

Several Treasures of the Queen of Sciences

Leszek W. Gula

Lublin-POLAND

August 23 and September 1-5 and 8, 2018

Abstract—Theorem about the primitive Pythagorean triple. The proper proof of the Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT). The proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture.

Keywords—First Keyword: Diophantine Equations, Second Keyword: Fermat Equation, Third Keyword: Goldbach Conjecture, Fourth Keyword: Newton Binomial Formula.

MSC—Primary: 11D41, 11P32; Secondary: 11A51, 11D45, 11D61.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Gula's theorem is wider than the Pythagoras's theorem.

Theorem about the primitive Pythagorean triple gives all primitive solutions of the Pythagoras's equation, namely

$$\left(pq, \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2}, \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2}\right) = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2).$$

In this work we have the proper proof of FLT. In [6] we have the proof of another hypothesis, not for $n = 4$.

The Goldbach's Conjecture is one of the oldest and best-known unsolved problems in number theory and all of mathematics. It states: Every even integer greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two primes. [1] Proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture is based on theorems 1 and 2.

II. THE GULA'S THEOREM

Theorem 1. For each given $g \in \{8, 12, 16, \dots\}$ or for each given $g \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ there exist finitely many pairs (u, v) of positive integers such that:

$$g = \left(\frac{g+q^2}{2q}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g-q^2}{2q}\right)^2 = (u+v)(u-v) = \frac{g}{q}(u-v) = \frac{g}{q}q = g \Rightarrow g^2 = (u^2 - v^2)^2 = (u^2 + v^2)^2 - (2uv)^2,$$

where $q \mid g$ and $q < \sqrt{g}$ and $-q, \frac{g}{q} \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ with even g or $q \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ with odd g . [2], [3] and [5]

This is the theorem.

Theorem 2. For each pair (u, v) of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd there exists exactly one a primitive Pythagorean triple $(u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ and each the primitive Pythagorean triple arises exactly from one pair (u, v) of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd. Hence—For each

equation $(p, q) = (u + v, u - v)$ of the relatively prime odd natural numbers p and q such that $p > q$, and of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd there exists exactly one the primitive Pythagorean triple $\left(pq, \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2}, \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2}\right) = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2)$ and each this primitive Pythagorean triple arises exactly from one equation $(p, q) = (u + v, u - v)$ of the relatively prime odd natural numbers p and q such that $p > q$, and of the relatively prime natural numbers u and v such that $u - v$ is positive and odd.

This is the theorem.

III. THE PROPER PROOF OF THE FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

Theorem 3. For all $n \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for all $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: $A^n + B^n \neq C^n$.

Proof. Suppose that for some $n \in \{3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and for some $A, B, C \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$: $A^n + B^n = C^n$.

If $A + B \leq C$, then

$$A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} \leq C^{n-1} \Rightarrow A^n + B^n < C^n,$$

which is inconsistent with $A^n + B^n = C^n$.

Thus it must be $(A + B > C \wedge A^{n-1} + B^{n-1} > C^{n-1})$.

Hence – For some $A, B, C, C - A, C - B, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A - (C - B) &= B - (C - A) = 2v > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (C - B + 2v = A \wedge C - A + 2v \\ &= B \wedge A + B - 2v = C). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

At present we assume that A, B and C are coprime. Then only one number out of a hypothetical solutions $[A, B, C]$ is even. Thus we can assume that $A, C - B \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$.

Let $\{3, 5, 7, \dots\} - \{(2a + b)b : a \in \mathbb{N} \wedge b \in [3, 5, 7, \dots]\} = \{3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, \dots\} = \mathbb{P}$.

Every even number which is not the power of number 2 has odd prime divisor, hence sufficient that we prove FLT for $n = 4$ and for odd prime numbers $n \in \mathbb{P}$. [6]

A. Proof For $n = 4$. Let the equation $A^4 + B^4 = C^4$ has primitive solutions $[A, B, C] = [A, B, \sqrt{c}]$. It is easy to verify that $c \in \{9, 25, 49, \dots\}$, inasmuch as $C \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\}$, with $C = \sqrt{c}$, which is obviously. Therefore – For some $a, b \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that the numbers a and b are coprime and the number $a - b$ is positive and odd:

$$\begin{aligned} [(a^2 + b^2)^2 - (2ab)^2] &= (a^2 - b^2)^2 \\ &= A^2 \wedge 2(a^2 + b^2)2ab \\ &= B^2 \wedge (a^2 + b^2)^2 + (2ab)^2 = C^2 \\ &= c \wedge (A^2)^2 + (B^2)^2 = (C^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the strength of the Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\left[C = \frac{(2ab)^2 + (2b^2)^2}{2 \cdot 2b^2} = a^2 + b^2 \wedge a^2 + b^2 = \frac{(2ab)^2 - (2b^2)^2}{2 \cdot 2b^2} = a^2 - b^2 \right] \in \mathbf{0}. \square$$

B. Proof of Another Hypothesis. Suppose that the equation $A^4 + B^4 = c^2$. (2) has primitive solutions.

We assume that the number c is minimal. [6]

The hypothesis (2) and $A^4 + B^4 = C^4$ are different [2] **because** the number $c \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\} \setminus \{9, 25, 49, \dots\}$, with $C \in \{\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{15}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{19}, \sqrt{21}, \dots\}$.

