

The Evolution of Massive Galaxies

Sylwester Kornowski

Abstract: Within the lacking part of ultimate theory, i.e. the Scale-Symmetric Theory, we described the evolution of massive galaxies. Within the new cosmology, applying very simple mathematics, we can answer following questions. Why many of the oldest most massive galaxies reside in clusters? Is the gravitational redshift important for the E/S0 galaxies? What is contribution of the kinematical Doppler effect of Special Relativity to the total cosmological redshift? Is the acceleration of expansion of the Universe an illusion? Why the massive red evolved galaxies are already in place at high redshift? Why the red-sequence has a boundary at $z = 0.44$? When and how were produced the first-generation stars the E/S0 galaxies consist of? What was the origin of a rapid build-up of the red sequence and why it is quiescent i.e. why there do not appear numerous new stars i.e. what are the phenomena responsible for the powerful shut-down mechanism of new-star formation i.e. why E/S0 galaxies evolve in a purely passive way? Why evolution of the most massive E/S0 galaxies was quicker for lower redshift i.e. why number of massive red galaxies is greater for redshift smaller than 0.7? Why there is a significant population of massive blue galaxies at high redshift?

Introduction, the answers and calculations

The most commonly accepted mechanism of galaxy formation for redshift since $z = 1$ is as follows. They assembled their mass continuously through mergers of smaller units over cosmic time. The massive galaxies formed their stars within very short (at cosmic scale) periods at earlier epochs. It is known as the downsizing scenario. In top-down theories, protogalaxies form in a large-scale simultaneous collapse whereas in bottom-up theories, small structures form first, and then accrete. On the other hand, in the less-massive galaxies the stars appeared later on and period of formation was longer. The downsizing scenario for the massive galaxies is inconsistent with the standard Λ CDM cosmological model – within this model the E/S0 massive galaxies form more than half of their mass at very late epochs of redshift smaller than 1.

Consequently, there appear following questions concerning the downsizing scenario and evolution of the massive galaxies. Why many of the oldest most massive galaxies reside in clusters? Is the gravitational redshift important for the E/S0 galaxies? What is contribution of the kinematical Doppler effect of Special Relativity to the total cosmological redshift? Is the

acceleration of expansion of the Universe an illusion? Why the massive red evolved galaxies are already in place at high redshift? Why the red-sequence has a boundary at $z = 0.44$? When and how were produced the first-generation stars the E/S0 galaxies consist of? What was the origin of a rapid build-up of the red sequence and why it is quiescent i.e. why there do not appear numerous new stars i.e. what are the phenomena responsible for the powerful shut-down mechanism of new-star formation i.e. why E/S0 galaxies evolve in a purely passive way? Why evolution of the most massive E/S0 galaxies was quicker for lower redshift i.e. why number of massive red galaxies is greater for redshift smaller than 0.7? Why there is a significant population of massive blue galaxies at high redshift?

Here, within the new cosmology that follows from the lacking part of ultimate theory, i.e. the Scale-Symmetric Theory, applying very simple mathematics, we answered the above questions.

The inflation (the big bang) is described within the Scale-Symmetric Theory [1], [2A], [2B]. The General Relativity leads to the non-gravitating Higgs field composed of tachyons [2A]. On the other hand, the Scale-Symmetric Theory (SST) shows that the succeeding phase transitions of such Higgs field lead to the different scales of sizes [2A]. Due to the saturation of interactions via the Higgs field and due to the law of conservation of the half-integral spin that is obligatory for all scales, there consequently appear the superluminal binary systems of closed strings (entanglons) responsible for the quantum entanglement, stable neutrinos and luminal neutrino-antineutrino pairs which are the components of the luminal Einstein spacetime (it is the Planck scale), cores of baryons, and the cosmic structures (protoworlds) that evolution leads to the dark matter, dark energy and expanding universes (the “soft” big bang) [2A], [2B]. The non-gravitating tachyons have infinitesimal spin so all listed structures have internal helicity (helicities) which distinguishes particles from their antiparticles [2A]. SST shows that a fundamental theory should start from infinite nothingness and pieces of space [2A]. Sizes of pieces of space depend on their velocities [2A]. The inflation field started as the liquid-like field composed of non-gravitating pieces of space [2A]. Cosmoses composed of universes are created because of collisions of big pieces of space [2A], [2B]. During the inflation, the liquid-like inflation field (the non-gravitating superluminal Higgs field) transformed partially into the luminal Einstein spacetime [2A]. In our Cosmos, the two-component spacetime is surrounded by timeless wall – it causes that the fundamental constants are invariant [2A], [2B].

