousness, which ultimately is a property of the unified field. Although this is a perceptual phenomenology it is still physical. The most fundamental basis, more fundamental than for quantum interactions of matter is the unified electromagnetic-gravitational arrow; from which the thermodynamic and all other arrows arise. The continuous state dimensional reduction compactification process within the topological structure of the polarized Dirac vacuum has a *beat frequency* associated with the inherent *Jacob’s ladder-holophote* of least unit translation.

Entropy increase in thermodynamic systems can be accounted for by vacuum radiation; and this interaction of vacuum radiation with matter is time-reversible. Therefore whether entropy increase in thermodynamic systems can be considered to produce an arrow of time depends on what controls the vacuum photons. Both cases are consistent with quantum mechanics. Position and momentum perturbation on particles by vacuum zero-point radiation is limited by uncertainty to

$$\langle dx^2 \rangle^{1/2} \langle dp_x^2 \rangle^{1/2} \tag{15}$$

where the first root mean square value is position and the second momentum respectively Burns [14,29]. According to Zeh, [13]

$$\langle dx^2 \rangle^{1/2} = (\hbar t / m)^{1/2}, \tag{16}$$

(where  $m$  is particle mass), can be obtained both from classical SED and the stochastic interpretation of quantum mechanics. Substituting the result into the uncertainty principle yields a fractional change in momentum coordinates,

$$\langle dp_x^2 \rangle^{1/2} / p, p \text{ is the total momentum, } 2^{-3/2} (\hbar / Et)^{1/2}, E \text{ is the kinetic energy.}$$

As vacuum radiation interacts with particles, momentum is exchanged. When an initial fractional change  $\langle \mathbf{d} p_x^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  in momentum is amplified by the lever arm of molecular interaction,

$$\langle \mathbf{d} p_x^2 \rangle^{1/2} / p \geq 1 \tag{17}$$

it becomes greater than one in only a few collision times [13, 14, 29]. Therefore the momentum distribution of a collection of interacting particles is randomized in that time, and the action of vacuum radiation on matter can account for entropy increase in thermodynamic systems; i.e. it can be related to the atemporal / temporal : microscopic / macroscopic cosmology of fundamental awareness.

Dynamical interactions occurring at the molecular level are time-reversible, but thermodynamic processes associated with entropy increase, like diffusion and heat flow, only proceed unitarily in time. Entropy increase appears to be only a macroscopic phenomenon, appearing when a coarse-grained average is taken of microscopic processes. No averaging of time-reversible processes has been shown to account for temporally irreversible phenomena [13]. The reduced or temporal subspace nature of human perception filters out half of the microscopic action by the continuous dimensional reduction process. This action occurs at the speed of light and explains perspective – narrowing of the railroad tracks into the distance; which would not occur for a HD atemporal observer like God.

In the standard model (utilizing only the positive set of Maxwell’s equations) electromagnetic waves emanate from a source to infinity only, and do not converge from infinity to a source. Collapse of the wave function is a one-way process.[40] Burns [14, 29] has shown that entropy increase in thermodynamic systems is produced by the interaction of vacuum radiation with matter. This interaction is time reversible. Whether an arrow of time is ultimately involved in entropy increase depends on how vacuum radiation is produced. In Noetic cosmology which utilizes an extension of the Wheeler / Feynman absorber theory of radiation EM waves from infinity do converge with the standing wave source. There are extended quantum domains without collapse of the wave function where noncomputable ontological superpositions occur; and vacuum radiation is governed by teleological cosmological action principles inherent in the HD vacuum topology [33].

