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Abstract In this paper, we consider the $a b c$ conjecture in the case $c=a+1$. Firstly, we give the proof of the first conjecture that $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c)$. It is the key of the proof of the $a b c$ conjecture. Secondly, the proof of the $a b c$ conjecture is given for $\epsilon \geq 1$, then for $\epsilon \in] 0,1[$ for the two cases: $c \leq \operatorname{rad}(a c)$ and $c>\operatorname{rad}(a c)$.
We choose the constant $K(\epsilon)$ as $K(\epsilon)=e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)}$. A numerical example is presented.
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## 1 Introduction and notations

Let $a$ a positive integer, $a=\prod_{i} a_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}, a_{i}$ prime integers and $\alpha_{i} \geq 1$ positive integers. We call radical of $a$ the integer $\prod_{i} a_{i}$ noted by $\operatorname{rad}(a)$. Then $a$ is written as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\prod_{i} a_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}=\operatorname{rad}(a) . \prod_{i} a_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We note:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}=\prod_{i} a_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} \Longrightarrow a=\mu_{a} \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $a b c$ conjecture was proposed independently in 1985 by David Masser of the University of Basel and Joseph Esterlé of Pierre et Marie Curie University (Paris 6) ( 1 ). It describes the distribution of the prime factors of two integers with those of its sum. The definition of the $a b c$ conjecture is given below:

Conjecture 1 ( $\boldsymbol{a b c}$ Conjecture): Let $a, b, c$ positive integers relatively prime with $c=a+b$, then for each $\epsilon>0$, there exists a constant $K(\epsilon)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<K(\epsilon) \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a b c)^{1+\epsilon} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K(\epsilon)$ depending only of $\epsilon$.
We know that numerically, $\frac{\operatorname{Logc}}{\log (\operatorname{rad}(a b c))} \leq 1.629912$ ([1]). A conjecture was proposed that $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a b c)$ (2]). Here we will give the proof of it in the case $c=a+1$.

Conjecture 2 Let $a, b, c$ positive integers relatively prime with $c=a+b$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a b c) \Longrightarrow \frac{\log c}{\log (\operatorname{rad}(a b c))}<2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result, I think is the key to obtain a proof of the veracity of the $a b c$ conjecture.

## 2 A Proof of the conjecture (2), Case : $c=a+1$

Let $a, c$ positive integers, relatively prime, with $c=a+1$. If $c<\operatorname{rad}(a c)$ then we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<\operatorname{rad}(a c)<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the condition (4) is verified.
If $c=\operatorname{rad}(a c)$, then $a, c$ are not relatively coprime.
In the following, we suppose that $c>\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c) \Longrightarrow \mu_{a} \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a)+1>\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c) \Longrightarrow$ $1>\operatorname{rad}(a) \cdot\left(\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)-\mu_{a}\right)$, we obtain :

- if $\left(\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)-\mu_{a}\right)>0$, as $\operatorname{rad}(a) \geq 2 \Longrightarrow 1<\operatorname{rad}(a) .\left(\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)-\right.$ $\mu_{a}$ ), then the contradiction, hence $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c)$.
- if $\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)-\mu_{a}=0 \Longrightarrow$ that $a, c$ are not coprime, then the contradiction, hence $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c)$.
- if $\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)-\mu_{a}<0 \Longrightarrow \mu_{a}>\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)$. From $c=a+1$, we obtain $\operatorname{rad}(a)=\frac{c-1}{\mu_{a}}$. As it is supposed $c>\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c) \Longrightarrow c>$ $\frac{(c-1)^{2}}{\mu_{a}^{2}} \cdot r a d^{2}(c)$. We obtain that $c$ verifies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}-c\left(2+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}\right)+1<0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we consider the equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(X)=X^{2}-X\left(2+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}\right)+1=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We verify that the discriminant of $P(X)$ is $>0$. The roots $X_{1}<X_{2}$ of $P(X)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[2+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}-\sqrt{4\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{4}}\right]>0 \\
& X_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[2+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}+\sqrt{4\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{\operatorname{rad}(c)}\right)^{4}}\right]>0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$c$ verifies $\sqrt{6} \Longrightarrow c \in] X_{1}, X_{2}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}\left(1-\sqrt{1+4 \frac{r a d^{2}(c)}{\mu_{a}^{2}}}\right)<2 \operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)<\mu_{a}\left(1+\sqrt{1+4 \frac{r a d^{2}(c)}{\mu_{a}^{2}}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the right member of the above inequality, we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}>2 \frac{\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)}{1+\sqrt{1+4 \frac{\operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)}{\mu_{a}^{2}}}}=t \quad \text { with } \quad t<\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the contradiction with $\mu_{a}>\operatorname{rad}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)$. We deduce that the condition $c>\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)$ is false and $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a) \operatorname{rad}^{2}(c)$.

We announce the theorem:
Theorem 1 (Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem, 2019) Let a, c positive integers relatively prime with $c=a+1, a \geq 2$, then $c<\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a b c)$.

