Fixing Dirac Theory's Relativity and Correspondence Errors

Steven Kenneth Kauffmann*

Abstract

Dirac sought a relativistic quantum free-particle Hamiltonian that imposes space-time symmetry on the Schrödinger equation in configuration representation; he ignored the Lorentz covariance of energymomentum. Dirac free-particle velocity therefore is momentum-independent, breaching relativity basics. Dirac also made solutions of his equation satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation via requirements imposed on its operators. Dirac particle speed is thereby fixed to the unphysical value of c times the square root of three, and anticommutation requirements prevent four observables, including the components of velocity, from commuting when Planck's constant vanishes, a correspondence-principle breach responsible for Dirac free-particle spontaneous acceleration (*zitterbewegung*) that diverges in the classical limit. Nonrelativistic Pauli theory contrariwise is physically sensible, and its particle rest-frame action can be extended to become Lorentz invariant. The consequent Lagrangian yields the corresponding closed-form relativistic Hamiltonian when magnetic field is absent, otherwise a successive-approximation regime applies.

Introduction

Dirac's ostensibly relativistic free-particle quantum Hamiltonian operator $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ was shaped by his *intuitive impression* that, to be relativistic, the free-particle Schrödinger equation in configuration representation,

$$i\hbar\partial\psi(\mathbf{r},t)/\partial t = H_D(\mathbf{p})\psi(\mathbf{r},t),$$
(1a)

(in which $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ is independent of \mathbf{r} to enforce the free-particle condition $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0}$) must be made space-time symmetric by the correct choice of $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ [1]. Since,

$$-i\hbar\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{p}\psi(\mathbf{r},t),\tag{1b}$$

Dirac presumed that his intuitive impression is effected by making $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ inhomogeneously linear in \mathbf{p} , i.e.,

$$H_D(\mathbf{p}) = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta mc^2, \tag{1c}$$

where $\vec{\alpha}$ and β are Hermitian, dimensionless and independent of **r** and **p** [1, 2, 3, 4]. Dirac's Eq. (1c) free-particle $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ and the Heisenberg equation of motion yield the free-particle velocity [5, 6],

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, H_D(\mathbf{p})] = (-i/\hbar) \left[\mathbf{r}, c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta mc^2\right] = c\vec{\alpha},$$
(1d)

which, since $\vec{\alpha}$ is independent of \mathbf{p} , flatly contradicts the fundamental requirement of free-particle special relativity that the $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}$ asymptotic form of $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ is its nonrelativistic free-particle result (\mathbf{p}/m), i.e.,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} \sim (\mathbf{p}/m) \text{ as } \mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}.$$
 (1e)

The incompatibility of $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ with Eq. (1e) shows that Dirac's free-particle $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ violates special relativity. That violation is confirmed by inspection of the formal action S_D which corresponds to Dirac's $H_D(\mathbf{p})$,

$$S_D = \int L_D(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) dt = \int [\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - H_D(\mathbf{p})]_{\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}} dt = \int (-mc^2)\beta dt.$$
(1f)

Since β is independent of \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{p} and $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$, the Eq. (1f) action S_D fails to be Lorentz-invariant because differential observed time dt isn't Lorentz-invariant—only differential proper time $d\tau = (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$ is Lorentz-invariant. Thus the violation of special relativity by Dirac's $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ is confirmed.

Since $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ violates special relativity, we need to understand the flaw in Dirac's intuitive impression that, to be relativistic, the free-particle Schrödinger equation in configuration representation,

$$i\hbar\partial\psi(\mathbf{r},t)/\partial t = H(\mathbf{p})\psi(\mathbf{r},t),$$
(2a)

must be made space-time symmetric by the correct choice of $H(\mathbf{p})$. In fact, this equation's formal solution,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \exp(-iH(\mathbf{p})(t - t_0)/\hbar) \,\psi(\mathbf{r}, t_0),\tag{2b}$$

is entirely skewed toward time t regardless of $H(\mathbf{p})$, so Dirac's intuitive impression didn't have a cogent basis.

^{*}Retired, American Physical Society Senior Life Member, E-mail: SKKauffmann@gmail.com

Eq. (2b) highlights the fact that the Eq. (2a) Schrödinger equation doesn't by itself fully describe the spacetime propagation of $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The spatial propagation of $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is of course described by the Eq. (1b) threevector set of three equations, which is readily combined with the Eq. (2a) Schrödinger equation into a spacetime symmetric four-vector set of four equations by using the space-time gradient $\partial/\partial x_{\mu} = ((1/c)\partial/\partial t, -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}})$ and the free-particle energy-momentum four-vector operator $H^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) = (H(\mathbf{p}), c\mathbf{p})$ to write,

$$i\hbar c \,\partial\psi(x_{\mu})/\partial x_{\mu} = H^{\mu}(\mathbf{p})\psi(x_{\mu}),$$
(2c)

whose space-time symmetric formal solution analogous to the Eq. (2b) time-skewed solution of Eq. (2a) is,

$$\psi(x_{\mu}) = \exp\left(-iH^{\mu}(\mathbf{p})(x_{\mu} - x_{\mu}^{0})/(\hbar c)\right)\psi(x_{\mu}^{0}),$$
(2d)

which shows that contrary to Dirac's intuitive impression, free-particle quantum mechanics can be presented as space-time symmetric regardless of $H(\mathbf{p})$. But also contrary to Dirac's impression, special relativity doesn't concern space-time symmetry per se; it concerns the transformation of observations between inertial reference frames, and Eq. (2d) tells us that if the free-particle energy-momentum $H^{\mu}(\mathbf{p})$ transforms as a Lorentz-covariant four-vector between inertial reference frames, then the observed space-time evolution of a configuration-representation free-particle wave function $\psi(x_{\mu})$ is independent of observer inertial reference frame, just as the observed space-time evolution of a spherical shell of free electromagnetic radiation is.

