Assuming *ABC* Conjecture is True Implies Beal Conjecture is True

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this paper, we assume that the *ABC* conjecture is true, then we give a proof that Beal conjecture is true. We consider that Beal conjecture is false then we arrive to a contradiction. We deduce that the Beal conjecture is true.

Keywords *ABC* Conjecture · *ABC* Theorem · Beal conjecture · Diophantine equations. 2010 MCS: 11AXX, 11D41.

To the memory of Jean Bourgain (1954-2018) for his mathematical work notably in the field of Number Theory

1 Introduction and notations

Let *a* a positive integer, $a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i}$, a_i prime integers and $\alpha_i \ge 1$ positive integers. We call *radical* of *a* the integer $\prod_i a_i$ noted by rad(a). Then *a* is written as:

$$a = \prod_{i} a_i^{\alpha_i} = rad(a) \cdot \prod_{i} a_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \tag{1}$$

We note:

$$\mu_a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \Longrightarrow a = \mu_a.rad(a) \tag{2}$$

A paper about the proof of the *ABC* conjecture, that is true [1], was submitted recently (December 2018) to the journal Research In Number Theory. We have obtained the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (*ABC Theorem*): For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if a, b, c positive integers relatively prime with c = a + b, then :

$$c < K(\varepsilon).rad(abc)^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{3}$$

where *K* is a constant depending only of ε equal to $\frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}$.

^{6,} rue du Nil, Cité Soliman Er-Riadh, 8020 Soliman, Tunisia. E-mail: abenhadjsalem@gmail.com

In 1997, Andrew Beal [2] announced the following conjecture: **Conjecture 1: (Beal Conjecture)** Let A, B, C, m, n, and l be positive integers with m, n, l > 2. If:

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \tag{4}$$

then A, B, and C have a common factor.

2 Methodology of the proof

We note :

and we use the following property (the contrapositive law, [3]):

$$\boxed{A(False) \Longrightarrow B(False)} \Longleftrightarrow \boxed{B(True) \Longrightarrow A(True)}$$
(7)

From the right equivalent expression in the box above, we obtain that B (*ABC* Theorem) which is true implies A (Beal Conjecture) is true.

3 Proof of the conjecture (1)

We suppose that Beal conjecture is false, then it exists A, B, C positive **coprime** integers and m, n, l positive integers > 2 such:

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \tag{8}$$

the integers A, B, C, m, n, l are supposed large integers. We consider in the following that $A^m > B^n$. Now, we use the *ABC* theorem for equation (8) because A^m, B^n, C^m are relatively coprime. We obtain :

$$C^{l} < K(\varepsilon) rad(A^{m}B^{n}C^{l})^{1+\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow C^{l} < K(\varepsilon) \left(rad(A).rad(B).rad(C) \right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
(9)

As $rad(A) \le A$, $rad(B) \le B$ and $rad(C) \le C$, the last equation becomes:

$$C^{l} < \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}} (A.B.C)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
(10)

But $rad(A) \le A < C^{\frac{1}{m}}$, $rad(B) \le B < C^{\frac{1}{n}}$, then we write (10) as :

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} < C \left(1 + \frac{l}{m} + \frac{l}{n} \right) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon) - l \tag{11}$$

3.1 Case m > l and n > l

In this case, $\left(1 + \frac{l}{m} + \frac{l}{n}\right) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon) - l \approx 3 - l + 3\varepsilon$. We take $\varepsilon = 1$. As $6 \ll l \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{C^{l-6}} \ll 0.5$, then the contradiction.

3.2 *Case* m < l and n < l

In this case, if $C > A \Rightarrow C^m > A^m > B^n \Rightarrow C^m > B^n \Rightarrow C^m > C^l - A^m \Rightarrow A^m > C^l - C^m \Rightarrow A^m > C^m(C^{l-m} - 1)$. As $l > m \Rightarrow C^{l-m} - 1 > 1$, then $A^m > C^m \Longrightarrow A > C$ that is a contradiction with C > A. Hence C < A. We rewrite equation (10):

$$C^{l} < K(\varepsilon)rad(A^{m}B^{n}C^{l})^{1+\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow C^{l} < K(\varepsilon)(A.B.C)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
$$\Rightarrow A^{m} < C^{l} < K(\varepsilon)\left(A.A^{\frac{m}{n}}.A\right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
(12)

Then:

$$A^{m} < \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}} A^{\left(2 + \frac{m}{n}\right)\left(1 + \varepsilon\right)}$$
(13)

3.2.1 Case n > m

If n > m, we have $\left(2 + \frac{m}{n}\right)(1 + \varepsilon) \approx 3 + 3\varepsilon$. We take $\varepsilon = 1$, as $6 \ll m \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{A^{m-6}} \ll 0.5$, then the contradiction.