Due to the symmetrical decays of bosons on the equator of the core of baryons, there appears the atom-like structure of baryons described by the Titius-Bode orbits for the nuclear strong interactions [2A].

Due to the quantum entanglement, the very early Universe (the double cosmic loop) consisted of protogalaxies the compact cosmic structures consisted of [2B]. Their parts/smaller-cosmic-structures were the direct progenitors of the massive red-sequence compact associations of the protogalaxies (such associations we refer to as the massive red-sequence galaxies) and the direct progenitors of the massive blue compact associations of the protogalaxies (such associations we refer to as the massive blue galaxies).

Why many of the oldest most massive galaxies reside in clusters?

The duality of evolution of the massive galaxies (the red, passive early-type E/S0 galaxies, and blue, star forming late-type ones) follows from location of them in the compact cosmic structures. Just the inflows of the dark matter into the massive galaxies placed on surface of the compact cosmic structures were more massive than into the massive galaxies placed in centres of the compact cosmic structures. The inflows of the dark matter caused the partial exit (greater for surface and smaller for centre) of the massive galaxies from their black-hole state. It leads to conclusion that evolution of the massive galaxies placed in centre should be

passive (there were only inflows of the created plasma; they transformed into the quiescent massive red-sequence galaxies) whereas of the placed on surface should be active (there were the inflows and outflows of the created plasma; they transformed into the blue massive galaxies). We can see that in centres of many present-day galaxy clusters should be a massive E/S0 galaxy surrounded by the other types of active galaxies such as spiral, barred spiral galaxies and irregular ones.

The blue massive galaxies, via the quasars, active galactic nuclei (AGN), and starburst galaxies, transformed into the spiral, barred spiral and irregular galaxies. The starburst galaxies, which often are associated with merging or/and interacting galaxies, produce regions filled with large amount of ionized atomic hydrogen (H II regions). Next, the massive stars produce supernova explosions.

Is the gravitational redshift important for the E/S0 galaxies? What is contribution of the kinematical Doppler effect of Special Relativity to the total cosmological redshift? Is the acceleration of expansion of the Universe an illusion? Why the massive red evolved galaxies are already in place at high redshift? Why the red-sequence has a boundary at $z = 0.44$? When and how were produced the first-generation stars the E/S0 galaxies consist of? What was the origin of a rapid build-up of the red sequence and why it is quiescent i.e. why there do not appear numerous new stars i.e. what are the phenomena responsible for the powerful shut-down mechanism of new-star formation i.e. why E/S0 galaxies evolve in a purely passive way?

All protogalaxies looked the same – they consisted of the modified neutron black holes (the mass of MNBH is $M_{NBH} = 4.94 \cdot 10^{31}$ kg) with a mass the 24.8 times greater than the mass of the Sun (the solar mass is $M_{Sun} = 1.99 \cdot 10^{30}$ kg) and which the Schwarzschild radius is approximately $R = 7.4 \cdot 10^4$ m [2B]. Each protogalaxy consisted of 4^{16} MNBH i.e. its mass was about $M_P = 2.1 \cdot 10^{41}$ kg [2B].