Therefore in special relativity the free-particle Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p})$ must be such that the corresponding free-particle energy-momentum four-vector $H^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) = (H(\mathbf{p}), c\mathbf{p})$ is Lorentz-transformation covariant, as well as such that the corresponding $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}$ asymptotic free-particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ is $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \sim (\mathbf{p}/m)$ to uphold the nonrelativistic limit—see Eq. (1e). The Lorentz transformation $H'^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}')$ of $H^{\mu}(\mathbf{p})$ to an inertial frame traveling at any relativistically permitted constant velocity $\mathbf{v} = c\vec{\beta}$, where $|\vec{\beta}| < 1$, is given by,

$$H'(\mathbf{p}') = \left(H(\mathbf{p}) - \left(\vec{\beta} \cdot (c\mathbf{p})\right)\right) \left(1 - |\vec{\beta}|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$c\mathbf{p}' = c\mathbf{p} + \vec{\beta} \left(\vec{\beta} \cdot (c\mathbf{p})\right) |\vec{\beta}|^{-2} \left(\left(1 - |\vec{\beta}|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right) - \vec{\beta} H(\mathbf{p}) \left(1 - |\vec{\beta}|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

(3a)

which, in the special case that $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$, reduces to,

$$H'((\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})') = H(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})\left(1-|\vec{\beta}|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ c(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})' = -\vec{\beta}H(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})\left(1-|\vec{\beta}|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3b)

From the second equality of Eq. (3b), $|\vec{\beta}|(1-|\vec{\beta}|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = |c(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})'/H(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})|$, which we abbreviate as χ . Thus $|\vec{\beta}| = \chi(1+\chi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which accords with the requirement that $|\vec{\beta}| < 1$ regardless of the value of $(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0})'$. Since $(1-|\vec{\beta}|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ furthermore equals $(1+\chi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the first equality of Eq. (3b) implies that,

$$H'((\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})') = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \left(1 + \chi^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \left(1 + |c(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})'/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (3c)

regardless of the value of $(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})'$. Thus we are free to rename $(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})'$ as \mathbf{p} , which changes Eq. (3c) to,

$$H'(\mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \left(1 + |c\mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow H'(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}), \text{ so,}$$

$$H'(\mathbf{p}) = H'(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \left(1 + |c\mathbf{p}/H'(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow H(\mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \left(1 + |c\mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3d)

upon renaming $H'(\mathbf{p})$ as $H(\mathbf{p})$. The relativistically-correct final $H(\mathbf{p})$ of Eq. (3d) disagrees with Dirac's $H_D(\mathbf{p}) = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta mc^2$ of Eq. (1c) because the latter is inhomogeneously linear in \mathbf{p} , again confirming that Dirac's $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ violates special relativity. The value of the constant $H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})$ within the Eq. (3d) result for $H(\mathbf{p})$ is obtained from the Heisenberg equation of motion imposed on $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ by $H(\mathbf{p})$, together with the Eq. (1e) nonrelativistic-limit requirement that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \sim (\mathbf{p}/m)$ as $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}$. That $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ equation of motion is,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, H(\mathbf{p})] = \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} H(\mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} (1 + |c\mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (c^2 \mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})) (1 + |c\mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sim (c^2 \mathbf{p}/H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0})) \text{ as } \mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}.$$
(3e)

From Eq. (3e) plus the Eq. (1e) requirement that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} \sim (\mathbf{p}/m)$ as $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}$, and also from Eq. (3d), we obtain,

$$H(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}) = mc^2, \ H(\mathbf{p}) = \left(m^2c^4 + |c\mathbf{p}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } \dot{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{p}/m)\left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3f)

We next wish to obtain the free-particle Lagrangian $L(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$ and consequent action $S_{\text{free}} = \int L(\dot{\mathbf{r}})dt$ which correspond to the relativistically correct Eq. (3f) free-particle Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p})$. To obtain $L(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$ from $H(\mathbf{p})$, we need the inverse of the Eq. (3f) result that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{p}/m)(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which works out to be,

$$\mathbf{p} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}} \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3g)

Using Eq. (3g) and the relativistically correct free-particle Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p})$ of Eq. (3f), we obtain the relativistically correct free-particle Lagrangian $L(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$ in the following standard way,

$$L(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \left[\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - H(\mathbf{p})\right]_{\mathbf{p}=m\dot{\mathbf{r}}(1-|\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = \left[m|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \left(1-|\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(m^2c^4 + m^2c^2|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \left(1-|\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] = \left(-mc^2\right)\left(1-|\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3h)

which in turn immediately yields the relativistically correct free-particle action $S_{\text{free}} = \int L(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) dt$,

$$S_{\text{free}} = \int (-mc^2) (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int (-mc^2) d\tau, \qquad (3i)$$

where $d\tau = (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt$ is Lorentz-invariant differential proper time. Thus the Eq. (3i) free-particle action S_{free} , which is based on the relativistically correct free-particle Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p})$ of Eq. (3f), is indeed Lorentz-invariant, as a relativistically correct action must be; the Eq. (1f) Dirac action $S_D = \int (-mc^2)\beta dt$ failed this Lorentz-invariance test, violating special relativity.