### 7. Derivation of the Universal Noetic Field Equation

The teleological and local action of consciousness is not a 5<sup>th</sup> fundamental force but an integration of the electromagnetic and gravitational force [12] as it is confined to the 12HD spacetime metric  $S_N = M_4 \pm C_4$  [5]. It appears to be synonymous with the unitary field. In this section we derive the general action principle for the Continuous State Conscious Universe (HCM) [5]. Newton’s second law of motion  $F = ma$  is the fundamental action principle of  $M_4$  spacetime reality and derivation of the basic formalism for noetic theory begins at the same place. It is interesting to note that the Schrodinger equation  $i\hbar(\partial\mathbf{y} / \partial t) = H\mathbf{y}$  central to quantum theory has correspondence to  $F = ma$  as does Newton’s law of gravitation  $F = Gm_1m_2 / r^2$  and likewise Einstein’s law of gravitation  $G = 8\pi T - \Lambda g$ . These are not chosen as the starting place because they don’t represent the correct form of gravitation and also contain an undesired constant of dimensionality. Whereas  $F = ma$  is dimensionless and primary. Einstein’s mass energy relation  $E = mc^2$  can also be shown to reduce to Newton’s second law. The Schrodinger equation is also not the place to look for mental action because as stated it describes particles on a manifold and does not encompass sufficient degrees of freedom to apply to biological systems.

To derive the fundamental Noetic action principle  $F_{(N)}$  first we substitute Einstein’s mass energy relation  $E = mc^2$  into Newton’s second law  $F = ma$  and obtain:

$$F_{(n)} = E / c^2 a \tag{18}$$

Where  $F_{(n)}$  is the noetic force and  $E$  represents the energy of the self-organized unified field that is scale invariant from the largest scale of the supralocal Multiverse, as a hyperdimensional Wheeler Geon [20] filling all space to the

Planck scale covering the pertinent subspace at each level of dimensional reduction. Next the noetic equation is further generalized for HCM cosmology by utilizing boundary scaling principles from the work of Kafatos [16].

Taking the axiomatic approach to cosmological scaling that all lengths in the universe are scale invariant, we utilize the heuristic relation that

$$c \equiv \dot{R} \text{ or } \dot{R} = l/t = c \quad (19)$$

where  $\dot{R}$  represents the rate of change of scale in the universe. This corresponds to the Hubble relation for perceived expansion of the universe where

$$H_0 = \dot{R}/R \text{ and } a = \dot{R} \times H_0 \text{ or substituting } a = \dot{R}^2/R$$

Returning to (8) for final substitution we have

$$F_{(n)} = E/c^2 a = E/c^2 \times \dot{R}^2/R \quad (20)$$

Since  $c \equiv \dot{R}$  the  $c^2$  &  $\dot{R}^2$  terms cancel and we are left with:

$$F_{(n)} = E/R \quad (21)$$

Which is the formalism for fundamental action in the complex space of HCM cosmology as opposed to Newton's  $F = ma$  which represents classical action of particles in  $M_4$  space. It should be noted that  $R$  is a complex rotational length and could also be derived in terms of a topological string theory or spacetime spinors at higher levels closer to domains described by more conventional theory. But the derivation above is much simpler and more fundamental. The point being that the noetic formalism could be derived and related to any level of 'conscious reality' and as will be shown elsewhere expanded forms of the formalism can be used to describe intentionality, computation in biological systems and the origin of redshift and the CMBR [21]. It may seem on first glimpse that these concepts do not relate to each other; but in a universe with consciousness fundamental, it soon becomes obvious that they do. The close connection of light and space relates to the superluminal boosts in the Noetic transform [6]. It should be noted that (15) takes the same fundamental unexpanded form as the basic equation for string tension  $T_0$  in M-theory [49-50].

$$T_0 = E/L \quad (22)$$

## 8. The Noetic Spacetime Transformation


Noetic HCM cosmology implies that so-called 'real space' is a relational standing wave subspace of an absolute HD space, where a continuous state dimensional reduction compactification process is central to the scale invariant periodic geometric structure. It is useful to initiate the description by introducing a toy model of the lower  $D$  space and build it up to the actual HD space.

Maintaining the extended Wheeler-Feynman property of the present as a function of the future-past (Figs. 1&2; equation 4) we begin by describing a discrete Einstein type point in the relational spacetime manifold. Since points are defined as singularities where dimensionality breaks down, a dimensionless point cannot be 'covered'. This property will be shown to be a valuable criteria as a 'hole' for oriented orthogonal superluminal boosts in the noetic transformation. This also contrasts the nature of continuity (Absolute space) with discreteness (relational space); points are not absolute because the universe turns out not to be a Newtonian continuum.