## 3 The Proof of The $A B C$ Conjecture (1) Case: $c=a+1$

We denote $R=\operatorname{rad}(a c)$.

### 3.1 Case: $\epsilon \geq 1$

Using the result of the theorem above, we have $\forall \epsilon \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<R^{2} \leq R^{1+\epsilon}<K(\epsilon) \cdot R^{1+\epsilon}, \quad K(\epsilon)=e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)}, \epsilon \geq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We verify easily that $K(\epsilon)>1$ for $\epsilon \geq 1$ and it is a decreasing function from $e$ the base of the neperian logarithm to 1 .
3.2 Case: $\epsilon<1$

### 3.2.1 Case: $c \leq R$

In this case, we can write :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leq R<R^{1+\epsilon}<K(\epsilon) \cdot R^{1+\epsilon}, \quad K(\epsilon)=e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)}, \epsilon<1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

here also $K(\epsilon)>1$ for $\epsilon<1$ and the $a b c$ conjecture is true.

### 3.2.2 Case: $c>R$

In this case, we confirm that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<K(\epsilon) \cdot R^{1+\epsilon}, \quad K(\epsilon)=e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)}, 0<\epsilon<1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not, then $\left.\exists \epsilon_{0} \in\right] 0,1[$, so that the triplets $(a, c)$ checking $c>R$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geq R^{1+\epsilon_{0}} . K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

are in finite number. We have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c \geq R^{1+\epsilon_{0}} . K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow R^{1-\epsilon_{0}} . c \geq R^{1-\epsilon_{0}} \cdot R^{1+\epsilon_{0}} . K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow \\
\quad R^{1-\epsilon_{0}} . c \geq R^{2} . K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)>c \cdot K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow R^{1-\epsilon_{0}}>K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

As $c>R$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c^{1-\epsilon_{0}}>R^{1-\epsilon_{0}}>K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow \\
c^{1-\epsilon_{0}}>K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \Longrightarrow c>K\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon_{0}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

We deduce that it exists an infinity of triples $(a, 1, c)$ verifying (14), hence the contradiction. Then the proof of the $a b c$ conjecture in the case $c=a+1$ is finished. We obtain that $\forall \epsilon>0, c=a+1$ with $a, c$ relatively coprime, $2 \leq a<c$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<K(\epsilon) \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a c)^{1+\epsilon} \quad \text { with } \quad K(\epsilon)=e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Examples

In this section, we are going to verify some cases of one numerical example. The example is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+5 \times 127 \times(2 \times 3 \times 7)^{3}=19^{6} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a=5 \times 127 \times(2 \times 3 \times 7)^{3}=47045880 \Rightarrow \mu_{a}=2 \times 3 \times 7=42$ and $\operatorname{rad}(a)=$ $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 127$,
$b=1 \Rightarrow \mu_{b}=1$ and $\operatorname{rad}(b)=1$,
$c=19^{6}=47045880 \Rightarrow \operatorname{rad}(c)=19$. Then $\operatorname{rad}(a b c)=\operatorname{rad}(a c)=2 \times 3 \times 5 \times$ $7 \times 19 \times 127=506730$.

We have $c>\operatorname{rad}(a c)$ but $\operatorname{rad}^{2}(a c)=506730^{2}=256775292900>c=$ 47045880 .
4.0.1 Case $\epsilon=0.01$
$c<K(\epsilon) \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a c)^{1+\epsilon} \Longrightarrow 47045880 \stackrel{?}{<} e^{10000} .506730^{1.01}$. The expression of $K(\epsilon)$ becomes:

$$
K(\epsilon)=e^{\frac{1}{0.0001}}=e^{10000}=8,7477777149120053120152473488653 e+4342
$$

We deduce that $c \ll K(0.01) .506730^{1.01}$ and the equation 17 is verified.
4.0.2 Case $\epsilon=0.1$
$K(0.1)=e^{\frac{1}{0.01}}=e^{100}=2,6879363309671754205917012128876 e+43 \Longrightarrow c<$ $K(0.1) \times 506730^{1.01}$. And the equation 17 is verified.
4.0.3 Case $\epsilon=1$
$K(1)=e \Longrightarrow c=47045880<e \cdot r^{2} d^{2}(a c)=697987143184,212$. and the equation (17) is verified.
4.0.4 Case $\epsilon=100$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K(100)=e^{0.0001} \Longrightarrow c=47045880 \stackrel{?}{<} e^{0.0001} .506730^{101}= \\
1,5222350248607608781853142687284 e+576
\end{array}
$$

and the equation 17 is verified.

## 5 Conclusion

This is an elementary proof of the $a b c$ conjecture in the case $c=a+1$. We can announce the important theorem:

Theorem 2 (David Masser, Joseph Esterlé \& Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem; 2019) Let $a, c$ positive integers relatively prime with $c=a+1, a \geq$ then for each $\epsilon>0$, there exists $K(\epsilon)$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<K(\epsilon) \cdot \operatorname{rad}(a c)^{1+\epsilon} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(\epsilon)$ is a constant depending of $\epsilon$ equal to $e^{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\right) \text {. }}$
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