The only properties we have so far specified for $\vec{\alpha}$ and β in Dirac's Eq. (1c) Hamiltonian $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ are that $\vec{\alpha}$ and β are Hermitian, dimensionless and independent of \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{p} . With those minimally specified properties of $\vec{\alpha}$ and β , $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ egregiously violates relativistic free-particle dynamics simply because it is inhomogeneously linear in \mathbf{p} . Dirac of course never became aware of that unfortunate fact, so he strove to maximally incorporate properties of the Eq. (3f) Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p}) = (m^2c^4 + |c\mathbf{p}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ into his $H_D(\mathbf{p}) = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta mc^2$ by imposing additional algebraic requirements on $\vec{\alpha}$ and β which guarantee that [1, 7, 8],

$$(H_D(\mathbf{p}))^2 = (H(\mathbf{p}))^2.$$
 (4a)

If Eq. (4a) holds, any Dirac $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ equation solution satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation—but Eq. (4a) also injects Klein-Gordon-style negative-energy solutions into the Dirac equation. Dirac's "famous" ten algebraic requirements for $\vec{\alpha}$ and β which guarantee that Eq. (4a) holds are [1, 7, 8],

$$(\alpha_x)^2 = (\alpha_y)^2 = (\alpha_z)^2 = (\beta)^2 = 1, \text{ and } \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z \text{ and } \beta \text{ all mutually anticommute.}$$
(4b)

Dirac's Eq. (4b) requirement that $(\alpha_x)^2 = (\alpha_y)^2 = (\alpha_z)^2 = 1$ however produces an astoundingly unphysical result for the $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ free-particle speed $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|$. We have seen from Eq. (1d) that $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ yields that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$, so,

$$|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c|\vec{\alpha}| = c\left((\alpha_x)^2 + (\alpha_y)^2 + (\alpha_z)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c(1+1+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c\sqrt{3},\tag{4c}$$

a fixed c-number speed value $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$, which not only violates the asymptotic free-particle requirement $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| \sim (|\mathbf{p}|/m)$ as $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{0}$, but as well grossly violates the special-relativistic free-particle speed limit $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| < c$.

Since the Eq. (4c) result $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$ destroys the physical legitimacy of Dirac theory at a single glance, it isn't written down in any textbook, but the fact that the eigenvalues of the three components of $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ are $\pm c$ is indeed pointed out in some textbooks [5], and $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$ is of course an immediate consequence of that.

Dirac's Eq. (4b) also implies that the three observable components of the Dirac free-particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ and the observable term βmc^2 of the Dirac free-particle Hamiltonian $H_D(\mathbf{p})$ all mutually anticommute, so the commutator of any of the six pairs of those four observables equals twice the pair's product, which doesn't vanish in the limit $\hbar \to 0$, in gross violation of the correspondence-principle requirement that all commutators of observables must vanish when $\hbar \to 0$. This disastrous violation of the correspondence principle is the root cause of the free-particle Dirac theory's extremely unphysical zitterbewegung spontaneous acceleration, which tends toward infinity as $\hbar \to 0$.

We noted in Eq. (3f) that the *correct* relativistic free-particle Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{p}) = (m^2 c^4 + |c\mathbf{p}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ implies that $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{p}/m)(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, so,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\dot{\mathbf{r}}, H(\mathbf{p})] = (-i/\hbar) \left[(\mathbf{p}/m) \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \left(m^2 c^4 + |c\mathbf{p}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \mathbf{0}$$

in accord with the Newton's First Law principle that free particles don't undergo spontaneous acceleration.

However, Dirac's "famous" six unphysical anticommutation relations of Eq. (4b), which grossly violate the correspondence principle, produce the following nonzero zitterbewegung spontaneous free-particle acceleration, which tends toward infinity as $\hbar \to 0$,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\dot{\mathbf{r}}, H_D(\mathbf{p})] = (-i/\hbar) \left[c\vec{\alpha}, c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta mc^2\right] = \left(-ic^2/\hbar\right) \left((\mathbf{p} \times (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\alpha})) + (2\vec{\alpha}\beta mc)\right).$$
(4d)

In the special case of a Dirac free particle of zero momentum, (i.e., for $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$), Eq. (4d) reduces to.