### 8.1 THE 1D CASE

Therefore we begin the construction of dimensionality with the 1D scalar case. Assuming an arbitrary, discrete, infinitesimal, oriented least unit  $h = \Delta x$ , an entourage of additional HD's are required to 'cover' or confine each

subspace level. Usually the entourage has one more  $D$  than its subspace. The least unit  $h$  on coordinate  $x$  can be covered by a 2-torus when the orthogonal generating circle  $A$ , of radius  $r$  is located at distance  $R > h_{\Delta x}$  from  $x_0$  and not on  $h$ , is rotated through dimension  $y$  into a plane  $x, y$ . Thus a 2D flat torus covers the least unit  $h_{\Delta x}$  with an  $x, y$  plane. The rotation through  $y$  (of growing importance later) may occur in counterpropagating directions. Finally the 1D case utilizes a  $\pm 2D$  covering for the  $h = \Delta x$  unit of extension which may wink in and out of existence since it is a complementarity of 0D and 1D.



**Figure 4.** The 2-torus appearing as a donut slice acts as a covering of an infinitesimal 1D topological least unit  $h = \Delta x$ . A point of  $h = 0$  is dimensionless and cannot be covered (or confined). But  $h = \Delta x$ , acting as a transient 1D unit of extension, may be covered by a 2-torus. One additional dimension is required to cover the next lower  $D$  space.

### 8.2 THE 2D CASE

Covering the least unit of a plane  $h = \Delta x, \Delta y$  uses a method similar to the 1D case except that two modes of covering are allowed:


Type 1. Energy –Time. An intermediate covering of region  $h$  by a  $\pm 2D$  flat torus in the plane  $x, y$  as in the 1D case which leaves room for access of a 3<sup>rd</sup> energy or time coordinate utilizing either the spin exchange dimensional reduction process or superluminal boost into HD.

Type 2. Spatial. Region  $h = \Delta x, \Delta y$  is completely covered by a 3-torus. This occurs by rotating a generating circle orthogonal to  $x, y$  through the  $z$  direction. This covering represents the lower limit of standard  $M_4$  space with the addition of time.

There is no need to develop the toy model further at present as it sufficiently illustrates pertinent aspects of the noetic transformation that show how boundary conditions transform the dimensionality of space and time along with the energy covering of the unified field by  $D_s \rightarrow D_t \rightarrow D_e$ . The unified field governing gravitation, and the quantum potential guides the action of translation along certain allowed pathways. For example if either  $l, w$  or  $h$  is removed from a cube the object collapses to a plane. Removing a dimension from the plane causes compactification to a line and so on. The released space is not initially empty. At the first stage of  $D$  reduction space transforms into time; and at the second stage into the energy that couples with the energy governing it as compactification is completed for that particular unit.


### 8.3. THE PERMUTATION OF DIMENSIONS IN THE NOETIC TRANSFORMATION

Only certain pathways for parallel transport by spin exchange dimensional reduction ( $D$  down scaling) and superluminal boosting ( $D$  up scaling) are allowed by the Wheeler-Feynman symmetry breaking relations in the continuous maintenance of the standing wave present.



**Figure 5.** The figure shows the four counter-propagating circular permutations of the vertices of a cube that represent parallel transport about each of the diagonals. These allowed paths and orientations constrict the dimensional reduction of the entourage of associated spaces into symmetry breaking pathways according to strict rules. This diagram is a modification of a trajectory model describing a Schrodinger particle [26].

It is useful to clarify the utility of the dual covering modes in terms of parallel transport and the Regge equations relation to the Bianchi identity of a boundary of a boundary being equal to zero ( $\partial \circ \partial \equiv 0$ ) [25].