The compact associations of the protogalaxies contained following number of binary systems of protogalaxies [2B]:

$$D = 4^d, \quad (1)$$

where $d = 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16$ for a flattened spheroid-like structures, and $d = 3, 6, 12$ for a chain-like structures.

According to the Scale-Symmetric Theory, after 1.89 Gyr from the transition of the core of the Protoworld which transformed it into the dark matter, there was the decomposition of the Double Cosmic Loop on the groups of protogalaxies ($d = 1$; mean group consisted of 8 protogalaxies) [2B]. Mass of such direct progenitor of the numerous most luminous blue and red galaxies was $M_G \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{42}$ kg. Since mass of the Universe is about $3.6 \cdot 10^{51}$ kg [2B] so the 2 Gyr old Universe should contain about $2 \cdot 10^9$ progenitors of the massive galaxies. In reality, the mean lifetime is the time after which there appears $1 - 1/e = 0.632$ of the smaller structures. After the strictly defined lifetimes, there are the succeeding decompositions of the Double Cosmic Loop (the very early Universe) on smaller cosmic structures [2B] but due to the four succeeding inflows of the dark matter [2B], the lifetimes can be shorter than the calculated.

Calculate following expression for the direct progenitors of the massive red-sequence galaxies

$$\log (M_G / M_{Sun}) = 11.9. \quad (2)$$

It is consistent with the observational facts presented here [4]. For the protogalaxy we obtain $\log (M_P / M_{Sun}) = 11.0$. It is as well consistent with the data.

After the inflows of the dark energy and dark matter, some central groups composed of the MNBHs were still the modified black holes (MBHs; such black holes have not a central singularity but there is a circle with the spin speed equal to the speed of light “ c ”). Outside MBHs is valid the Titius-Bode law for gravitational black holes i.e. there appear states which mean radii define following formula [2A]

$$R = A + dB, \quad (3)$$

where $2A$ is the radius of the Schwarzschild surface, $A/B \approx 1.39$ whereas $d = 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, \dots$. We can notice that the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ are the two lowest states above the Schwarzschild surface. The massive galaxies consist of big number of such groups. It leads to conclusion that the gravitational redshift is very important to describe correctly the evolution of the massive red-sequence and blue galaxies. The dark matter inflowing into a massive red-sequence galaxy transforms the neutron black holes placed on surface of the galaxy into plasma composed of nucleons and electrons. This plasma gathered especially in the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ states but due to the massive inflows of the plasma it creates a layer of plasma above the Schwarzschild surface and thickness of the plasma layer depends on volume and density of the inflowing plasma. Very thin plasma layer just above the Schwarzschild surface causes that gravitational redshift of such specific “star” is much greater than 1 but such objects are very dark. On the other hand, there was the upper limit for mass of produced plasma so there is the upper limit for thickness of the plasma layer. Assume that the plasma gathered especially in the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ states and between them. Then radius of the surface of the plasma in different massive red-sequence galaxies is defined by following dominating interval $\langle A + 2B, A + 4B \rangle$. Calculate the gravitational redshift for the boundaries of the interval. The gravitational redshift of a photon can be calculated in the framework of General Relativity (for the Schwarzschild metric) as

$$\lim z(r) = -1 + 1 / \text{sqrt} (1 - R_S / R), \quad (4)$$

where $R_S = 2A$ whereas R is respectively $R_{lower} = A + 2B$ and $R_{upper} = A + 4B$. Calculated gravitational redshift is $z_{lower} \approx 1.357 \approx 1.36$ and $z_{upper} \approx 0.437 \approx 0.44$. We can see that the gravitational redshift is very important in correct description of evolution of the Universe so of the massive red-sequence galaxies as well. Notice that for radius of the surface of the plasma smaller than $A + 2B$, the gravitational redshift is higher than 1.36.