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -2i\vec{\alpha}\beta \left(mc^3/\hbar\right),\tag{4e}$$

and therefore,

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{r}}| = 2\sqrt{3} \left(mc^3/\hbar\right). \tag{4f}$$

Eq. (4f) tells us that due to spontaneously varying direction of travel, a $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ Dirac "free particle", which of course has the unphysical special-relativity-violating fixed speed $c\sqrt{3}$ (see Eq. (4c)), undergoes spontaneous acceleration whose magnitude has no upper bound in the classical limit $\hbar \to 0$. Already for a $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ electron, Eq. (4f) implies a zitterbewegung spontaneous-acceleration magnitude $|\mathbf{\ddot{r}}|$ of the mind-boggling order of 10^{28} times g, where $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$, the acceleration of gravity at the Earth's surface. However, if the observables $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ and βmc^2 sensibly commuted instead of grossly violating the correspondence principle because of the unphysical anticommutation that is imposed on them by Dirac's badly misguided Eq. (4b) algebraic requirements for $\vec{\alpha}$ and β , we see from Eq. (4d) that the Eq. (4e) $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ particle zitterbewegung spontaneous acceleration $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$ would vanish altogether.

Likewise, if the observable components of the Dirac "free particle" velocity operator $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ sensibly commuted with each other, as indeed do the observable components of the correct relativistic free-particle velocity operator $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{p}/m)(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ of Eq. (3f), instead of grossly violating the correspondence principle because of the unphysical anticommutation that is imposed on them by Dirac's badly misguided Eq. (4b) algebraic requirements for the components of $\vec{\alpha}$, the "famous" Dirac spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator \mathbf{S} , which is,

$$\mathbf{S} = -i(\hbar/4)(\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\alpha}) = -i(\hbar/(4c^2))(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}}),\tag{4g}$$

would simply vanish altogether. Thus the very existence of the "famous" Dirac spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator **S** is the direct consequence of Dirac's completely unphysical Eq. (4b) anticommutation algebraic requirements for the components of $\vec{\alpha}$, which grossly violate the correspondence principle.

Moreover, scrutiny of Eq. (4d) above, reveals that the Dirac spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator-related entity $\mathbf{p} \times (\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}}) = c^2 \mathbf{p} \times (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\alpha})$ contributes to the unphysical spontaneous acceleration $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$ of a Dirac "free particle", which of course violates the Newton's First Law principle of correct free-particle special relativity.

The "automatic emergence" of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator $\mathbf{S} = -i(\hbar/4)(\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\alpha}) = -i(\hbar/(4c^2))(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}})$ in Dirac theory is traditionally *touted* as "a great achievement" of that theory, but (1) its very existence arises from Dirac's completely unphysical Eq. (4b) anticommutation algebraic requirements for the components of $\vec{\alpha}$, which grossly violate the correspondence principle, and (2) the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator-related entity $c^2 \mathbf{p} \times (\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{\alpha})$ is a contributor to the unphysical special-relativity violating spontaneous acceleration $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$ of a Dirac "free particle", as is seen from Eq. (4d).

Turning now to the electromagnetically minimally coupled Dirac Hamiltonian [9, 10],

$$H_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}) = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}) + e\phi + \beta mc^2,$$
(5a)

we immediately see that it has exactly the same velocity operator $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = c\vec{\alpha}$ [6],

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, H_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P})] = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, c\vec{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{P}] = c\vec{\alpha},$$
(5b)

as the "free-particle" Dirac Hamiltonian (see Eq. (1d)), so any electromagnetically coupled Dirac particle always has the speed $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$ that violates the special-relativistic particle speed limit $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| < c$.

The speed result, $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$, for the electromagnetically minimally coupled Dirac Hamiltonian of Eq. (5a) immediately contradicts the well-known textbook "theorem" that that Hamiltonian effectively reduces to the electromagnetically coupled nonrelativistic Pauli Hamiltonian [11, 12],

$$H = \left(|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|^2 / (2m) \right) + e\phi - (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \tag{6a}$$

in the latter's region of special-relativistic validity, which is, of course, when,

$$|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = (|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|/m) \ll c, \tag{6b}$$

because,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, H] = (-i/\hbar) \left[\mathbf{r}, \left(|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|^2/(2m)\right)\right] = ((\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A})/m).$$
(6c)

However, since there is no overlap whatsoever between $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$ and $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| \ll c$, this well-known textbook "theorem" comically falls flat on its face.

The purported "proof" which textbooks proffer for this well-known "theorem" relies on the ostensibly "plausible" supposition for the Dirac Hamiltonian that if [13, 14],

$$|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}| \ll mc,\tag{7a}$$

then,

$$|E - mc^2| \ll mc^2. \tag{7b}$$

The *difficulty* with this "plausible" supposition becomes apparent when the Dirac equation's *unavoidable negative-energy solutions* are taken into consideration. For example, it is *entirely feasible* to have the condition given by Eq. (7a) *in coexistence with*,

$$E \approx -mc^2,$$
 (7c)

which, of course, *drastically violates* the ostensibly "plausible" supposition of Eq. (7b).

The electromagnetically minimally coupled Dirac Hamiltonian of Eq. (5a), namely,

$$H_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}) = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}) + e\phi + \beta mc^2, \tag{8a}$$

since it violates special relativity because its particle speed $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = c\sqrt{3}$ always grossly exceeds c, clearly cannot correctly describe single-particle relativistic quantum mechanics.