**Figure 6.** Ordering the vertices as shown in the figure induces an orientation on the tetrahedron's two dimensional boundary, which consists of four oriented triangles by  $\partial(0123) = (012) - (013) + (023) - (123)$ . This in turn induces an orientation on the edges of the one dimensional boundaries  $\partial(012) = (01) - (02) + (12)$ . Summing the dimensional boundaries cancels them in pairs [(01) - (01) = 0]. This is the Bianchi identity  $\partial \circ \partial = 0$  described by the Regge equations for parallel transport where the boundary of a boundary is zero. Or suggesting the tetrahedron is edgeless because the 1D boundary of the 2D boundary of the 2D region is zero [25].

## 9. Dirac Spherical Rotation Inherent to the Transformation of the Fundamental Least Unit

Typically the Dirac dual ( $2p$ ) spinor rotation applies to the observation that an electron undergoes  $720^\circ$  of rotation (not the usual  $360^\circ$ ) before returning to the initial orientation. Traditional thinking has assumed this to be some property of matter. But the discovery of the complex structure of spacetime has shown that this is not a property fundamental to the electron; but rather to the superspace the electron is imbedded in and part of. Dirac spherical rotation as it is also called, is more fundamentally a primary property of space than it is matter. This is revealed in the complex hierarchical structure of the least unit discussed in the paper.


### 9.1 THE DIRAC STRING TRICK

Take a square and tie the four corners to another larger square by loose string as shown in the figure below (alternatively, tie the initial square to the four corners of the room). Now rotate the small square by 360 degrees about a vertical axis, that is, in a horizontal plane. The strings will become somewhat tangled, and it is not possible to untangle them without rotating the square.

If we rotate through another 360 degrees, for a total of 720 degrees; it is now possible to untangle the string without further rotation of the square by simply allowing enough space for the strings to be looped over the top of the square! You won't believe it unless you check it out for yourself. It is advisable for your experiments to use bulldog clips to attach the ribbons to the squares, so that it can be undone easily if it gets too tangled. A similar idea works for a rotation through 720 degrees about any axis.


Another version of the Dirac string trick is called the Philippine wine dance. A glass of water held in the hand can be rotated continuously through 720 degrees without spilling any water. These geometrical demonstrations are related to the physical fact that an electron has spin 1/2! A particle with spin 1/2 is something like a ball attached to its surroundings with string. Its amplitude changes under a 360 degrees ( $2\mathbf{p}$ ) rotation and is restored by rotation of 720 degrees ( $4\mathbf{p}$ ).

The formal description of such complex phenomena typically requires sophisticated mathematics (algebra, group theory, topology, quaternions...) since they are not part of everyday experience.



**Figure 7.** Two forms of demonstrating the Dirac string trick to illustrate how spin 1/2 particles like the electron must undergo 720 degrees of rotation instead of the usual expected 360 degrees [18].

**10. Developing The Line Element For Noetic Superspace**



**Figure 8.** A 2D representation of the three four dimensional spacetime packages making up the 12D periodic noetic superspace of post Bigbang cosmology.  $M_4$  is the Euclidian based Minkowski / Riemann standing-wave present with two higher dimensional complex spacetime packages  $\mp C$  representing the four retarded and four advanced dimensions respectively which puts certain constraints on the description of the noetic line element.

The real parameters for the line element in standard Einstein-Minkowski space  $M_4$  is

$$dS_0^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 - dt^2 \tag{23}$$



to which noetic superspace must make correspondence. We begin by developing the associated eight dimensional complex space of the future-past following work initiated by Amoroso [5] and Rauscher [17, 41], Cole [22] and Hansen and Newman [42] on complex Minkowski space.