On the strength of the Theorem 2 we obtain – For some coprime $p, q \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and for some relatively prime $U, V \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some $a, b \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some mutually relatively prime $x, y, z \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that the numbers $U - V, x - y, a - b$ are positive and odd and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(pq)^2] &= \frac{(p^2 + q^2)^2}{4} - \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^2}{4} = U^2 - V^2 \\ &= (a^2 - b^2)^2 = (a^2 + b^2)^2 - (2ab)^2 \\ &= A^2 \wedge p = a + b \wedge q \\ &= a - b \wedge \frac{p^4 - q^4}{2} \\ &= \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} (p^2 - q^2) = 2UV \\ &= 2(a^2 + b^2)2ab = B^2 \wedge \frac{p^4 + q^4}{2} \\ &= \frac{(p^2 + q^2)^2}{4} + \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^2}{4} = U^2 + V^2 \\ &= (a^2 + b^2)^2 + (2ab)^2 = c \\ &= C^2 \wedge \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} = U = a^2 + b^2 \\ &= z^2 \wedge \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2} = V = 2ab \wedge 4ab \\ &= (2xy)^2 \wedge a = x^2 \wedge b \\ &= y^2 \wedge x^4 + y^4 = z^2 < c^2 \Rightarrow z < c, \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with minimal c . \square

C. Proof For $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Without loss for this proof we can assume that $4 \nmid B, C$. In view of (1) we will have –

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $C, B, C - A \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for some $C - B, A, v \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(C - B + 2v)^n &= (C - B + B)^n - B^n \right. \\ &\Rightarrow (C - B)^{n-2}v \\ &+ (n - 1)(C - B)^{n-3}v^2 + \dots \\ &+ 2^{n-2}v^{n-1} + \frac{2^{n-1}v^n}{n(C - B)} \\ &= \frac{B}{2} \left[(C - B)^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(C - B)^{n-3}B \right. \\ &+ \dots + B^{n-2} \left. \right] \wedge n | v \\ &\wedge (n | B \vee n | C) \left. \right] \wedge \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(C - A + 2v)^n &= (C - A + A)^n - A^n \Rightarrow (C - A)^{n-2}2v \right. \\ &+ \frac{n-1}{2}(C - A)^{n-3}(2v)^2 + \dots \\ &+ (2v)^{n-1} + \frac{(2v)^n}{n(C - A)} \\ &= A \left[(C - A)^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}(C - A)^{n-3}A \right. \\ &+ \dots + A^{n-2} \left. \right] \wedge n | v \\ &\wedge (n | A \vee n | C) \left. \right] \wedge \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[A^n + B^n = C^n &= (A + B - 2v)^n \right. \\ &= A^n + nA^{n-1}B \\ &+ \frac{n(n-1)}{2}A^{n-2}B^2 + \dots + nAB^{n-1} + B^n \\ &+ n(A + B)^{n-1}(-2v) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(A + B)^{n-2}(-2v)^2 \\ &+ \dots + n(A + B)(-2v)^{n-1} + (-2v)^n \\ &\Rightarrow 0 \\ &= AB \frac{\left(A^{n-2} + \frac{n-1}{2}A^{n-3}B + \dots + B^{n-2} \right)}{A + B} \\ &+ (A + B)^{n-2}(-2v) + \frac{n-1}{2}(A + B)^{n-3}(-2v)^2 + \dots \\ &+ (-2v)^{n-1} + \frac{(-2v)^n}{n(A + B)} \wedge n | v \\ &\wedge (n | A \vee n | B \vee (n^{n-1} | A + B \wedge n | C)) \left. \right] \left. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \mid A \equiv 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [(n \mid A \vee n \mid C) \equiv 1 \wedge (n \mid B \vee n \mid C) \\ \equiv 0 \\ \wedge (n \mid A \vee n \mid B \\ \vee (n^{n-1} \mid A + B \wedge n \mid C)) \equiv 1] \in \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \mid B \equiv 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [(n \mid A \vee n \mid C) \equiv 0 \wedge (n \mid B \vee n \mid C) \\ \equiv 1 \\ \wedge (n \mid A \vee n \mid B \\ \vee (n^{n-1} \mid A + B \wedge n \mid C)) \equiv 1] \in \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \mid C \equiv 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [(n \mid A \vee n \mid C) \equiv 1 \wedge (n \mid B \vee n \mid C) \\ \equiv 1 \\ \wedge (n \mid A \vee n \mid B \\ \vee (n^{n-1} \mid A + B \wedge n \mid C)) \equiv 1] \in \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\begin{aligned} [nemch = v \wedge n \nmid emch \\ \wedge (h^n = C - A \vee (2h)^n = C - A) \\ \wedge c^n = C - B]. \end{aligned}$$

B.1. Proof For Odd $A, B, C - B$.