However, the electromagnetically coupled nonrelativistic Pauli Hamiltonian of Eq. (6a), namely,

$$H = \left(|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|^2 / (2m) \right) + e\phi - (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \tag{8b}$$

is physically unobjectionable in the nonrelativistic regime, namely when,

$$|\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = (|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|/m) \ll c.$$

Since Lorentz-invariant actions produce Lorentz-covariant dynamical theories and, furthermore, the relativistic physics of a single particle is *identical* to its nonrelativistic physics when the particle is at rest, one can render a nonrelativistic single-particle theory relativistic by *specializing the nonrelativistic action to zero particle velocity*, and then upgrading that to become Lorentz invariant.

Given a nonrelativistic single-particle Hamiltonian which is to be upgraded to its relativistic counterpart, a great many steps are necessary. One must pass from the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian to the corresponding nonrelativistic Lagrangian, thence to the nonrelativistic action, which is specialized to zero particle velocity. This is the base to be upgraded to the Lorentz-invariant action, whose integrand then yields the relativistic Lagrangian, from which one passes to the relativistic Hamiltonian. A caveat is that passages between Lagrangians and Hamiltonians entail solving algebraic equations, which isn't always feasible in closed form.

Action-based unique relativistic extension of the Pauli Hamiltonian

In preparation for the relativistic extension of the nonrelativistic Pauli Hamiltonian of Eq. (6a), we add to it the particle's rest-mass energy mc^2 ,

$$H = mc^{2} + \left(|\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}|^{2}/(2m) \right) + e\phi - (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}).$$
(9a)

Note that the addition of such a constant term to a Hamiltonian in no way changes the quantum Heisenberg or classical Hamiltonian equations of motion.

To obtain the nonrelativistic action S_{nr} which corresponds to the Hamiltonian H of Eq. (9a), we first work out the Lagrangian L which corresponds to that Hamiltonian H. The conversion of such a particle Hamiltonian to a particle Lagrangian requires swapping the Hamiltonian's dependence on the canonical three-momentum **P** for the Lagrangian's dependence on the particle's three-velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$. We obtain that particle three-velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ from the Heisenberg equation of motion (or alternatively, in this case, from the equivalent classical Hamiltonian equation of motion),

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (-i/\hbar)[\mathbf{r}, H] = \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} H = (\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A})/m.$$
(9b)

We now *invert* the Eq. (9b) relation between the particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ and canonical momentum \mathbf{P} to obtain,

$$\mathbf{P} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}} + (e/c)\mathbf{A},\tag{9c}$$

and then insert Eq. (9c) into the well-known relationship of the Lagrangian to the Hamiltonian, namely,

$$L = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - H \Big|_{\mathbf{P} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}} + (e/c)\mathbf{A}} = -mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}m|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 - e(\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}),$$
(9d)

which immediately as well yields the nonrelativistic action,

$$S_{\rm nr} = \int Ldt = \int \left[-mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}m|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 - e(\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \right] dt$$

Of course we don't want the nonrelativistic action S_{nr} itself, but its *specialization* S to the case of zero particle velocity, namely $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$,

$$S = \int \left[-mc^2 - e\phi + (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \right] dt.$$
(9e)

We shall undertake the Lorentz-invariant upgrade of the three terms of this action S individually. The first action S term we tackle is that of *the free particle*,

$$S^0 = \int \left(-mc^2\right) dt. \tag{10a}$$

To make S^0 Lorentz-invariant, we only need to replace the time differential dt by the Lorentz-invariant proper time differential $d\tau$,

$$d\tau = \left((dt)^2 - |d\mathbf{r}/c|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$
(10b)

We note that,

$$d\tau/dt = \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (10c)

and from this it of course follows that,

$$dt/d\tau = (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (10d)

As noted below Eq. (10a), the Lorentz-invariant upgrade of S^0 is,

$$S_{\rm rel}^0 = \int \left(-mc^2\right) d\tau,\tag{10f}$$

which is equal to the S_{free} of Eq. (3i). Eq. (10f) can by use of Eq. (10b) of course also be expressed as,

$$S_{\rm rel}^0 = \int (-mc^2) \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$
 (10g)

We next tackle the action S term arising from the particle's charge e interaction with the ϕ potential,

$$S^e = \int (-e\phi)dt. \tag{11a}$$

We carry out the Lorentz-invariant upgrade of S^e by replacing the time differential dt in Eq. (11a) by the Lorentz-invariant $d\tau$ and upgrading the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $e\phi$ to a dynamic Lorentz-invariant function of $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$. To upgrade the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $e\phi$, we first rewrite it as the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz invariant,

$$e\phi = eU_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})A^{\mu},\tag{11b}$$

which is the contraction with eA^{μ} of the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz-covariant four-vector,

$$U_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}) = \delta^{0}_{\mu},\tag{11c}$$

that is valid *only* in the particle's rest frame where the particle's velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$. To upgrade the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz-covariant four-vector $U_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})$ to a dynamic truly Lorentz-covariant four-vector $U_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$, we Lorentz-boost it from the particle's rest frame to the inertial frame where the particle has velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$,

$$U_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = U_{\alpha}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \delta^{0}_{\alpha}\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \Lambda^{0}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}).$$
(11d)

Therefore the dynamic Lorentz-invariant upgrade of the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $e\phi$ is,

$$eU_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\mu} = e\Lambda^{0}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\mu} = e\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) (\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}), \tag{11e}$$

where,

$$\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = dt/d\tau.$$
(11f)