For  $X_{Re}^j + iX_{Im}^j$  with  $j = 1,4$  and  $X_{Re}^k + iX_{Im}^k$  also with  $k = 1,4$  we set up the complex relation

$$Z^{jk} = [X_{Re}^j + iX_{Im}^k], [\bar{X}_{ret}^j + \bar{X}_{adv}^k] \tag{17}$$

again with  $j, k = 1,4$  yielding  $(1, 1, 1, -1)$ . Then for complex advanced space  $+C_4$  we have the general relation


$Z_{adv}^{jk} = X_{Re(adv)}^{jk} + iX_{Im(adv)}^{jk}$ ,  $\bar{X}_{Re(adv)}^{jk} + \bar{X}_{Im(adv)}^{jk}$  with  $j = 1, 4$ . For complex retarded space  $-C_4$  the relation is

$Z_{ret}^{jk} = X_{Re(ret)}^{jk} + iX_{Im(ret)}^{jk}$ ,  $\bar{X}_{Re(ret)}^{jk} + \bar{X}_{Im(ret)}^{jk}$  with  $k = 1, 4$ . Then the line element is

$$\Delta S^2 = \mathbf{h}_{jk} dZ_{adv}^{jk} Z_{ret}^{jk} \tag{18}$$

with the further condition satisfied that  $\mathbf{h}_{jk} = \mathbf{a}_{jk} + i\mathbf{b}_{jk}$  where

$$\mathbf{a}_{jk} (dx_-^j dx_p^k + dx_p^j dx_+^k) + \mathbf{b}_{jk} (dx_-^j dx_+^k - dx_p^j dx_p^k) = 0 \tag{19}$$



**Figure 9.** Conceptual view of the symmetry of a least unit in Noetic Superspace showing the relationship of its twelve dimensions here depicted as points. The larger circle in the center represents the Minkowski  $M_4$  present comprised of the smaller circles at each end representing future/past components that comprise it. The twelve points labeled  $C_4$  symbolize a conceptualization of the twelve dimensions comprising a fundamental least unit. The complex plane is suppressed for simplicity. Counterpropagating, complex, future-past, ‘hyper-Geon’ elements act in concert to ‘create’ instantaneous harmonic elements of localized Euclidian 3-sphere extension. They are ‘standing wave’ relational spacetime extensions  $R(t)$  of the absolute 12D hyperspace that form the fundamental basis of observational reality representing a metric framework for events and interactions. Extension is mediated by the noumenal action principle of the unified field by  $F_n = E_n / R_{(t)}$ , where  $E_n$  is energy of the unified field [18].

### 11. More on the Physical Cosmology of Time

The physics of time (thermodynamic processes, kaon decay etc) seems independent of psychological time. But in a *conscious universe*, all arrows of time are interrelated and arise from a central point in the hierarchy of unitary translation of the Noetic least unit. An understanding can be garnered by explaining the amplification of microscopic phenomena by processes inherent in fundamental awareness. Observation - synonymous with measurement is the obverse of the process of awareness. William James stated that ‘there is no splitting of experience into consciousness and what the consciousness is of’. So between experience A and experience B there is no gap, no collapse of the wave function is observed in thought processes. If one attempts to bring a photon to rest it vanishes. This observed reduction of the wave function in the external world has confused conceptions of what occurs in the mind where there is no collapse. But the phenomenology of awareness takes place in a structural noumenon. The additive properties of a Huygens rainbow effect applies to produce a framework for awareness. The summation of the effect in each individual microscopic raindrop produces the macroscopic rainbow. The rainbow is the screen in the movie theater upon which qualia may be projected. For the purpose of illustration this process could be said to be described by equation (16). The summation of the individual coherence lengths must have one orientation (time) or they would cancel and remain microscopic and reversible. The laws of physics have two forms with one generally ignored. The set of equations ignored is the one not observed or sacrificed to produce the perception of macroscopia. The continuous spin exchange compactification dimensional reduction process occurs at the speed of light and thus are too fast and small to be seen. So we don’t see these Planck scale gaps in the continuous state standing wave of perceived reality. This is the dimensional topology and geometric origin of time.

