

1 **PROBLEM WITH THE DERIVATION OF**
2 **NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS**

3 DMITRI MARTILA
4 INDEPENDENT RESEARCHER
5 J. V. JANNSENI 6-7, PÄRNU 80032, ESTONIA

ABSTRACT. The English idiom “Where there’s a will, there’s a way” means that if someone really wants to do something, she or he will find a way to do it.
MSC Class: 35Q30

6 My most recent progress is Ref. [1].

7 1. MATHEMATICAL PROBLEM

The derivation begins with ansatz:

$$\rho(\vec{r}, t) \frac{\partial \vec{u}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \vec{f}(\vec{r}, t). \tag{1}$$

Let us insert $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(t)$,

$$\rho(\vec{r}(t), t) \frac{d \vec{u}(\vec{r}(t), t)}{dt} = \vec{f}(\vec{r}(t), t),$$
$$\rho(\vec{r}(t), t) \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{\vec{r}=\vec{r}(t)} + \frac{d \vec{r}(t)}{dt} \frac{\partial \vec{u}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial \vec{r}} \Big|_{\vec{r}=\vec{r}(t)} \right) = \vec{f}(\vec{r}(t), t).$$

Let us remove the unnecassary notation $\vec{r}(t) \rightarrow \vec{r}$, and we get the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\rho(\vec{r}, t) \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \nabla \vec{u} \right) = \vec{f}(\vec{r}, t). \tag{2}$$

8 The $\vec{v} \equiv \vec{u}$, if \vec{u} is velocity at the point \vec{r} , at the moment t .
9 With all respect to Dr. Stokes, equation (2) contradicts equation (1).

10 2. PHYSICAL PROBLEM

Let the velocity pattern of the fluid is \vec{u} . Let us run toward the fluid with velocity \vec{w} . Then according to us, the fluid approaches us with velocity $\vec{V} = \vec{u} - \vec{w}$, where \vec{w} is a constant vector. All other inner

eestidima@gmail.com.

parameters of the fluid (ρ and \vec{f}) remain the same. If Eq.(1) is the description of this fluid, then

$$\rho \frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} = \vec{f}. \quad (3)$$

1 As you see, the Eq.(3) is the same as Eq.(1), but with velocity \vec{V} in
2 the role of \vec{u} .

If Eq.(2) is the description of the fluid, then

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{V}}{\partial t} + \vec{V} \nabla \vec{V} + \vec{w} \nabla \vec{V} \right) = \vec{f}. \quad (4)$$

3 As you see, the Eq.(4) is not the same as Eq.(2), with velocity \vec{V} in
4 the role of \vec{u} .

5 In other words, Eq.(2) is not Invariant under Galilean Coordinate
6 Transformation. All non-relativistic Physical systems (low-velocity sys-
7 tems) satisfy the Galilean Coordinate Transformation.

8

REFERENCES

- 9 [1] Dmitri Martila, Stefan Groote, "Evaluation of the Gauss Integral." Stats. 5(2):
10 538–545 (2022).
11 <https://doi.org/10.3390/stats5020032>
12 Dmitri Martila, Stefan Groote, "Analytic Error Function and Numeric Inverse
13 Obtained by Geometric Means." Stats. 6(1): 431–437 (2023).
14 <https://doi.org/10.3390/stats6010026>