Thus the Lorentz-invariant upgrade of,

$$S^e = \int (-e\phi)dt,$$

is,

$$S_{\rm rel}^e = \int (-eU_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\mu})d\tau = \int (-e(\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}))dt.$$
(11g)

Finally we tackle the action S term arising from the particle's spin $(\hbar \vec{\sigma}/2)$ interaction with the **B** field,

$$S^{\vec{\sigma}} = \int (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})dt.$$
(12a)

Again we replace the time differential dt by the Lorentz-invariant $d\tau$ and upgrade the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $-(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ to a dynamic Lorentz-invariant function of $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$. Preliminary to its upgrade, we reexpress the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $-(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ in terms of the tensor gradient of \mathbf{A} in place of \mathbf{B} ,

$$-(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}) = -(e\hbar/(2mc))[\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})] = (e\hbar/(2mc))[\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^i(\partial^j A^k)], \text{ since } \partial^j = -\partial/\partial x^j.$$
(12b)

To upgrade the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ Eq. (12b) result, we first rewrite it as the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz invariant,

$$(e\hbar/(2mc))\left[\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^{i}\left(\partial^{j}A^{k}\right)\right] = (e\hbar/(2mc))\left[\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{0})(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu})\right],\tag{12c}$$

which is the contraction of $(e\hbar/(2mc))(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu})$ with the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz-covariant second-rank tensor,

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \text{ or } \nu = 0, \\ \epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^i & \text{if } \mu = j \text{ and } \nu = k, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases}$$
(12d)

that is valid *only* in the particle's rest frame where the particle's velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$. Note that $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})$ is *antisymmetric* under the interchange of its two indices μ and ν . To upgrade the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ pseudo Lorentz-covariant second-rank tensor $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})$ to a dynamic truly Lorentz-covariant second-rank tensor $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$, we Lorentz-boost it from the particle's rest frame to the inertial frame where the particle has velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$,

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0})\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\Lambda^{\beta}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^{i}\Lambda^{j}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\Lambda^{k}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}).$$
(12e)

It is apparent from Eq. (12e) that the Lorentz-covariant second-rank tensor $\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})$ is also antisymmetric under the interchange of its two indices μ and ν . From Eqs. (12b) through (12e) it is clear that the dynamic Lorentz-invariant upgrade of the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ potential energy $-(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ is,

$$(e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}\right)\right] = (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^{i}\Lambda^{j}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\Lambda^{k}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}\right)\right] = (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \left[\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu}\right) \times \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu}\right)\right]\right],$$
(12f)

where,

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu})^{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda^{j}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu} \text{ and } (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu})^{k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda^{k}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu}.$$
(12g)

The space components of the Lorentz boost of the four-vector partial-derivative operator,

$$\partial^{\mu} = ((1/c)(\partial/\partial t), -\nabla),$$

from the rest frame of the particle to the inertial frame in which the particle has velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ are given by,

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu}) = -\nabla - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2}\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla) - \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)(1/c)(\partial/\partial t).$$
(12h)

Likewise, the space components of the same Lorentz boost of the electromagnetic four-vector potential,

$$A^{\mu} = (\phi, \mathbf{A}),$$

are given by,

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu}) = \mathbf{A} + (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2}\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\phi.$$
(12i)

Using Eqs. (12h) and (12i) one can, with tedious effort, verify that,

$$\left[(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu}) \times (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu}) \right] = - (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} \left[\nabla \times (\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{A})) + (\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla)(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{A}) \right] - \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left[(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \times (\dot{\mathbf{A}}/c) - \nabla \times ((\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\phi) \right] = - (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} \left[\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \left[-\nabla(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} \right] \right] + \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left[(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \times \left[-\nabla\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{A}}/c) \right] \right] = - (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} \left[\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \left[-\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \right] \right] + \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left[(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \times \left[-\nabla\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{A}}/c) \right] \right] = - \mathbf{B} - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} \left[|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \mathbf{B} - \dot{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}) \right] + \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})((\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \times \mathbf{E}) = - \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{B} + (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - 1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2}\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}) - \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{E} \times (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)).$$

From Eqs. (12f) and (12j) one sees that the dynamic Lorentz-invariant upgrade of the static potential energy $-(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})$ is,

$$(e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}\right)\right] = (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\vec{\sigma} \cdot \left[(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})\partial^{\mu}\right) \times (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\nu})\right]\right] = -(e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}) - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})-1)|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2}(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{B}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}}) + \gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{E}\times(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)))\right],$$
(12k)

and thus the Lorentz-invariant upgrade of the Eq. (12a) spin contribution to the action, namely,

$$S^{\vec{\sigma}} = \int (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B})dt$$

comes out to be,

$$S_{\rm rel}^{\vec{\sigma}} = -\int (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) \left(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}\right)\right] d\tau = \int (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}\right) - \left(1 - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}))^{-1}\right) |\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} (\vec{\sigma}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}}) (\mathbf{B}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}}) + \left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(\mathbf{E}\times(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\right)\right)\right] dt = (121) \int (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{B}\right) - \left(1 + (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}))^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left(\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\right) (\mathbf{B}\cdot(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) + \left(\vec{\sigma}\times\mathbf{E}\right)\cdot(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\right] dt,$$

as we see by using Eq. (12k) and the fact that $\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = dt/d\tau$, given by Eq. (11f). In the last step of Eq. (12l) we have furthermore interchanged the "dot" \cdot with the "cross" \times in the triple scalar product $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)))$, and have as well applied the identity $(1 - (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}))^{-1})|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^{-2} = (1 + (\gamma(\dot{\mathbf{r}}))^{-1})^{-1}c^{-2}$.