3.2.2 Case n < m

We have:

$$C^{l} < K(\varepsilon)(A.B.C)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
(14)

As $A^m < C^l, C < A$ and $B^n < A^m \Longrightarrow B < A^{m/n}$, the last equation becomes:

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} < A^{(2+m/n)(1+\varepsilon)-m} \tag{15}$$

We choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m}$, we obtain :

$$\frac{1}{2m^2} < A^{2-m} + \frac{2}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2m^2} < A^{3-m}$$
(16)

But $3 \ll m$ and $1 \ll A \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2m^2} > A^{3-m}$, then the contradiction.

3.3 Case m < l and n > l

If C < A, as $l < n \Rightarrow C^{l} < A^{n} \Rightarrow 0 < A^{m} < A^{n} - B^{n}$ then A > B. As $C^{n} > C^{l} > B^{n} \Rightarrow C^{n} > B^{n} \Rightarrow C > B$. So we obtain : $\boxed{P < C < A}$ (17)

$$\overline{B < C < A} \tag{17}$$

Then the equation (10) becomes:

$$C^{l}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} < (A.B.C)^{1+\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow C^{l}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} < (A.A^{l/n}.A)^{1+\varepsilon} \Rightarrow C^{l}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} < A^{(2+l/n)(1+\varepsilon)}$$
(18)

As $A^m < C^l$, we arrive to:

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} < A^3 - 3m + 3\varepsilon \tag{19}$$

We take $\varepsilon = 1/3 \Longrightarrow A^{3m-4} < 18$, then the contradiction because $18 \ll A^{3m-4}$.

If $A < C \Rightarrow A^l < C^l$ but $B^n < A^m \Rightarrow A^l < 2A^m \Rightarrow A^l < A^{m+1} \Rightarrow l < m+1$, as $m < l \Rightarrow m+1 \le l < m+1$ that is a contradiction, then C < A and this case is studied above.

3.4 Case m > l and n < l

We have n < l < m. As $A^m < C^l \Rightarrow A < C^{\frac{l}{m}} < C \Rightarrow A < C$. The equation (10) becomes:

$$C^{l} < \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(C^{l/m} . C^{l/n} . C \right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$
(20)

We take $\varepsilon = 0.1$, we obtain:

$$0.005 < C^{2.2+1.1\frac{l}{n}-l} \approx C^{3+\frac{l}{n}-l} \tag{21}$$

But as $3 \ll l \Longrightarrow l > 3 + \frac{l}{n}$, then the contradiction.

All the cases give contradiction, then ABC theorem is false. We deduce from :

Beal Conjecture (False) \Rightarrow ABC Theorem (False		ABCTheorem	$(True) \Rightarrow$	Beal C	Conjecture	(True)
--	--	------------	----------------------	--------	------------	--------

that Beal Conjecture is true.

The proof of the Beal conjecture is achieved¹.

4 Conclusion

From the *ABC* theorem, we have given a proof that the *ABC* conjecture is true. We can announce the theorem:

Theorem 2 (*Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem, Andrew Beal, 2019*): Let A, B, C, m, n, and l be positive integers with m, n, l > 2. If:

$$A^m + B^n = C^l \tag{22}$$

then A, B, and C have a common factor.

References

- Ben Hadj Salem A.: the ABC Conjecture: The End of The Mystery. Submitted to the Journal of Number Theory. 5 pages. (2019)
- Mauldin R.D.: A Generalization of Fermat's last theorem: the Beal conjecture and prize problem. Notice of AMS, Vol 44, 1436-1437 (1997)
- Cunningham D.W.: A Logical Introduction to Proof. ISBN 978-1-4614-3630-0. Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London. 356 pages. (2012).
- 4. Ben Hadj Salem A.: A Complete Proof of The Beal Conjecture (In French). Submitted to the Journal of the European Mathematical Society (JEMS). 63 pages. (2018)

¹ A paper giving another proof of Beal conjecture is under reviewing [4]