According to the Copenhagen interpretation all quantum measurements are associated with reduction of the wave function, a thermodynamically irreversible process. Only the final observed component of the ensemble is considered to be *real* [13] by

$$\sum_i c_i \mathbf{y}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{y}_i . \tag{24}$$

This action directly creates boundary conditions separating the fundamental reversible aspects of microscopic natural law into the perceptual macroscopia and an additional HD physical realm not perceived by neurophysiology. Noetic cosmology proposes that this temporal asymmetry is completely observer related and the ensuing boundary conditions delete essentially half of the systems information cosmology. Bohr stated from the beginning that the Copenhagen interpretation did not describe biological systems; therefore a full physical description must utilize extended de Broglie/Bohm ontological forms of quantum theory without state reduction and therefore loss of systems information. The big question then is what is the utility of the unobserved parameters of this cosmology?

Here is where the main utility of the Noetic least unit transform enters in. The complementary superluminal boosting of the ‘standing wave’ eternal present

$$D_s \rightarrow D_t \rightarrow D_E : R_U \rightarrow R_Q \rightarrow R_C \tag{25}$$

produces and maintains the perceptual macroscopic amplification of microscopic phenomena. The Noetic boosts reduce the flux of all physical fields at the boundary by absolute parallelism  $\partial \circ \partial = 0$  where the boundary of a boundary equals zero facilitating this whole cosmological process. We begin with the description of the electromagnetic field. Following Kafatos and his collaborators [16] suggesting the importance of  $\dot{R} \equiv C$  for universal boundary conditions which are also relevant to the velocity required for the observers mind to escape microphysics and become coupled to a macroscopia for EM by

$$\vec{c} = \frac{2\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{\vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2} \tag{26}$$

where, according to Wheeler [27], velocity  $\vec{c} = \vec{n} \tanh \mathbf{a}$  and the numerator is the Poynting flux and the denominator the energy density. This boost equation describes the reduction of the EM field to mutual parallelism which according to the Bianchi identity describes how the boundary of a boundary equals zero. Allowing half the universe to cancel into the resultant standing wave covering. The covering is piloted by the de Broglie wave-particle

energy. Application of the Huygen's principle of wave addition produces the smooth feel of reality we observe by *surfing* as it were on the face of the discrete elements of atemporal microphysics!

## 12. Conclusions

Scientific theory, whether popular or unpopular at any point in history, must ultimately be based on description of natural law, not creative fantasies of a scientist's imagination. Only by adequate determination of natural law can a theory successfully model reality. "*There is good reason for the taboo against the postulate of new physics to solve new problems, for in the silly limit one invents new physics for every new phenomena* [15]". Cosmology is becoming a mature science; mature enough that there is no room for surprises?

A new model of the universe called the HCU provides a fundamental framework for introducing a comprehensive dualist / interactionist model of mind and body for the first time in history. This noetic cosmology allows awareness to be defined as a fundamental scale invariant complex cosmological system representative of the structural phenomenology of the universe itself. The most important concept is the proposed origin of the unified field and the complex HD topology that facilitates its entry into every spacetime point and atom providing the necessary basis for dualism / interactionism – a quantum of action acting as the *élan vital* and providing a causal nature with sufficient degrees of freedom to explain intentionality. All the parameters of the conscious universe are governed by utilizing suitable expansion of the formalism for Noetic action  $F_N = E/R$  derived from Newton's second law  $F = ma$ . An axiomatic approach was taken for the sake of brevity. Many controversial principles stated emphatically; but Noetic cosmology is empirically testable so it will now be possible to settle many of these questions experimentally.

## Acknowledgements

This Paper is an extension of an unpublished talk Prepared for the NATO (ARW) workshop on "Time, Geometry, Physics and Perception", Tatras Lomica, Slovak Republic, May 21-24, 2002. Kind thanks also for helpful discussions with Elizabeth Rauscher and Catalin Ionita.