We are now able to write down the Lorentz-invariant upgrade $S_{\rm rel}$ of the $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}$ Pauli action S of Eq. (9e),

$$S_{\rm rel} = S_{\rm rel}^0 + S_{\rm rel}^e + S_{\rm rel}^{\vec{\sigma}} = \int \left\{ -mc^2 - eU_{\mu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})A^{\mu} - (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\dot{\mathbf{r}})(\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu})\right] \right\} d\tau = \int \left\{ -mc^2 \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - e(\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \left(1 + \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) + (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot (\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) \right] \right\} dt.$$
(13a)

The integrand of this Lorentz-invariant upgrade $S_{\rm rel}$ is of course the relativistic Pauli Lagrangian $L_{\rm rel}$,

$$L_{\rm rel} = -mc^2 \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - e(\phi - (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \left(1 + \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) + (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot (\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) \right].$$
(13b)

From Eq. (13b) we calculate the relativistic Pauli Lagrangian's corresponding canonical momentum,

$$\mathbf{P} = \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{r}}} L_{\rm rel} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}} \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (e/c)\mathbf{A} + (e\hbar/(2mc^2))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) - (e\hbar/(2mc^2))\left(1 + (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \left[\vec{\sigma}(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) + (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c))\mathbf{B} + (13c) \left(1 + (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c))\right].$$

The last three terms of Eq. (13c), which all arise from the relativistic distortion of the magnetic field **B**, unfortunately preclude solving analytically for the particle's velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ in terms of the system's canonical momentum **P**. For that reason we cannot in general analytically parlay the relativistic Pauli system's energy $E_{\rm rel}$, namely,

$$E_{\rm rel} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - L_{\rm rel},\tag{13d}$$

into its relativistic Pauli Hamiltonian $H_{\rm rel}(\mathbf{r}, \vec{\sigma}, \mathbf{P}, t)$. However we see from Eq. (13c) that the three offending terms which arise from the relativistic distortion of the magnetic field **B** are all higher-order corrections in powers of $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|$, so we can easily rewrite Eq. (13c) as a successive-approximation scheme for the desired inversion of the canonical momentum **P** that is consonant with the systematic carrying out of relativistic corrections. The scheme is considerably more transparent, however, after all occurrences of the particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (13c) (and as well on the right-hand side of Eq. (13d)) are replaced by occurrences of the free-particle momentum **p**, which is,

$$\mathbf{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \dot{\mathbf{r}} \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ so } (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (\mathbf{p}/(mc)),$$
(13e)
$$(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) = \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{p}/(mc)) \text{ and } \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using Eq. (13e) to eliminate all occurrences of the particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (13c) in favor of the free-particle momentum \mathbf{p} yields,

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + (e/c)\mathbf{A} + (e\hbar/(2mc^{2}))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) - \left\{ (e\hbar/(2mc^{2}))\left(1 + (1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \left[\vec{\sigma}(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) + (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc)))\mathbf{B} + (1 + (1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\mathbf{p}/(mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc)))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc)))\right] \right\}.$$
(13f)

Eq. (13f) can now be readily recast as a basis for successive approximations to the free-particle momentum \mathbf{p} in terms of the canonical momentum \mathbf{P} ,

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A} - (e\hbar/(2mc^2))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) + \left\{ (e\hbar/(2mc^2)) \left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \left[\vec{\sigma} (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) + (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) \mathbf{B} + \left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{p}/(mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) \right] \right\}.$$
(13g)

In order for successive approximations to \mathbf{p} in terms of \mathbf{P} to be able to produce successive approximations to the relativistic Pauli Hamiltonian $H_{\rm rel}$, we must also banish all occurrences of the particle velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ in the system's energy $E_{\rm rel}$, which is given by Eq. (13d), in favor of the free-particle momentum \mathbf{p} .

We shall, however, first calculate that relativistic Pauli energy $E_{\rm rel} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - L_{\rm rel}$ of Eq. (13d) entirely in terms of $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ by using the $L_{\rm rel}$ which is given by Eq. (13b) and the \mathbf{P} which is given by Eq. (13c), and then use the relations given in Eq. (13e) to eliminate $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ from $E_{\rm rel}$ in favor of \mathbf{p} .

