## How Much Time Does It Take...?

Sylwester Kornowski

Abstract: How much time does it take for the great mathematicians in physics to understand that...

How much time does it take for the great mathematicians in physics to understand that they led physics to a dead end?

1.

An object composed of gluons, a sea of virtual quarks and three relativistic quarks with at least two different the rest masses can not be a stable object such as a proton – the proton, due to the high energy density, must have an atom-like structure similar to a quasar.

## 2.

Such physical quantities as spin, charge or bare mass (i.e., bare particle) need a rich and extended internal structure, so the bare particle can not be either a mathematical point or a single closed or open vibrating string.

## 3.

The speed of light in "vacuum" c is both the speed of photons/gluons and the maximum speed of the gravitational masses in the reference systems with which they are entangled in a quantum way. There is no physical object with an invariant speed relative to inertial reference systems moving with different velocities. Experiments leading to invariant speed are misinterpreted because they do not take into account quantum entanglement.

So how long does it take to eliminate these three nonsense but key assumptions on which orthodox physics is based? Could it be 114 years or will it be more years?

Do you understand now why orthodox physics is so messy and that in such a mess you can prove and push through even the most stupid idea?

Within orthodox physics we still can not explain convincingly the origin of dark matter, dark energy and matter-antimatter asymmetry.

But you know what? All these answers exist but they are uncomfortable for a group holding power in physics but this will change because how long can one deceive humanity with crazy ideas.