## References

- [1] Sen, R.N. (1999) Why is the Euclidian line the real line?, *Found. Physics*, 12:4,328-345.
- [2] Witten, E. (1981) Search for a realistic Kaluza-Klein theory, *Nuclear Physics B*186, 412-428.
- [3] Overduin, J.M. & Wesson, P.S. (1997) Kaluza-Klein gravity, *Physics Reports*, 283, pp. 303-378.
- [4] Amoroso, R.L., Kafatos, M. & Ecimovic, P. (1998) The origins of cosmological redshift in spin exchange between Planck scale vacuum compactification and nonzero rest mass photon anisotropy, in Hunter, G., S. Jeffers & J-P Vigiér (eds.), *Causality & Locality in Modern Physics*, Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- [5] Amoroso, R.L. (2002) The continuous state universe, in R.L. Amoroso, G. Hunter, S. Jeffers & M. Kafatos, (eds.), *Gravitation & Cosmology: From the Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [6] Amoroso, R.L. (2000) The parameters of temporal correspondence in a continuous state conscious universe, in L. Buccheri & M. Saniga (eds.) *Studies on the Structure of Time: From Physics to Psycho(patho)logy*, London: Plenum.
- [7] Baez, J.C., & Dolan, J. (1995) Higher-dimensional algebra & Topological quantum field theory, *J. Math. Phys*, 36:11, 6073-6115.
- [8] Brooks, R. (1997) The physics inside of topological quantum field theories, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 14, L87- L91.
- [9] Chu, S-Y (1993) *Physical Rev. L.* , 71, 2847.
- [10] Hocking, & Young, (1988) *Topology*, New York: Dover
- [11] Stevens, H.H. (1989) Size of a least unit, in M. Kafatos (ed.) *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory and Conceptions of the Universe*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [12] Vigiér, J-P & Amoroso, R.L. (2002) Can one unify gravity and electromagnetic fields? in R.L. Amoroso, G. Hunter, S. Jeffers & M. Kafatos, (eds.), *Gravitation & Cosmology: From the Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [13] Zeh, H.-D. (1989) *The Physical Basis of the Direction of Time*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [14] Burns, J.E. (1998) Entropy and vacuum radiation, *Found. Phys.* 28(7), 1191-1207.
- [15] Wheeler, J.A., & Feynman, R., 1945, *Rev. Mod. Physics*, 17, 157.
- [16] Kafatos, M. Roy, S. & Amoroso, R. (2000) Scaling in Cosmology & the Arrow of Time, in Buccheri, di Gesu & Saniga, (eds.) *Studies on Time*, Kluwer