Value of the Hubble constant depends on method we use and on phenomena that we take into account. Generally, when we neglect expansion of spacetime (SST shows that today spacetime does not expand but it does not concern the dark matter, dark energy, and visible matter) and when we use the formula for the Special-Relativity redshift then, due to the initial protuberances of the dark matter and dark energy [2B], the maximum Special-Relativity redshift is very close to 1. On the other hand, SST shows that the time distance (not the spatial distance) to the galaxies with highest observational redshift is 13.866 ± 0.096 Gyr – this time distance is consistent with the spatial distance calculated within the mainstream cosmology. Emphasize once more that when we use the invalid in cosmology formula for Special-Relativity redshift and the incorrect spatial distance to the most distant observed galaxies (SST shows that due to the duality of relativity [5], such spatial distance is in reality the time distance) then calculated redshift is

$$H_{\text{mainstream}} = c / R_{\text{spatial,mainstream}} \approx 70.9 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, the SST shows that the spatial distance to the front of the CMB is $L_{\text{SST,CMB-spatial}} = 21.614 \pm 0.096 \text{ Gyr}$ and that radial speed is equal to the c , i.e. $v_{R\text{-CMB}} = c$, whereas the time distance to the observed front of the expanding baryonic matter is $L_{\text{SST,baryonic-time}} = 13.866 \pm 0.096 \text{ Gyr}$ and that the mean radial speed of it is $v_{R\text{-baryonic}} = 0.6415c$, [2B], so the mean SST Hubble constant is

$$H_{\text{SST,mean}} = c / L_{\text{SST,CMB-spatial}} = v_{R\text{-baryonic}} / L_{\text{SST,baryonic-time}} \approx 45.24 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Notice as well that when we use the SST spatial distance to the front of the baryonic matter $L_{\text{SST,baryonic-spatial}} \approx 4.971 \text{ Gyr}$ (see formula (10) in this paper) and the mean relative radial speed of light emitted by most distant observed galaxies in relation to Earth $v_{R\text{-photons,SST}} = (c - v_{R\text{-baryonic}}) = 0.3585c$ [2B], [5], then we obtain

$$H_{\text{SST,duality}} = v_{R\text{-photons,SST}} / L_{\text{SST,baryonic-spatial}} \approx 70.52 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

This value is close to the mainstream Hubble constant i.e. $H_{\text{SST,duality}} \approx H_{\text{mainstream}}$.

But, due to the duality of relativity [5], the changing relative radial speeds of photons emitted by galaxies placed in different distances from Earth in relation to Earth, cause that the observational Hubble “constant” depends on distance from Earth as well. Just experiments dependent on time distance cannot lead to a constant value of the Hubble constant.

Now we will show that the redshift that follows from the dampened turbulences of the dark matter and dark energy that carry the galaxies, [5], looks as the gravitational redshift. But we must emphasize that the presented dynamics of the Universe by using the kinematical redshift and the protuberant/”gravitational” redshift is only an approximate/averaging/rough description of the real dynamics.

Protuberant redshift is important for regions close to the front of the expanding baryonic matter i.e. according to SST it is for observed redshift close and higher than about 0.6. Since there appear galaxies with observed redshift much higher than the maximum mean kinematical redshift ($z > 0.6415$ [2B]) so we can assume that the protuberant redshift behaves as gravitational redshift.

The SST cosmology leads to the kinematical Hubble constant equal to $H_{\text{SST,mean}} = 45.24$ i.e. the h in the formula for luminosity L is $h = 0.4524$

$$L = M_V - 5 \log h. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, the h applied in mainstream cosmology, [4], is $h = 0.7$. It is easy to explain the apparent discrepancy. In the mainstream cosmology it is assumed that the kinematical redshift for distance 13.8 Gyr is $z_{k,M} = 1$ ($v/c = (z^2 + 2z) / (z^2 + 2z + 2)$, where z is the observed redshift). Since in the Scale-Symmetric Theory the maximum kinematical redshift for about 13.866 Gyr is $z_{k,SST} = 0.6415$ [2B] so to obtain within the Scale-Symmetric Theory the observed Hubble constant, we must divide the $H_{\text{SST,mean}}$ by $z_{k,SST}$

$$H_{observed,SST} = H_{SST,mean} \cdot z_{k,M} / z_{k,SST} = 70.5, \quad (9)$$

i.e. $h \approx 0.7$ as it is in the mainstream cosmology.