From Eq. (13c) we obtain that,

 $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$

$$\cdot \mathbf{P} = m |\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + e(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc))(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot (\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) - (e\hbar/(2mc)) \left(1 + (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) \times \left[2 + (1 + (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2 (1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$
(13h)

The complicated structure of the last term of Eq. (13h) simplifies markedly, so Eq. (13h) becomes,

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{P} = m |\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + e(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot \mathbf{A}) + (e\hbar/(2mc))(\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c) \cdot (\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}) - (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)) \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(13i)

Putting Eqs. (13b) and (13i) together produces,

$$E_{\rm rel} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - L_{\rm rel} = mc^2 \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + e\phi - (13j) \left[\left(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\right) + \left(1 + \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \left(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\right) \left(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c)\right) \left(1 - |\dot{\mathbf{r}}/c|^2\right)^{-1} \right].$$

We now use the Eq. (13e) relations to reexpress Eq. (13j) in terms of \mathbf{p} instead of in terms of $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$,

$$E_{\rm rel} = \left(m^2 c^4 + |c\mathbf{p}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e\phi -$$

$$(e\hbar/(2mc)) \left[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + \left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) \right].$$
(13k)

Eq. (13k) is to be used with the successive approximations to $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{P})$ which Eq. (13g) produces to obtain the corresponding successive approximations to the relativistic Pauli Hamiltonian H_{rel} .

In those cases where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$, Eq. (13g) immediately yields the exact result for $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{P})$, namely,

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A} - (e\hbar/(2mc^2))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}), \tag{14a}$$

and in those $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ cases, Eq. (13k) yields the *exact* relativistic Pauli Hamiltonian, i.e.,

$$H_{\rm rel} = \left(m^2 c^4 + |c(\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A} - (e\hbar/(2mc^2))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E}))|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e\phi.$$
(14b)

When $\mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{0}$, one possible way to proceed is to start from,

$$\mathbf{p}^{0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{P} - (e/c)\mathbf{A} - (e\hbar/(2mc^{2}))(\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{E})), \tag{15a}$$

and,

$$H_{\rm rel}^{0} \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \left(m^{2}c^{4} + |c\mathbf{p}^{0}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e\phi - (e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}), \tag{15b}$$

and then to use Eq. (13g) to develop the expansion of $(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^0)$ in orders of $|\mathbf{p}^0/(mc)|$; the expansion for $(H_{\rm rel} - H_{\rm rel}^0)$ requires using Eq. (13k) as well. For expansion purposes, it is useful to rewrite Eq. (13g) as,

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}^{0} + (e\hbar/(2mc^{2})) \left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \left[\vec{\sigma} (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) + (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) \mathbf{B} + \left(1 + (1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{p}/(mc)) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) \right],$$
(15c)

and to analogously rewrite Eq. (13k) as,

$$E_{\rm rel} = H_{\rm rel}^{0} + \left(m^{2}c^{4} + |c\mathbf{p}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(m^{2}c^{4} + |c\mathbf{p}^{0}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (e\hbar/(2mc))\left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc)))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))) = H_{\rm rel}^{0} + \left(\left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}^{0}/(mc)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} \left[\left(c\left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^{0}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\left(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^{0}\right)/(mc)\right)\right] - (e\hbar/(2mc))\left(1 + \left(1 + |\mathbf{p}/(mc)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc)))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}/(mc))).$$
(15d)

To its leading order in $|\mathbf{p}^0/(mc)|$, Eq. (15c) simplifies to just,

$$c\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{0}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}(e\hbar/(2mc))\left[\vec{\sigma}(\mathbf{B}\cdot(\mathbf{p}^{0}/(mc))) + (\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{p}^{0}/(mc)))\mathbf{B}\right],\tag{15e}$$

while Eq. (15d) correspondingly simplifies to,

$$\left(E_{\rm rel} - H_{\rm rel}^0\right) \approx \left[\left(c\left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^0\right)\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{p}^0/(mc)\right)\right] - \frac{1}{2}(e\hbar/(2mc))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}^0/(mc)))(\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}^0/(mc))).$$
(15f)

Insertion of Eq. (15e) into Eq. (15f) then gives the leading order correction to $H_{\rm rel}^0$ for the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm rel}$,

$$H_{\rm rel} \approx H_{\rm rel}^0 + \frac{1}{2} (e\hbar/(2mc)) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}^0/(mc))) (\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}^0/(mc))), \tag{15g}$$

where of course \mathbf{p}^0 is given by Eq. (15a) and H_{rel}^0 is given by Eq. (15b).

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A117, 610 (1928); A118, 351 (1928).
- [2] L. I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955), Eqs. (43.1)–(43.3), p. 323.
- [3] S. S. Schweber An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory (Harper & Row, New York, 1961), Section 4a, Eqs. (1)–(3), pp. 65–66.
- [4] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964), Eq. (1.13), p. 6.
- [5] L. I. Schiff, op. cit., Eq. (43.21), p. 328.
- [6] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, op. cit., Eq. (1.27), p. 11.
- [7] L. I. Schiff, op. cit., Eq. (43.4)–(43.5), p. 324.
- [8] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, op. cit., Eqs. (1.15)-(1.66), pp. 7-8.
- [9] L. I. Schiff, op. cit., Eq. (43.22), p. 329.
- [10] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, op. cit., Eqs. (1.25)–(1.26), pp. 10–11.
- [11] L. I. Schiff, op. cit., Eq. (43.27), p. 330.
- [12] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, op. cit., Eq. (1.34), p. 12.
- [13] L. I. Schiff, op. cit., Eqs. (43.26)–(43.27), pp. 329–330.
- [14] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, op. cit., Eq. (1.29), p. 12.