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\begin{aligned} [c^n + 2nemch = A \wedge h^n + 2nemch = B \wedge 2^n n^{n-1} m^n \\ = A + B \\ = c^n + h^n + 4nemch \wedge c^n + B = C] \\ \Rightarrow [2^n n^{n-1} m^n \\ = c^n + h^n + 4nemch \wedge n \mid c^n + h^n] \\ \Rightarrow (n \mid c + h \wedge n^2 \\ \mid c^n + h^n \wedge n \mid emch), \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $n \nmid emch$. \square

B.2. Proof For Even $B, C - A$.

For some $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some $e, m, c, h \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ such that n, e, m, c and h are coprime:

$$\begin{aligned} [c^n + 2nemch = A \wedge (2h)^n + 2nemch = B \wedge n^{n-1} m^n \\ = A + B \\ = c^n + (2h)^n + 4nemch \wedge c^n + B \\ = C] \\ \Rightarrow [n^{n-1} m^n \\ = c^n + (2h)^n + 4nemch \wedge n \\ \mid c^n + (2h)^n] \\ \Rightarrow (n \mid c + 2h \wedge n^2 \\ \mid c^n + (2h)^n \wedge n \mid emch), \end{aligned}$$

which is inconsistent with $n \nmid emch$. This is the proof.

Remark 1. If $n \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ and $c, h \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $n \mid c^n + h^n$ and $\text{gcd}(c, h) = 1$, then

$$\left[\frac{(c + h - h)^n + h^n}{n} \wedge n \mid c + h \wedge n^2 \mid c^n + h^n \right],$$

which is obviously.

This is the remark.

IV. THE PROOF OF THE GOLDBACH'S CONJECTURE

Conjecture 1. For all $u \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and for some $p, q \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{2\}$: $2u = p + q$.

Proof. $4 = 2 + 2, 6 = 3 + 3$.

It is easy to verify that for each $u \in \{4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ there exists $v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{gcd}(u, v) = 1 \wedge u + v = p \wedge u - v = q \wedge 2u \\ = p + q \wedge 2v = p - q]. \end{aligned}$$

This is the proof.

On the strength of the Theorems 1, 2, and of the above proof of the Goldbach's Conjecture we obtain –

Theorem 4. For all $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ and for some relatively prime $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ such that $p > q$ and $u - v$ is positive and odd: [5]

$$\begin{aligned} pq = \left(\frac{p+q}{2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{p-q}{2} \right)^2 = u^2 - v^2 \\ \Rightarrow \left[\left(pq, \frac{p^2 - q^2}{2}, \frac{p^2 + q^2}{2} \right) \right. \\ = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2) \wedge p + q \\ = 2u \wedge p - q = 2v \wedge p = u + v \wedge q \\ = u - v \wedge (p + q = 2u = 8, 10, 12, \dots) \\ \left. \wedge (p - q = 2v = 2, 4, 6, \dots) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] En., Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goldbach%27s_conjecture
- [2] L. W. Guła, Disproof the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, American Journal of Educational Research, **Volume 4**, No 7, 2016, pp 504-506, doi: 10.12691/education-4-7-1 | Original Article electronically-published-on-May-3,2016 <http://pubs.sciepub.com/EDUCATION/4/7/1/index.html>
- [3] L. W. Guła, Several Treasures of the Queen of Mathematics, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, **Volume 6**, Issue 1, January, 2016, pp 50-51 http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume6Issue1/IJETAE_0116_09.pdf

- [4] L. W. Gula, The Truly Marvellous Proof, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, **Volume 2**, Issue 12, December, 2012, pp. 96-97
http://www.ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue12/IJETAE_121_2_14.pdf
- [5] L. W. Gula, International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Volume 6, Issue 1, ISSN (Online): 2347-9051, January, 2018.
http://ijism.org/administrator/components/com_jresearch/files/publications/IJISM_708_FINAL.pdf
- [6] W. Narkiewicz, Wiadomości Matematyczne XXX.1, Annuals PTM, Series II, Warszawa 1993, p. 3.

AUTHOR'S PROFILE.

Curriculum vitae

I was born in Lublin (Poland) on Ludolfina Day. 1975 - I finished Automotive Technical School in Lublin, Jan Długosz Avenue 10a. 1981 - I finished Technical Education at the Faculty of Pedagogy at Maria Curie Skłodowska University in Lublin. Master's Thesis in the field of psychology: "Effects of professional orientation of eighth grade students" (11, June). 1981 -1986 I was a teacher in a primary school in Lublin and since 1984 the appointed teacher. 1986 -1987 I was a miner 960 m underground. In the last century I have been making money in LZFPolfa in Lublin as a specialist for repairs in maintenance, where I prepare drawings for spare parts for SORTIMATS, MULTIVATES, INJECTORS or for other machines. I have not been making money for over 27 years. I live modestly. Soon I will be a pensioner. The adventure with the Queen of Sciences was very difficult. My works are available through the site <http://lwgula.pl.t/>

E-mail: lwgula@wp.pl