Now we can formulate the very important conclusion: the cosmology is in fact not the pure kinematical cosmology. There appear both the kinematical and protuberant/"gravitational" redshift. It is not true that the protogalaxies formed in a large-scale simultaneous collapse. In reality, at beginning of the observed expansion of the Universe there was the Double Cosmic Loop which diameter was $0.382 \cdot 10^9$ light-years [2B].

Why the kinematical correction was relatively small i.e. why the mainstream cosmology almost correctly describes the luminosity-redshift relation? The Scale-Symmetric Theory shows that the present-day radius of the sphere filled with baryonic matter is about $13.866 \cdot 10^9$ light-years, of the CMB about $R_{CMB} = 21.614 \cdot 10^9$ light-years whereas mass of the Universe is about $3.6 \cdot 10^{51}$ kg [2B]. Consequently, it leads to the correct present-day mean temperature of the Universe and correct abundance of the visible matter, dark matter and dark energy [2B]. In very good approximation, the compact cosmic structures have the radial speeds the same as the expanding local dark matter and dark energy i.e. they are in the rest in relation to the expanding dark matter and dark energy. It causes that the Doppler redshift is the non-relativistic redshift, not the kinematical Doppler effect of Special Relativity [2B], [5]. It causes that the relativistic formula for redshift $v/c = (z^2 + 2z) / (z^2 + 2z + 2)$, where z is the observed redshift, cannot be applied to the galaxy clusters. In reality, there should be $v/c = z_{K,SST}$, where the $z_{K,SST}$ is the kinematical redshift in the Scale-Symmetric Theory. The Scale-Symmetric Theory shows that the radial speed of the front of the baryonic matter is $v_B = v_{R-baryonic} = 0.6415c$ whereas relative speed of light emitted by the front is $v_L = v_{R-photons,SST} = (c - v_{R-baryonic}) = 0.3585c$. It means that the kinematical redshift is zero for the near galaxies and increases to the upper limit 0.64 for the most distant galaxies. The detected present-day light was emitted by the front when it was in following distance X

$$X / v_B + X / v_L = R_{CMB}. \quad (10)$$

It gives $X \approx 4.971 \cdot 10^9$ light-years (here, we neglect the protuberances of the dark matter and dark energy carrying the galaxies [5]). We can see that there appears the correction for total cosmological redshift z_T

$$z_T = z_G + z_K, \quad (11)$$

where the z_K is the kinematical redshift whereas z_G is the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift.

In presented here theory of the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift, the increase in radial distance for the change in the observed redshift from $z = 1.36$ to $z = 0.44$ (radial speed for $A + 2B$ is $0.7681c$ whereas for $A + 4B$ is $0.8615c$ [2A]) is $X_g \approx 5.6 \cdot 10^9$ light-years (we obtain it applying formula (10) for distance 13.866 Gyr). Similar increase we obtain applying the mainstream theory of kinematical redshift of Special Relativity $v/c = (z^2 + 2z) / (z^2 + 2z + 2)$ – for $z = 0.44$ we obtain $0.3493 \cdot 13.8 \cdot 10^9 = 4.8 \cdot 10^9$ light-years whereas for $z = 1.36$ is $0.6956 \cdot 13.8 \cdot 10^9 = 9.6 \cdot 10^9$ light-years i.e. the distance is as well $4.8 \cdot 10^9$ light-years. It means that to describing the phenomena concerning the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift we can use the formula for the kinematical redshift of SR but we proved that the origin of this formula is very different. Within the dynamical-redshift

Standard Cosmological Model, for redshift range between $0.40 < z < 1.3$ [4], we obtain the look-back times of $\sim 4.2 - 8.7$ Gyr so the changes are in approximation the same as in presented here theory of perturbant/"gravitational" redshift and as in the theory of relativistic redshift.