- [17] Rauscher, E. (2002) Non-Abelian gauge groups for real and complex Maxwell's equations, in R.L. Amoroso, G. Hunter, S. Jeffers & M. Kafatos, (eds.), *Gravitation & Cosmology: From the Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, 2002, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [18] Misner, C.W., Thorne, K. & Wheeler, J.A. (1973) *Gravitation*, San Francisco: Freeman.
- [19] Peebles, P.J.E. (1993) *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- [20] Wheeler, J.A. (1955) Geons, *Physical Review*, 97:2, 511-536.
- [21] Amoroso, R.L., & Vigier, J-P, The origin of cosmological redshift and CMBR as absorption/emission equilibrium in cavity-QED blackbody dynamics of the Dirac vacuum, In Amoroso, R.L., Hunter, G., Kafatos, M., Vigier, J-P. (eds.) *Gravitation & Cosmology: From the Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, 2002, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [22] Cole, E.A.B. (1977) *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 40:2, 171-180.
- [23] Barrow, J.D. & Tipler, F.J. (1988) *The Anthropic Principle*, Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- [24] Franck, G. 2000, Time and presence, in *Science and The Primacy of Consciousness*, R.L. Amoroso et al, (eds.) Orinda: Noetic Press.
- [25] Miller, W.A. (1986) *Found. Phys.* 16:2, 143-169.
- [26] Gondran, M. (2002) A trajectory model for a particle in the Schrodinger approximation, preprint.
- [27] Wheeler, J.A. (1977) Gravitational and Electromagnetic wave flux compared and contrasted, *Phys. Rev. D*, 16:12, 3384-3389.
- [28] Amoroso, R.L. (2002) *The Physical Basis of Consciousness: A Fundamental Formalism, Part 1 Noesis*, XXVI, Romanian Academy.
- [29] Burns, J.E. (2002), Vacuum radiation, entropy and the arrow of time, in R.L. Amoroso, G. Hunter, S. Jeffers & M. Kafatos, (eds.), *Gravitation & Cosmology: From the Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, 2002, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- [30] Chalmers, D.J., 2002, The puzzle of conscious experience, *Scientific American Spec. Ed.* 12:1, 90-100.
- [31] Sklar, L. 1995, *Philosophy and Spacetime Physics*, Berkeley: Univ. of California Press.
- [32] Reichenbach, H. 1957, *Philosophy of Space and Time*, New York: Dover.
- [33] Puthoff, H.E. (1989) Source of vacuum electromagnetic zero-point energy, *Phys. Rev. A* 40(9), 4857-4862; (1991) Reply to "Comment on 'Source of vacuum electromagnetic zero-point energy'", *Phys. Rev. A* 44(5), 3385-3386.
- [34] Pribram, K. H. (1991) *Brain and Perception*, Hillsdale: Lawrence Earlbaum.
- [35] Eccles, J.C. (1986) Do mental events cause neural events analogously to the probability fields of quantum mechanics?, *Proc. Royal Soc. London B* 227, pp. 411-428.
- [36] Smart (1972) *Encyc of Philosophy*, New York: Macmillan.
- [37] Amoroso, R.L. (2000) Consciousness, a radical definition: Substance dualism solves the hard problem, In Amoroso, R.L., Antunes, R., Coelho, C., Farias, M., Leite, A., & Soares, P. (eds.) *Science and the Primacy of Consciousness*, Orinda: The Noetic Press; Amoroso, R.L. (1999) An introduction to noetic field theory: The quantization of mind, *The Noetic Journal* 2:1, pp. 28-37.
- [38] Amoroso, R.L (2000) Derivation of the fundamental equation of consciousness, Part I, Boundary conditions, *Noetic Journal* 3:1, pp. 91-99.
- [39] Amoroso, R.L. and Martin, B. (1995) Modeling the Heisenberg matrix: Quantum coherence and thought at the holoscape manifold and deeper complementarity. In J. King & K.H. Pribram, Eds. *Scale in Conscious Experience: Is the Brain too Important to be Left to Biologists to Study?* Lawrence, Mahwah: Earlbaum.
- [40] Prigogine, I. (1997) From Poincaré's divergences to quantum mechanics with broken time symmetry, *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung* 52a, 37-47; Petrosky, T. and Rosenberg, M. (1997) Microscopic non-equilibrium structure and dynamical model of entropy flow, *Foundations of Physics* 27(2), 239-259.
- [41] Rauscher, E. A. (1983) *Electromagnetic Phenomena in Complex Geometries and Nonlinear Phenomena, Non – Hertzian Waves and Magnetic Monopoles*, Millbrae: Tesla Books.
- [42] Hansen, R.O. & Newman, E.T. (1975) *General Relativity and Gravitation* 6:216.
- [43] de Bernardis, P. et al. (2000) *Nature*, 404, 955-959.
- [44] Vigier, J-P, Amoroso, R.L. & Lehnert, B. (2002) Physics, or not two Physics, submitted.
- [45] Arkani-Hamed, N, Dimopoulos, S. & Dvali, G. 1999, *Phys. Rev. D* 59, 086004
- [46] Amoroso, R.L, et al (2002) Dirac Vacuum Interferometry, in progress.
- [47] Amoroso, R.L & Amoroso, P.J. (2005) The Fundamental Limit and Origin of Complexity in Biological Systems: A New Model for the Origin of Life, in D. Dubois, (ed.) *Proceedings of CASYS04*, Liege, Belgium, AIP Proceedings.
- [48] Cramer, J.G. (1986) *Reviews of Mod. Physics*, 58:3, 647-687.
- [49] Zwiebach, B. (2004) *A First Course In String Theory*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [50] Kaku, M. (1999) *Introduction to Superstrings and M-Theory*, New York: Springer-Verlag.