In presented here cosmology, the total redshift is the sum of the perturbant/"gravitational" and kinematical redshift (formula (11)). On the other hand, the dynamical-redshift mainstream cosmology is formulated in such a way that it is an analogy only to the presented here perturbant/"gravitational"-redshift cosmology. The difference between presented here cosmology and the mainstream cosmology follows from the kinematical redshift that changes from zero to 0.64, where the upper limit is for most distant galaxies. This kinematical redshift has not an analogy in the mainstream cosmology. It causes that the real redshift of the Type Ia supernovae is higher than it follows from the mainstream cosmology i.e. they are in distances greater than it follows from the mainstream cosmology. The discrepancy is higher for more distant supernovae i.e. they are fainter than it follows from the mainstream cosmology. This phenomenon does not follow from an acceleration of expansion of the Universe – it follows from the incompleteness of the mainstream cosmology.

As an example, calculate within the Scale-Symmetric Theory the total redshift for a massive galaxy placed on the front of expanding baryonic matter for present-day observer in centre of the expanding dark matter and dark energy. Additionally, assume that the surface of the plasma is in the $d = 2$ state. Since $z_G = 1.36$ whereas $z_K = 0.6415$ so the total redshift is $z_T = 1.36 + 0.64 = 2.0$. Such massive galaxy is $T = X / z_K \approx 7.75$ Gyr old i.e. about $\Delta T = R_{CMB} - T = 13.866$ Gyr $\approx X / (1 - z_K)$ from present-day. On the other hand, within the kinematical mainstream cosmology, applying the formula for the kinematical Doppler redshift of Special Relativity $v/c = (z^2 + 2z) / (z^2 + 2z + 2)$, for $z = 2$ we obtain $v/c = 0.8$ and present-day time distance $\Delta t = 13.8$ Gyr $\cdot 0.8 = 11.0$ Gyr. We can see that the distance calculated within the Scale-Symmetric Theory (13.866 Gyr) is greater than the distance calculated within the kinematical mainstream cosmology (11.0 Gyr). This leads to conclusion that in reality a supernova placed in the considered galaxy is fainter than it follows from the kinematical mainstream cosmology and it is the observational fact. It does not result from an acceleration of expansion of the Universe.

In the near massive galaxies, due to the sufficiently big distance from the front of the baryonic matter, we can neglect the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift. It causes that all galaxies in groups of galaxies have almost the same redshift.

There should be same unintelligible correlations in redshift distribution of the massive galaxies for observed redshift $z = 1.14$ ([4] – see Fig.1 and for distribution of bright AGN samples [7] – see Fig.2) that leads to the kinematical redshift of Special Relativity equal to the upper limit of the kinematical redshift in the Scale-Symmetric Theory $z = 0.64$. For such galaxies, the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift is $z_G = 0.5$. To obtain such relativistic redshift, the observed redshift must be $z = 0.73$. On the other hand, the $z = 0.715 \approx 0.72$ is for the mean of the total redshift distribution of the massive galaxies ([4] – see Fig.2) whereas the sum $0.64 + 0.72 = 1.36$ is the protuberant/"gravitational" redshift for the plasma in the $d = 2$ state.

The inflows of the dark matter and dark energy were very rapid so there was a rapid build-up of the red sequence over a very short time scale also. The time distance between the first and last inflow of the dark matter was about 1 Gyr [2B]. It is the powerful shut-down mechanism of star formation in the red-sequence galaxies. The massive red galaxies had formed the bulk of their stars within short periods at the earliest epoch.

Notice that due to the decomposition of the massive red-sequence galaxies on the smaller massive red-sequence galaxies defined by the mean lifetimes and accelerated by inflows of the dark matter and dark energy, and due to the increasing electromagnetic pressure, in the luminosity-redshift relation there appears the so called “red envelope” or “red sequence” [4].

Why evolution of the most massive E/S0 galaxies was quicker for lower redshift i.e. why number of massive red galaxies is greater for redshift smaller than 0.7? Why there is a significant population of massive blue galaxies at high redshift?

The pure gravitational redshift is lower when there is more plasma between the $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ states. Due to the fusion of the nucleons in the plasma, there were emitted the very high-frequency photons. The repulsive electromagnetic pressure acting on the smaller parts of the massive red-sequence galaxies increases for lower gravitational redshift. It means that decomposition of the massive red-sequence galaxies on the less-massive ones was quicker for lower gravitational redshift.

Why the luminosity ~ -23 of the bright end of the red sequence does not depend on redshift [4]? It is because it concerns only the same compact cosmological structures, i.e. the groups of protogalaxies, and the redshift is not the kinematical redshift, it is the protuberant/”gravitational” redshift. There are the greater areas of the surfaces of the plasma layers for lower gravitational redshift but due to the gravitational interactions with the central black holes, mass density on surface of the thicker layers is lower – it causes that luminosity of all the specific first-generation stars is in approximation the same. Luminosity of the massive red-sequence galaxies can change only due to the succeeding decompositions on smaller massive red-sequence galaxies. Such processes are quicker for lower gravitational redshift. It causes that in the luminosity-redshift relation, the broadening of the luminosity increases with decreasing gravitational redshift. It is consistent with data presented here [4].

In the blue massive galaxies, due to the much more massive inflows of the dark matter and dark energy, there were the inflows and outflows of the plasma whereas in the red massive galaxies, due to the less massive inflows of the dark matter and dark energy, there were only the inflows of the plasma into the cores of the massive galaxies. Due to the outflows of the plasma from the massive galaxies, from the plasma were produced big normal stars – it is the reason that there is a significant population of massive blue galaxies at high redshift.

References

- [1] Sylwester Kornowski (2015). “The Inflation in the Scale-Symmetric Theory”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1311.0174>
- [2] Sylwester Kornowski (2015). *Scale-Symmetric Theory*
[2A]: <http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0188> (Particle Physics)
[2B]: <http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0223> (Cosmology)
[2C]: <http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0284> (Chaos Theory)
[2D]: <http://vixra.org/abs/1512.0020> (Reformulated QCD)
- [3] Sylwester Kornowski (2015). “The Origin and Fate of the Cosmos and Universes and the Classical-Quantum Asymmetry”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1308.0138>
- [4] A. Fritz *et al.*: VIPERS (23 January 2014). “The VIMOS Public Extragalactic Redshift Survey (VIPERS): A quiescent formation of massive red-sequence galaxies over the past 9 Gyr”
arXiv:1401.6137v1 [astro-ph.CO] (23 January 2014)
- [5] Sylwester Kornowski (2016). “The Duality of Relativity”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1405.0327>

- [6] Andrew B. Newman, Richard S. Ellis, Stefano Andreon, Tommaso Treu, Anand Raichoor, Ginevra Trinchieri (2014, accepted). “Spectroscopic Confirmation of the Rich $z=1.80$ Galaxy Cluster JKCS 041 Using the WFC3 Grism: Environmental Trends in the Ages and Structure of Quiescent Galaxies”
The Astrophysical Journal (The above reference and information are based on materials provided by Carnegie Institution (21 May 2014))
- [7] Yuji Shirasaki *et al.* (25 March 2011). “Early Science Result from the Japanese Virtual Observatory: AGN and Galaxy Clustering at $z = 0.3$ to 3.0 ”
PASJ: Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan **63**, S469-S491